

CITY of LAGUNA WOODS CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

Special Meeting
Friday, August 12, 2022
3:30 p.m.

Laguna Woods City Hall
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Carol Moore
Mayor

Cynthia Conners
Mayor Pro Tem

Noel Hatch
Councilmember



Shari L. Horne
Councilmember

Ed H. Tao
Councilmember

Welcome to a meeting of the Laguna Woods City Council!

This meeting may be recorded, televised, and made publicly available.

Public Comments: Persons wishing to address the City Council are requested to complete and submit a speaker card to City staff. Speaker cards are available near the entrance to the meeting location. Persons wishing to address the City Council on an item appearing on this agenda will be called upon at the appropriate time during the item's consideration. Persons wishing to address the City Council on an item *not* appearing on the agenda will be called upon during the "Public Comments" item. Persons who do not wish to submit a Speaker Card, or who wish to remain anonymous, may indicate their desire to speak from the floor. Speakers are requested, but not required, to identify themselves.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): It is the intention of the City to comply with the ADA. If you need assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact either the City Clerk's Office at (949) 639-0500/TTY (949) 639-0535 or the California Relay Service at (800) 735-2929/TTY (800) 735-2922. The City requests at least two business days' notice in order to effectively facilitate the provision of reasonable accommodations.

REGULAR MEETING SCHEDULE

The Laguna Woods City Council meets regularly on the third Wednesday of each month at 2 p.m.

AGENDA POSTING AND AVAILABILITY

Regular and Adjourned Regular Meetings: Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54954.2 of the Ralph M. Brown Act, the City of Laguna Woods posts agendas at Laguna Woods City Hall, 24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, California 92637; on the City’s website (www.cityoflagunawoods.org); and, at other locations designated by Resolution No. 17-30, at least 72 hours in advance of regular and adjourned regular meetings. Agendas and agenda materials are available at Laguna Woods City Hall during normal business hours and on the City’s website. Printed copies of agendas and agenda materials are provided at no charge in advance of meetings. After meetings have occurred, a per page fee is charged for printed copies.

Special and Emergency Meetings: Agenda posting and availability for special and emergency meetings is conducted pursuant to all applicable provisions of California Government Code (Ralph M. Brown Act).

AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LISTS

Electronic Distribution: The City of Laguna Woods provides notification of agenda posting and availability via email. To register to receive email notifications, please email cityhall@cityoflagunawoods.org or contact the City Clerk’s Office at (949) 639-0500/TTY (949) 639-0535. Please note that the City is not responsible for, and makes no guaranties or warranties related to, the transmission or receipt of email notifications.

Mail Distribution: The City of Laguna Woods is able to mail agendas and/or agenda materials if provided with advance payment for postage and printing (if applicable). To request mail distribution, please email cityhall@cityoflagunawoods.org or contact the City Clerk’s Office at (949) 639-0500/TTY (949) 639-0535.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

For additional information, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at (949) 639-0500/TTY (949) 639-0535, cityhall@cityoflagunawoods.org, or 24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, California 92637.


AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.
CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS)

I, Yolie Trippy, City Clerk, City of Laguna Woods, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that this agenda was posted at Laguna Woods City Hall, 24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, California 92637; on the City’s website (www.cityoflagunawoods.org); and, at other locations designated by Resolution No. 17-30, pursuant to California Government Code Section 54954.2 of the Ralph M. Brown Act.



YOLIE TRIPPY, CMC, City Clerk



Date

NOVEL CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19) NOTICE

Please exercise caution when attending City Council meetings. If you attend this meeting, please abide by all applicable state and local public health orders.

OPTIONS FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS

1. Attend the meeting in-person.

2. Submit public comments in writing. Written public comments may be submitted via email (cityhall@cityoflagunawoods.org) or by mail (Laguna Woods City Hall, 24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, CA 92637), provided that they are received by the City prior to 3:30 p.m. on the day of the meeting. Written public comments may be read or summarized to the City Council at the meeting, and parties submitting comments should be aware that their email addresses and any information submitted may be disclosed or become a matter of public record. No party should expect privacy of such information.

3. Make public comments by telephone. Dial (669) 900-6833. When prompted enter the following meeting ID: 822 0446 3693 followed by pound (#) and the following meeting passcode: 973412 followed by pound (#). When an item you wish to comment on is discussed, press *9 on your telephone to raise your hand. When it is your turn, you will be unmuted and able to speak. Please note that your telephone number will be visible to the City. No party should expect privacy of such information.

4. Make public comments by computer.

- Visit www.zoom.us
- Click on “Join a Meeting” toward the top right of the webpage
- Enter the following meeting ID: 822 0446 3693
- Open the Zoom application following the on-screen prompts
- Enter the following meeting password: 973412
- Enter a name and email address as required by Zoom

When an item you wish to comment on is discussed, click on “Raise Hand.” When it is your turn, you will be unmuted and able to speak. Please note that information you enter into Zoom will be visible to the City. No party should expect privacy of such information.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Introductory Note: Members of the public wishing to address the City Council on items appearing on this agenda are advised to indicate their interest in doing so at the time an item is considered by notifying City staff if present in-person, pressing *9 on their telephone if participating by telephone, or clicking on “Raise Hand” if participating by computer via Zoom. Members of the public wishing to address the City Council on items *not* appearing on this agenda may do so during Item V.

II. ROLL CALL

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

About Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items: This is the time and place for members of the public to address the City Council on items *not* appearing on this agenda. To indicate interest, please notify City staff if present in-person, press *9 on your telephone if participating by telephone, or click on “Raise Hand” if participating by computer via Zoom. Pursuant to state law, the City Council is unable to take action on such items, but may engage in brief discussion, provide direction to City staff, or schedule items for consideration at future meetings.

V. CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS

5.1 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING REVISIONS TO THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT, AND PROVIDING DIRECTION AND MAKING FINDINGS RELATED TO ADOPTION OF THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT PURSUANT TO APPLICABLE STATE LAW

5.2 Driving Out Darkness: Orange County Summit on Antisemitism and Hate (agendized by Mayor Moore)

Recommendation: Approve the City’s participation in “Driving Out Darkness: Orange County Summit on Antisemitism and Hate”

on August 30, 2022 as an Ally Partner, including the acceptance of five tickets to the event, at no cost to the City.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Next Regular Meeting:

Wednesday, August 17, 2022 at 2 p.m.
Laguna Woods City Hall
24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, California 92637

This page is intentionally blank.

5.1
2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING
ELEMENT UPDATE

This page is intentionally blank.



City of Laguna Woods

Agenda Report

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers

FROM: Christopher Macon, City Manager

FOR: August 12, 2022 Special Meeting

SUBJECT: 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update

Recommendation

Adopt a resolution entitled:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING REVISIONS TO THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT, AND PROVIDING DIRECTION AND MAKING FINDINGS RELATED TO ADOPTION OF THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT PURSUANT TO APPLICABLE STATE LAW

Background

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (“RHNA”) is a process mandated by the State of California’s housing laws to determine existing and future housing needs for each city and unincorporated area. Once housing needs are quantified through the RHNA process, cities and counties are required to take certain land use planning actions to allow for the construction of new housing units to meet those needs. For additional information, please refer to Attachment A/Exhibit A.

From 1969 until the City’s incorporation on March 24, 1999, the County of Orange was responsible for RHNA compliance and land use planning in Laguna Woods. Beginning on March 24, 1999, those responsibilities transferred locally to the City. If the City had not incorporated, the County of Orange would have retained the authority to make land use planning decisions affecting Laguna Woods. With the

City, land use planning is within the purview of the locally elected City Council.

The current 6th Cycle RHNA process (for the period of 10/2021–10/2029) resulted in the following housing needs allocation for the City:

Table 1: City's Housing Needs Allocation

Income Level	Number of Units
Very-low income	127 units
Low income	136 units
Moderate income	192 units
Above-moderate income	542 units
Total	997 units

Source: Southern California Association of Governments (“SCAG”)

State law requires the City to update its General Plan Housing Element to plan for the 6th Cycle RHNA Housing Needs Allocation.

Please note that **neither the RHNA nor General Plan Housing Element Update processes require the City or any private property owner to construct housing or discontinue any existing non-housing use.** Frequently asked questions are answered in Attachment A/Exhibit A.

On May 5, 2021, a City Council meeting was held that focused on an overview of the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update processes, and a draft of the Housing Needs Assessment. Public comments were accepted.

On May 19, 2021 and June 2, 2021, City Council meetings were held that focused on the Housing Sites Inventory. Public comments were accepted.

On July 21, 2021, a City Council meeting was held that focused on drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form. Public comments were accepted and the City Council voted 4-1, with then-Mayor Pro Tem Moore voting no, to authorize the City Manager to submit drafts of the updated General Plan Housing Element and Housing Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review, as required by California Government Code Section 65585.

On February 9, 2022, the City Council unanimously adopted an updated General Plan Housing Element and Negative Declaration. Public testimony was accepted.

Agenda materials and other information from previous City Council meetings are available for public review at or from City Hall during normal working hours and on the City's website (www.cityoflagunawoods.org/projects).

Discussion

Today's meeting is an opportunity for City Council action, as well as public input, on proposed revisions to the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update (Attachment A). Staff recommends adoption of the proposed resolution.

If the City Council adopts the proposed revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update at today's meeting, the General Plan Housing Element would be submitted to HCD for review as required by California Government Code Section 65585. HCD would then have 60 days to report its findings to the City and indicate whether further revisions are necessary to substantially comply with state law.

If HCD certifies the General Plan Housing Element by October 15, 2022, the City's statutory deadline for completing state-mandated rezoning would shift from October 15, 2022 to February 12, 2025, per California Senate Bill 197 (2021-2022). While staff does not anticipate requiring that extended timeframe, it would provide enhanced flexibility for the rezoning process.

HCD Review Findings

On February 9, 2022, the adopted General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were submitted to HCD for review, as required by California Government Code Section 65585. HCD's findings were reported to the City in a letter dated March 8, 2022 (Attachment B). That letter was reissued on April 8, 2022 to correct a typographical error (Attachment C). The proposed revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update includes revisions to comply with state law (Attachments D and E).

HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form

California Government Code Section 65583.3 requires the housing sites inventory portion of the General Plan Housing Element to be prepared using standards, form, and definitions adopted by HCD. The information required to complete HCD's Housing Element Sites Inventory Form for the proposed revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update is unchanged from the version adopted by

the City Council on February 9, 2022 and is included as Attachment F. The electronic spreadsheet version of the information is available on the City’s website (www.cityoflagunawoods.org/projects). Interested parties may also contact the City Clerk’s Office at cityhall@cityoflagunawoods.org or (949) 639-0512 to obtain an emailed copy of the electronic spreadsheet.

Environmental Review

The City Council adopted a Negative Declaration for the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update on February 9, 2022, in conformance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) per State CEQA Guidelines Section 15070 and in conformance with the Local CEQA Procedures, which found that the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update will have less than significant effects on the environment.

The proposed revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update contains administrative revisions to ensure compliance with State Housing Element Law and remains consistent with the project evaluated in the Initial Study and Negative Declaration for the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update that was adopted on February 9, 2022. No additional CEQA analysis of the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element is required.

Fiscal Impact

Sufficient funds to support the preparation and adoption of the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update are included in the City’s budget.

Staff anticipates that supplemental General Fund budget appropriations will be necessary to complete the state-mandated implementation of the programs included in the General Plan Housing Element, the extent of which is not yet known, but will be estimated after HCD finds the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update and its programs to be in substantial compliance with state law.

- Attachments:
- A – Proposed Resolution
 - Exhibit A – Proposed General Plan Housing Element
 - B – HCD Findings Letter dated March 8, 2022
 - C – HCD Findings Letter dated April 8, 2022
 - D – Draft City Response to HCD Findings Letters dated March/April 8, 2022
 - E – Revisions for Proposed General Plan Housing Element (redline)
 - F – Information Required to Complete HCD’s Housing Element Sites Inventory Form for the Proposed Revised General Plan Housing Element

ITEM 5.1
Attachment A
Proposed Resolution

This page is intentionally blank.

RESOLUTION NO. 22-XX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING REVISIONS TO THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT, AND PROVIDING DIRECTION AND MAKING FINDINGS RELATED TO ADOPTION OF THE 2021-2029 GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT PURSUANT TO APPLICABLE STATE LAW

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 65300 requires every city to adopt a comprehensive, long-term general plan to guide physical development of the city and of any land outside its boundaries which, in the City’s judgment, bears relation to its planning; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 65302 requires general plans to include a housing element with specific requirements for form and content set forth in California Government Code Section 65580 et al.; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 65588 requires general plan housing elements to be updated on either a five- or eight-year cycle; and

WHEREAS, as required by and in accordance with state law, the City prepared an update of its General Plan Housing Element covering the 6th Cycle Planning Period spanning October 2021 through October 2029 and planning for the Regional Housing Needs Assessment’s 6th Cycle Housing Needs Allocation of 997 housing units, which was adopted by the City Council on February 9, 2022 (“2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update”); and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the adoption of the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update, the City received and considered findings from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (“HCD”) dated Mach 8, 2022 an April 8, 2022, and made corresponding revisions to comply with state law, which are incorporated into the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, based on the factors described in the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update [including, but not limited to, the analysis contained in Exhibit C (Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis) thereto, supported by the goals, policy objectives, and programs set forth in the General Plan Housing

Element], the City Council finds that the existing uses on the 17 potential housing sites identified in the housing sites inventory to accommodate the Regional Housing Needs Assessment for lower income households are likely to be discontinued during the planning period, and therefore do not constitute an impediment to additional residential development during the planning period; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted a Negative Declaration for the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update on February 9, 2022, in conformance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) per State CEQA Guidelines Section 15070 and in conformance with the Local CEQA Procedures, which found that the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update will have less than significant effects on the environment; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update contains administrative revisions to ensure compliance with State Housing Element Law and remains consistent with the project evaluated in the Initial Study and Negative Declaration for the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update that was adopted on February 9, 2022, and no additional CEQA analysis of the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element is required; and

WHEREAS, the City complied with California Assembly Bill 215 (2021-2022) by (a) posting the draft revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update, including the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form, for public review on its website, and (b) emailing a link to the documents to all individuals and organizations that previously requested notices related to the 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update, on August 5, 2022; and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2022, the City Council held a duly noticed public meeting regarding the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update at which the members of the City Council, utilizing their independent judgement, reviewed and considered all of the information, evidence, and testimony presented, both written and oral.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DECLARE, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The above recitals are true and correct.

SECTION 2. The City Council hereby adopts the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update (Exhibit A), subject to the City Manager modifying the revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update to include information regarding the meeting at which it was adopted, including date, time, participation, noticing/posting, public comments, and votes taken/cast.

SECTION 3. The City Manager is directed to promptly submit a copy of the adopted revised 2021-2029 General Plan Housing Element Update to HCD, as required by California Government Code Section 65585(g), and to the El Toro Water District, as required by California Government Code Section 65589.7(a).

SECTION 4. The Mayor shall sign this resolution and the City Clerk shall attest and certify to the passage and adoption thereof.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED on this XX day of XX 2022.

CAROL MOORE, Mayor

ATTEST:

YOLIE TRIPPY, CMC, City Clerk

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss.
CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS)

I, YOLIE TRIPPY, City Clerk of the City of Laguna Woods, do HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing **Resolution No. 22-XX** was duly adopted by the City Council of the City of Laguna Woods at a special meeting thereof, held on the XX day of XX 2022, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

YOLIE TRIPPY, CMC, City Clerk

ITEM 5.1

Exhibit A to Attachment A

Proposed General Plan Housing Element

This page is intentionally blank.



CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT

**6TH CYCLE (2021-2029)
AUGUST 2022**



This page is intentionally blank.

PROPOSED



INTRODUCTION

California Government Code Section 65300 requires each city to adopt a comprehensive, long-term general plan to guide physical development. The Laguna Woods General Plan reflects the City of Laguna Woods' intentions about land use and its relationship to circulation, conservation, housing, noise, open space, and safety. This element identifies priority housing issues in Laguna Woods and sets forth goals and policies to achieve balance between the needs of the community and future development.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

State law requires that general plans include a housing element, as follows:

California Government Code Section 65302(c): [The general plan must include] a housing element as provided in [California Government Code] Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580).

California Government Code Section 65583: The housing element shall consist of an identification and analysis of existing and projected housing needs and a statement of goals, policies, quantified objectives, financial resources, and scheduled programs for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing. The housing element shall identify adequate sites for housing, including rental housing, factory-built housing, mobile-homes, and emergency shelters, and shall make adequate provision for the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community.

California Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) specifically requires that housing elements include “an inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality’s housing need for a designated income level, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites.” For the purpose of that requirement, “housing needs for a designated income level” are quantified through the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), which is discussed further in this element.

Additional statutory requirements related to the development and adoption



of housing elements are contained in California Government Code sections 65580 through 65589.

This element addresses the following priority issues:

- Housing development
- Housing conservation
- Affirmatively furthering fair housing
- Housing element implementation

REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) is a process mandated by the State of California's housing laws to determine existing and future housing needs for each city and unincorporated area. Once housing needs are quantified through the RHNA process, cities and counties are required to take certain land use planning actions to allow for the construction of new housing units to meet those needs, including updating of housing elements.

The RHNA process is foundational to this element and, as such, the following information is provided to promote clarity and a common understanding of both the RHNA process and the City's associated obligations.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Is the RHNA process new?

No. The RHNA process began in 1969 and has occurred on regular "cycles" since then. From 1969 until the City's incorporation on March 24, 1999, the County of Orange was responsible for RHNA compliance and land use planning in Laguna Woods. Beginning on March 24, 1999, those responsibilities transferred locally to the City.

How does the RHNA process determine housing needs?

The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is responsible for determining housing needs for each region's "council of



governments,” which for Laguna Woods is the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The SCAG region encompasses six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura) and 191 cities.

HCD starts with demographic population information from the California Department of Finance and then applies a formula intended to increase housing supply and further other housing-related objectives established by the California State Legislature (see California Government Code Section 65584).

After receiving HCD’s housing needs determination, SCAG allocates HCD’s determination between individual cities and unincorporated areas. For the current 6th Cycle RHNA process (10/2021 - 10/2029 planning period), SCAG’s allocation methodology considered factors including, but not limited to, anticipated household growth, job and transit accessibility, and social equity (the distribution of housing by income categories).

Table H-1 summarizes the City’s current housing needs allocation.

Table H-1: 6th Cycle RHNA Housing Needs Allocation

Income Level	Number of Units
Very-low income	127
Low income	136
Moderate income	192
Above-moderate income	542
Total	997

What does the RHNA process require of the City?

The City is required to zone sufficient land to allow for the construction of new housing units to meet its housing needs allocation. Such land must be “suitable for residential development,” which state law generally defines as including vacant land, residential land upon which additional housing units could be constructed, and nonresidential land that could be redeveloped to include new housing units (California Government Code Section 65583.2(a)). Such zoning must be “by right,” which means that the City is required to allow new



housing construction without requiring conditional use permits or other discretionary approvals that would constitute a “project” under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

How does the City’s General Plan Housing Element relate to the RHNA process?

State law requires that all cities update their general plan housing elements on either a five- or eight-year cycle (California Government Code Section 65588). The City is on an eight-year cycle with its most recent update due on October 15, 2021. Prior to adopting an updated General Plan Housing Element, the City is required to demonstrate to HCD that the update adequately plans for the City’s housing needs allocation and otherwise complies with applicable state law (California Government Code sections 65583 and 65585).

Does the City have the ability to zone private property?

Yes. State law requires the City to designate “the proposed general distribution and general location and extent of the uses of the land” within city limits, including both “public and private uses of land” (California Government Code Section 65302(a)). All public and private property in Laguna Woods, with the exception of public roads, is presently zoned and has been since the community was first constructed.

Does the RHNA process require the City to construct housing?

No. State law is explicit that the City is not required to “expend local revenues for the construction of housing, housing subsidies, or land acquisition” (California Government Code Section 65589(a)(1)).

Does the RHNA process require private property owners to construct housing?

No. While state law requires zoning sufficient to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation, it does not require the use of that property to be limited to the construction of new housing units nor does it require property owners to construct housing or discontinue any existing non-housing uses. If a property owner does not wish to construct housing, zoning that allows such construction only presents an option for the future.



Who makes the decision to construct housing?

Housing construction requires interested property owners and interested developers. No party is obligated to construct housing or discontinue any existing non-housing uses, regardless of how property is zoned.

Does the RHNA process allow the City to permit only senior housing?

No. State law specifies that the RHNA process is intended to address the “housing need of persons at all income levels” with goals including “increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability” (California Government Code Section 65584). While property owners may choose to construct age-restricted housing, state law does not allow the City to place such a restriction on properties for the purpose of accommodating the City’s housing needs allocation.

Does the City receive credit for existing affordable housing units?

No. The RHNA process is focused on future housing needs. For the 6th Cycle RHNA process, credit was only provided for housing units approved, permitted, or built on or after June 30, 2021.

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

California Assembly Bill 686 (2018) chaptered into law an obligation for cities and other public agencies to “administer [...] programs and activities relating to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing, and take no action that is materially inconsistent with its obligation to affirmatively further fair housing.” California Government Code Section 8899.50(a)(1) defines “affirmatively furthering fair housing” as “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining



compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. The duty to affirmatively further fair housing extends to all of a public agency's activities and programs relating to housing and community development."

GOALS, POLICY OBJECTIVES, AND PROGRAMS

This element is organized to be consistent with the other elements of the Laguna Woods General Plan. Goals and policy objectives provide declarative statements that set forth the City's approach to each of the priority issues.

Goals: General statements of desired outcomes.

Policy Objectives: Specific commitments to support decisions and actions consistent with a stated goal. Policy objectives provide guidance to the City Council, City advisory committees, and City staff when reviewing development applications and making other decisions that affect growth, conservation, and development.

As required by California Government Code Section 65583(c), this element also identifies programs that the City is undertaking or intends to undertake to implement the policy objectives and achieve the goals and policy objectives of the housing element during the planning period (10/2021 - 10/2029).

Priority Issue 1. HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.

Goal H-1. Enable the development of housing necessary to accommodate current and projected housing needs, including for lower-income households and other special needs populations.

Policy Objective H-1.1. Make sites available to accommodate current and projected housing needs for groups at all income levels, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(c)(1).

Program H-1.1.1. Rezone the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C) to accommodate the City's housing needs allocation (Table H-1).

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022



Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: California Senate Bill 2 (2017) Planning Grant
Quantified Objectives: See Table H in Exhibit C

Note: The rezoning process will include the creation of four new overlay zoning districts and the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each. Specific commitments in the rezoning process include, but are not limited to, (1) complying with applicable provisions of California Government Code Section 65583.2 (h), (i), et al., including accommodating at least 50% of the lower-income housing needs allocation on sites designated for residential use only (for non-mixed use projects) and by requiring residential use to occupy 50% of the total floor area of mixed-use projects, (2) permitting housing projects by right, as required by state law, (3) permitting owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right for housing projects in which 20% or more of the housing units are affordable for lower-income households, (4) establishing densities for potential housing sites as specified in Table H in Exhibit C, and (5) allowing the development of housing projects as standalone uses on each potential housing site.

Policy Objective H-1.2. Assist in the development of adequate housing to meet the needs of extremely low, very low, low-, and moderate-income households.

Program H-1.2.1. Continue to maintain website information regarding fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City that are applicable to housing development projects, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65940.1.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Website Information

Program H-1.2.2. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C), including a Geographic Information System (GIS) map layer with geospatial information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department



City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and GIS Map Layer

Program H-1.2.3. Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Market Study and Conforming Ordinance

Note: In accordance with HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" memorandum dated June 10, 2020, amendment of the ordinance will follow consultation with both for-profit and nonprofit developers to determine an appropriate mix of incomes that make development feasible in Laguna Woods. The City will also consult with housing advocates. When applied to rental housing, the ordinance will include options for the developer to meet the inclusionary requirements other than exclusively requiring building affordable units on site.

Program H-1.2.4. Adopt an ordinance creating an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for consolidations involving any one or more of the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C) for the purpose of developing housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance



Program H-1.2.5. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.2.6. Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to promote regulatory clarity.

- Section 13.23.030(a)(2)c. Delete “private living space.”

Note: The language identified for deletion is duplicative within Laguna Woods Municipal Code Chapter 13.23 and is not intended to constrain the development of emergency shelters in a manner that would conflict with Assembly Bill 139 (2019).

- Section TBD. Explicitly identify that low barrier navigation centers are permitted by right in zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted, including nonresidential zoning districts permitting multifamily uses pursuant to California Government Code Section 65660.

Note: The City is required to comply with California Government Code Section 65660 irrespective of whether explicit language is adopted as part of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code.

- Section TBD. Explicitly identify that zoning is consistent with the Employee Housing Act (California Health and Safety Code Section 17000 et seq.), specifically sections 17021.5 and 17021.6.

Note: The City is required to comply with the Employee Housing Act irrespective of whether explicit language is adopted as part of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department



City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.2.7. Formalize written procedures for the application and processing of housing projects eligible for streamlined, ministerial approval pursuant to California Government Code Section 65913.4.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Policy

Note: The City is required to comply with California Government Code Section 65913.4 irrespective of whether procedures are formalized in writing.

Program H-1.2.8. Investigate potential incentives for the development of housing projects with features that exceed the minimum requirements set forth in the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Within Six Months of Each Triennial California Building Standards Code Effective Date for Investigation
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation;
Adopted Incentives (if applicable)

Policy Objective H-1.3. Provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities.

Program H-1.3.1. Continue to consider transitional housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing



Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.2. Continue to consider supportive housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.3. Continue to permit supportive housing by right in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.4. Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to conform to state law.

- Section 13.26.070. Remove the conditional use permit requirement for community care facilities serving seven to 12 persons located in areas where residential uses are permitted.
- Section 13.06.010(d)(395). Revise the zoning definition of “family” to address inconsistencies with state law related to the occupants of community care facilities.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Policy Objective H-1.4. Incentivize and promote the creation of accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent for very low, low-, or



City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022

moderate-income households.

Program H-1.4.1. Continue to maintain an ordinance that conforms to the provisions of California Government Code Section 65852.2 related to accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units, including amending the ordinance as necessary.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.4.2. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to Laguna Woods Municipal Code requirements, processing procedures, and incentives pertaining to the development of accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Flyer

Program H-1.4.3. Designate and maintain a “specialist” to assist with the processing and approval of applications to develop accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Designated Specialist

Policy Objective H-1.5. Make sites available to accommodate emergency housing needs for homeless persons and families, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(a)(7).

Program H-1.5.1. Continue to maintain an ordinance that conforms to



the provisions of California Government Code Section 65583 related to emergency shelters, including amending the ordinance as necessary.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Priority Issue 2. HOUSING CONSERVATION.

Goal H-2. Support the conservation of housing necessary to accommodate current and projected housing needs, including for lower-income households and other special needs populations.

Policy Objective H-2.1. Conserve and improve the condition of the existing affordable housing stock.

Program H-2.1.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to extremely low, very low, and low-income households. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-2.1.2. Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2024 for Investigation
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation;
Adopted Incentives (if applicable)



Policy Objective H-2.2. Promote accessible and accommodating housing options for persons with special needs.

Program H-2.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to persons with special needs. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-2.2.2. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance and Flyer

Program H-2.2.3. Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.

Primary Responsibility: Engineering & Infrastructure Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022 (as funding permits)
Anticipated Funding: State Permanent Local Housing Allocation monies
Quantified Objectives: Financial Assistance Opportunities

Policy Objective H-2.3. Promote housing conservation and revitalization.



Program H-2.3.1. Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2025

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Code Enforcement Policies

Priority Issue 3. AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING.

Goal H-3. Administer housing and community development programs and activities in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing for all persons.

Policy Objective H-3.1. Enhance access to housing.

Program H-3.1.1. Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.

Primary Responsibility: Engineering & Infrastructure Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing (as funding permits)

Anticipated Funding: Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)

Quantified Objectives: Ongoing Effort

Program H-3.1.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Biennial Process to Identify Interested and Qualified Service Providers; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Service Provider Identification Processes; Implemented Services (if applicable)

Note: The services called for in this program are intended to reach a broad



audience. Strategies for doing so may include utilizing a variety of methods; marketing services in a broad, proactive, accessible, and multilingual manner, including targeting specific areas and needs; providing remote participation opportunities for ease of access for the general public, including persons with disabilities; and, consulting with relevant organizations. Translation services and reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities will be provided in accordance with applicable law and City policy.

Program H-3.1.3. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Policy Objective H-3.2. Protect existing residents from displacement.

Program H-3.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-3.2.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Biennial Process to Identify Interested and Qualified Service Providers; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022



Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Service Provider Identification Processes;
Implemented Services (if applicable)

Note: The services called for in this program are intended to reach a broad audience. Strategies for doing so may include utilizing a variety of methods; marketing services in a broad, proactive, accessible, and multilingual manner, including targeting specific areas and needs; providing remote participation opportunities for ease of access for the general public, including persons with disabilities; and, consulting with relevant organizations. Translation services and reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities will be provided in accordance with applicable law and City policy.

Program H-3.2.3. Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.

Primary Responsibility: Public Safety Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2024 for Investigation

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation;
Implemented Measures (if applicable)

Note: The investigation called for in this program is a necessary precursor to the potential identification of implementable measures and associated timelines. The report regarding investigation will provide greater specificity including, as applicable, clear commitments and milestones, and measurable outcomes.

Note: Programs H-1.2.3 (pertaining to inclusionary housing), H-2.2.2 (pertaining to fee waivers or reductions for disability-related improvements), and H-2.2.3 (pertaining to financial assistance for home accessibility improvements) relate to affirmatively furthering fair housing by promoting housing supply, choices, and affordability, but are included under other priority issues in this element due to the manner in which this element is structured. Similarly, Program H-2.1.2 (pertaining to potential incentives for extended affordability covenants) relates to affirmatively furthering fair housing by protecting existing residents from displacement and Program H-2.3.1 (pertaining to proactive code enforcement) relates to affirmatively furthering fair housing by using place-based strategies to encourage community conservation and revitalization.



City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
August 2022

Priority Issue 4. HOUSING ELEMENT IMPLEMENTATION.

Goal H-4. Provide for analysis related to housing element implementation.

Policy Objective H-4.1. Conduct annual monitoring of the housing element.

Program H-4.1.1. Host an annual public forum to:

- Provide public education related to the purpose and scope of the housing element, as well as progress in its implementation; and
- Solicit public input on the implementation of the housing element, existing and projected housing needs for all economic segments, and resources and constraints relevant to meeting housing needs.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Annually; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Public Forums

Program H-4.1.2. Prepare and submit an annual report to the City Council, California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and HCD with:

- The information required by California Government Code Section 65400(a)(2), in the form and manner specified; and
- A statement regarding the general plan's internal consistency and, if necessary, the identification of corrective actions that will be taken to resolve any internal inconsistency.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Annually; Prior to April 1

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Reports and Public Meetings

Note: Pursuant to California Government Code Section 65400(a)(2)(B)(ii), the annual report information required by California Government Code Section 65400(a)(2) shall be considered at an annual public meeting before the City Council where members of the public are allowed to provide oral testimony and written comments. This program requires certain other information to be included in the annual report, which will also then be subject to consideration



at the annual public meeting.

Policy Objective H-4.2. Coordinate implementation of this housing element with the water and sewer agency.

Program H-4.2.1. Deliver each adopted housing element (including amendments thereto) to the El Toro Water District, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65589.7(a).

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Immediately Following Each Adoption

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Deliveries

Note: California Government Code Section 65589.7(a) generally requires the El Toro Water District to grant a priority for the provision of water and sewer services to housing projects that include housing units affordable to lower-income households.

EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are attached hereto and incorporated by reference:

- Exhibit A – Housing Needs Assessment
- Exhibit B – Constraints Analysis
- Exhibit C – Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
- Exhibit D – Housing Element Performance Assessment
- Exhibit E – Public Participation Efforts

This page is intentionally blank.

PROPOSED

EXHIBIT A

HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

PROPOSED

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS.....	iii
1.0 HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT	1
1.1 Demographic Profile	1
1.1.1 Population Growth and Trends	1
1.1.2 Age Characteristics	2
1.1.3 Race and Ethnicity	3
1.1.4 Employment	3
1.2 Household Profile.....	5
1.2.1 Household Type.....	5
1.2.2 Household Income.....	6
1.2.3 Special-Needs Populations	9
1.3 Housing Stock Characteristics	17
1.3.1 Housing Growth.....	17
1.3.2 Housing Type and Tenure.....	18
1.3.3 Vacancy Rate	18
1.3.4 Housing Age and Condition	19
1.3.5 Housing Costs and Affordability	20
1.3.6 Assisted Housing at Risk of Conversion	23
1.3.7 Existing Housing Needs.....	24
1.4 Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing.....	27
1.4.1 Fair Housing Issues	28
1.5 Regional Housing Needs.....	43

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURE

Figure 1: Median Household Income (2018)7

TABLES

Table A: Regional Population Growth Trends1

Table B: Age Distribution2

Table C: Racial and Ethnic Composition (2010 and 2018)3

Table D: Occupation of Residents (2018).....4

Table E: Household Characteristics (2010 and 2018)6

Table F: HCD Income Categories7

Table G: Household Income Levels (2010–2018).....8

Table H: Household Income Levels by Tenure (2017)8

Table I: Poverty by Household Type8

Table J: Special Needs Groups9

Table K: Disability Status12

Table L: Local Facilities for Persons Experiencing Homelessness16

Table M: Regional Housing Growth Trends17

Table N: Housing Units by Type (2000–2020).....18

Table O: Housing Tenure (2010 and 2018)18

Table P: Age of Housing Stock (2019)19

Table Q: Median and Average Market Rents by Number of Bedrooms (April 2021)20

Table R: Annual Median Home Prices (2020–2021)21

Table S: Estimated Affordable Housing Price by Income and Household Size (2021).....22

Table T: Inventory of Subsidized Rental Housing for Lower Income Households24

Table U: Cost Burden by Tenure24

Table V: Cost Burden by Income Level, Tenure, and Household Type25

Table W: Overcrowding by Tenure26

Table X: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps27

Table Y: Racial/Ethnic Concentrations and Income Levels30

Table Z: White Median Household Income and Population31

Table AA: Opportunity Map Scores and Categorization (2020).....32

Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020).....32

Table AC: CIP Projects in Laguna Woods33

Table AD: School Districts Serving Laguna Woods.....36

Table AE: CalEnviroScreen Scores by Census Tract (2020)39

Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance.....40

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix.....41

Table AH: Regional Housing Needs Assessment, 2021–2029.....44

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
ACS	American Community Survey
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
AFFH	Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
AMI	area median income
CalEnviroScreen	California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool
CBC	California Building Code
CC	Community Commercial
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
City	City of Laguna Woods
CoC	Continuum of Care
County	County of Orange
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
DDS	California Department of Developmental Services
FHA	Fair Housing Act
FHCOC	Fair Housing Council of Orange County
FHEO	Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach
HCD	Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
LIHTC	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
R/ECAP	racially/ethnically concentrated area of poverty
RC	Residential Community
RCAA	Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Assessment
RMF	Residential Multifamily
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
TCAC	California Tax Credit Allocation Committee

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

1.0 HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

State Housing Element law requires local governments to adequately plan to meet their existing and projected housing needs, including their share of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation. Specifically, Government Code Section 65583(a) requires an assessment of housing needs and an inventory of resources and constraints relevant to the meeting of these needs. This section of the Housing Element examines the characteristics of Laguna Woods' population and housing stock as a means of better understanding the nature and extent of unmet housing needs. The Housing Needs Assessment is comprised of the following components: (1) Demographic Profile; (2) Household Profile; (3) Housing Stock Characteristics; (4) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing; and (5) Regional Housing Needs.

1.1 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

Demographic changes such as population growth or changes in age can affect the type and amount of housing needed in a community. This section addresses the population, age, and race and ethnicity of Laguna Woods residents.

1.1.1 Population Growth and Trends

Table A presents population growth trends in Laguna Woods from 1990 to 2020 and compares this growth to neighboring jurisdictions and Orange County. As shown, Orange County experienced the highest level of growth during the 1990s (18 percent). Neighboring jurisdictions experienced their highest level of growth during the 2000 to 2010 period (48 percent in Irvine and almost 32 percent in Lake Forest). However, during the 2000 to 2010 period, Laguna Woods experienced a decrease in its population. As will be discussed in further detail below, Laguna Woods residents are significantly older, on average, than the rest of Orange County. This means that Laguna Woods' population growth due to natural increase (births minus deaths) is slower than other parts of Orange County.

Table A: Regional Population Growth Trends

Jurisdiction	1990	2000	2010	2020	Percent Change		
					1990–2000	2000–2010	2010–2020
Laguna Woods	N/A	17,794	16,273	16,243	N/A	-8.55%	-0.18%
Aliso Viejo	N/A	N/A	47,816	50,044	N/A	N/A	4.66%
Irvine	110,330	143,072	212,375	281,707	29.68%	48.44%	32.65%
Laguna Beach	23,170	23,727	22,723	22,343	2.40%	-4.23%	-1.67%
Laguna Hills	N/A	29,891	30,270	31,508	N/A	1.27%	4.09%
Lake Forest	N/A	58,707	77,395	84,711	N/A	31.83%	9.45%
Orange County	2,410,668	2,846,289	3,010,232	3,194,332	18.07%	5.76%	6.12%

Sources: (1) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Report E-5;

(2) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Report E-8 City/County/State Population and Housing Estimates;

(3) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 Revised Historical.

N/A = Not Applicable

Census data from 2000 to 2010 show that a reduction in the population growth rate for Orange County occurred during the decade. Countywide, population growth dropped to approximately 6 percent, while some local communities, such as Irvine and Lake Forest, experienced robust growth due to the development of new housing. Laguna Woods experienced a population decrease of approximately 9 percent during this period.

While most of the neighboring communities grew modestly between 2010 and 2020, the population of Laguna Woods in 2020 was estimated to be 16,243, approximately less than 1 percent lower than in 2010. The growth rate of Orange County as a whole was 6 percent, more than six times that of Laguna Woods. Of the surrounding communities shown, only Aliso Viejo had a growth rate that was close to that of Orange County. Laguna Woods saw a 0.18 percent population decrease from 2010 to 2020. However, this is much less of a decrease compared to the previous decade.

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) projects that the population of Laguna Woods will grow to 16,500 by 2045. This represents a growth rate of approximately 1.6 percent over the next 25 years. It should be noted that SCAG’s growth projection does not reflect potential population growth due to Laguna Woods’ RHNA allocation, which is discussed in further detail in Section 1.5, Regional Housing Needs.

1.1.2 Age Characteristics

Table B shows the age distribution and median age of Laguna Woods’ population in 2010 and 2018 and compares this with Orange County as a whole. Table B indicates that seniors aged 65 and older were the largest population group in Laguna Woods in 2010 (80 percent) and 2018 (83 percent). The proportion of the population within the younger age groups (under 5 years, 5 to 17 years, and 18 to 24 years) decreased since 2010, while there was an increase in the older adult population. The proportion of seniors (ages 65 and older) increased from 80 percent to 83 percent. However, Laguna Woods’ median age decreased from 78 in 2010 to 75 in 2018.

Table B: Age Distribution

Age Group	2010		2018		
	Population	Percent	Population	Percent	Orange County Percent
Under 5 years	33	0.2%	0	0.0%	6.0%
5-17 years	65	0.4%	10	0.1%	17.0%
18-24 years	49	0.3%	23	0.1%	9.5%
25-44 years	179	1.1%	100	0.6%	27.4%
45-64 years	2,982	18.3%	2,666	16.5%	26.6%
65+ years	12,987	79.7%	13,429	82.8%	13.9%
Total	16,295	100.0%	16,228	100.0%	100.0%
Median Age	78		75		38

Sources: (1) 2010 ACS 5-Year Estimates (Table S0101);
(2) 2018 ACS 5-Year Estimates (Table S0101).

Overall, the age distribution for Laguna Woods is skewed toward higher percentages of senior populations compared with Orange County as a whole. As shown in Table B, the percentage of the Laguna Woods’ population that is 65 years of age and older (83 percent) is substantially higher than that of Orange County (14 percent). Laguna Woods’ median age (75) is also substantially higher than

Orange County's median age (38). This reflects the fact that a large percentage of Laguna Woods residents live in Laguna Woods Village, an age-restricted retirement community for residents who are 55 years of age and older that occupies a majority of Laguna Woods' area. The proportion of children (ages 17 and under) and adults aged 18 to 64 are also notably lower in Laguna Woods compared to Orange County.

1.1.3 Race and Ethnicity

Historically, White residents have been the majority racial group within Laguna Woods. Table C displays the racial and ethnic composition of Laguna Woods' population in 2010 and 2018 and compares this with the countywide distribution. While changes since 2010 have generally been slight, Laguna Woods is trending toward a more diverse population. In 2018, White residents made up 78 percent of Laguna Woods' population, representing an 11 percent decrease since 2010. The proportion of White residents in Laguna Woods is also notably higher than that of Orange County as a whole (61 percent countywide).

Table C: Racial and Ethnic Composition (2010 and 2018)

Racial/Ethnic Group	2010		2018		
	Persons	Percent	Persons	Percent	Orange County Percent
White	14,499	89.0%	12,678	78.1%	61.7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,357	8.3%	3,142	19.4%	20.4%
Black or African American	126	0.8%	73	0.4%	1.7%
American Indian	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.5%
Other Race	208	1.3%	172	1.1%	11.7%
Two or More Races	105	0.6%	155	1.0%	4.1%
Total	16,295		16,228		
Hispanic	723	4.4%	821	5.1%	34.1%
Non-Hispanic	15,572	95.6%	15,407	94.9%	65.9%
Total	16,295		16,228		

Sources: (1) 2010 ACS 5-year estimates (Tables C02003 and B03003);
(2) 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Tables C02003 and B03002).

While only representing 19 percent of the total population in 2018, the number of Asian/Pacific Islander residents has increased by 11 percent since 2010. Laguna Woods' Asian/Pacific Islander population is now on par with Orange County (19 percent compared to 20 percent). Chinese is the predominant Asian ethnicity represented in Laguna Woods, making up approximately 9 percent of Laguna Woods' total population. There are also large populations of Korean and Filipino residents within Laguna Woods.

The Hispanic population increased by approximately 1 percent from 2010 to 2018. The estimated proportion of Hispanic residents in 2018 was 5 percent, still markedly lower than Orange County, which has an estimated 34 percent Hispanic population.

1.1.4 Employment

An evaluation of the types of jobs held by community residents provides insight into potential earning power and the segment of the housing market into which they fall. Information on how a

community’s employment base is growing and changing can help identify potential housing demand changes in the future.

The State Employment Development Department estimates that 2,900 Laguna Woods residents (or approximately 18 percent of Laguna Woods’ population) were in the labor force in January 2021. This reflects the fact that Laguna Woods’ housing stock is predominantly age-restricted. Laguna Woods has seen a drastic increase in unemployment since March 2020 due to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. In January 2020, Laguna Woods’ unemployment rate was at 2.2 percent; however, it rose sharply in the spring and peaked at 11.6 percent in May 2020. As of January 2021, the unemployment rate within Laguna Woods was 5.6 percent. The unemployment rate of Orange County was at 2.9 percent in January 2020 and has seen a similar spike. As of January 2021, unemployment in Orange County as a whole was 7.4 percent. While the region’s economy appears to show signs of recovery, the long-term impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on employment within Laguna Woods and Orange County remain unknown.

Table D presents the occupations of Laguna Woods residents, based on the 2014–2018 American Community Survey (ACS) estimates. The largest proportion of Laguna Woods residents are employed in educational services and health care and social assistance occupations at 22 percent, followed by finance and insurance and real estate, rental, and leasing occupations at 15.6 percent. Approximately 61 percent of employed residents in Laguna Woods commute less than 25 minutes to work, indicating that a majority of working residents hold jobs within Laguna Woods or in immediately adjacent communities.

Table D: Occupation of Residents (2018)

Occupation	Population	Percent
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0	0.0%
Construction	52	1.7%
Manufacturing	285	9.2%
Wholesale trade	123	4.0%
Retail trade	347	11.2%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	78	2.5%
Information	42	1.4%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	484	15.6%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	483	15.5%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	695	22.4%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	249	8.0%
Other services, except public administration	188	6.0%
Public administration	83	2.7%
Total Civilians Employed	3,109	100.00%

Source: 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S2405).

Laguna Woods itself has a limited employment base. As of 2019, the five largest employers in Laguna Woods were Laguna Woods Village, Professional Community Management of California, Stater Brothers, Rainbow Realty Corporation, and OCB Restaurant Company.

In 2019, the Orange County Business Council updated the results of its Workforce Housing Scorecard. This report provides a comprehensive evaluation of the current and future state of Orange County's housing supply and demand and its impact on the business community. Based on the following criteria, the scorecard rates each jurisdiction's record over the 2016 to 2030 time period in addressing workforce housing needs:

- Total job growth
- Housing as a percent of total Orange County housing
- Jobs-to-housing ratio
- Change in housing density

Based on the above factors, Laguna Woods ranks 33rd of the 34 cities in Orange County. Rankings are weighted toward larger cities and cities experiencing new housing development (Irvine was ranked 1st); therefore, a ranking of 33rd is expected for Laguna Woods, as it is the 31st largest city within Orange County and has not experienced any new housing growth since the development of the San Sebastian Apartments in 2008. It should be noted that Laguna Woods ranked 26th in job growth, indicating a modest need for new housing within Laguna Woods.

1.2 HOUSEHOLD PROFILE

Household type and size, income levels, and the presence of special-needs populations all affect the type of housing needed by residents and are important indicators of where intervention and/or housing programs may be needed. Household income levels are indicators of housing affordability, just as the ratio of owners to renters may impact the stability of the housing market. This section details the various household characteristics affecting housing needs in Laguna Woods.

1.2.1 Household Type

A household is defined as the total number of persons living in a housing unit, whether related or unrelated. The Census Bureau definition of a "family" is a group of two people or more (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together; all such people (including related subfamily members) are considered as members of one family. A single person living alone is also a household. "Other" households are unrelated people residing in the same dwelling unit. Group quarters, such as dormitories or convalescent homes, are not considered households.

As shown in Table E, the 2014–2018 ACS estimates there are 11,156 households in Laguna Woods, with an average household size of 1.45 persons and an average family size of 2.07 persons. While the average household size in Laguna Woods saw a 2.8 percent increase from 2010, the average family size decreased by 2.4 percent. Laguna Woods' average household and family size is lower compared to that of Orange County as a whole (3.02 and 3.51, respectively).

Table E: Household Characteristics (2010 and 2018)

Household & Family Type	2010		2018		Percent Change
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total Population	16,295	100.0%	16,228	100.0%	-0.4%
In Group Quarters	167	1.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Households	11,470	100.0%	11,156	100.0%	-2.7%
Family Households	3,733	32.5%	4,107	36.8%	10.0%
Married Couple Families	3,215	28.0%	3,645	32.7%	13.4%
Single Parent Households	29	0.3%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Non-family Households	7,737	67.5%	7,049	63.2%	-8.9%
Householder Living Alone	7,272	63.4%	6,593	59.1%	-9.3%
Householder 65+ (Alone)	6,343	55.3%	5,723	51.3%	-9.8%
Average Household Size	1.41		1.45		2.8%
Average Family Size	2.12		2.07		-2.4%

Sources: (1) 2010 and 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S1101);

(2) 2010 Census (Table PCT38).

N/A = Not Applicable

Nonfamilies constitute the majority of households in Laguna Woods (63 percent). The proportion of single-parent households has also remained steady at approximately 4 percent of all households. Family households constituted about 37 percent of all households in 2018, an increase of 10 percent since 2010. Approximately 90 percent of family households are married-couple households, and this has increased since 2010. The proportion of householders living alone also decreased between 2010 and 2018.

1.2.2 Household Income

Household income is one of the most important factors affecting housing opportunity and determining a household's ability to balance housing costs with other basic necessities of life while avoiding housing problems such as cost burden and overcrowding.

1.2.2.1 Income Definitions

The State and federal governments classify household income into several groupings based upon the relationship to the county area median income (AMI), adjusted for household size. The Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California (HCD) utilizes the income groups presented in Table F. Federal housing programs utilize slightly different income groupings and definitions, with the highest income category generally ending at >95 percent AMI. For purposes of the Housing Element, the State HCD income definitions are used throughout, with the exception of data compiled by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which is specifically noted.

Table F: HCD Income Categories

Income Category	Percent Annual Median Income (AMI)
Extremely Low	0-30% AMI
Very Low	0-50% AMI
Low	51-80% AMI
Moderate	81-120% AMI
Above Moderate	120%+ AMI

Source: California Department of Housing and Community Development.

1.2.2.2 Income Characteristics

The 2014–2018 ACS estimated the median annual income of households in Laguna Woods to be \$41,928. This is an increase of approximately 13 percent from 2010, when the median annual income was \$36,818. Figure 1 illustrates the 2018 median household income for Laguna Woods and surrounding communities and compares them to the median income for Orange County. Of the jurisdictions included, Laguna Woods had a significantly lower median household income than the Orange County median of \$85,398.


Figure 1: Median Household Income (2018)

Table G shows the number of Laguna Woods households living within the HCD income categories described above for 2010 and 2017. The number of households within the very low- and low-income categories has decreased overall since 2010. As of 2017, approximately 69 percent of Laguna Woods households fell within one of the lower income categories. The proportion of households with moderate or above-moderate incomes increased from 30.1 percent in 2010 to 31.1 percent in 2017.

Table G: Household Income Levels (2010–2018)

Income Level	2010		2017		2010–2017 Percent Change
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	
Extremely Low Income (<30% AMI)	2,870	25.2%	2,880	25.6%	0.3%
Very Low Income (31-50% AMI)	2,650	23.3%	2,455	21.8%	-7.4%
Low Income (51-80% AMI)	2,435	21.4%	2,415	21.5%	-0.8%
Moderate/Above Moderate Income (>80% AMI)	3,420	30.1%	3,500	31.1%	2.3%
Total Households	11,375	100.0%	11,250	100.0%	-1.1%

Source: CHAS Data, 2010 and 2017.
AMI = Annual Median Income

Table H shows the income level of Laguna Woods households by tenure. A total of 76 percent of renter households were lower income (<80 percent AMI), compared to 67 percent of owner households. 31 percent of renter households were categorized as extremely low income (<30 percent AMI), and 26 percent were very low income households (31 to 50 percent AMI).

Table H: Household Income Levels by Tenure (2017)

Income Level	Renter		Owner	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Extremely Low Income (<30% AMI)	840	31.2%	2,040	23.8%
Very Low Income (31-50% AMI)	685	25.5%	1,770	20.7%
Low Income (51-80% AMI)	505	18.8%	1,910	22.3%
Moderate Income & Above (> 80% AMI)	660	24.5%	2,840	33.2%
Total	2,690	100.0%	8,560	100.0%

Source: CHAS Data, 2017.
AMI = Annual Median Income

1.2.2.3 Households in Poverty

The federal government publishes national poverty thresholds that define the minimum income level necessary to obtain the necessities of life. Table I shows the number of households within Laguna Woods living in poverty by household type. A total of 242 households within Laguna Woods are living below the federal poverty threshold, representing approximately 6 percent of all households. Approximately 1 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are female-headed households living below the poverty level. Of these female-headed households living below the poverty level, 9 of the households (or 0.2 percent of all households in Laguna Woods) have children under the age of 18. Another significant group living in poverty are seniors. As shown in Table I, approximately 6 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are senior-headed households living below the poverty level.

Table I: Poverty by Household Type

Household Type	Below Poverty Level	
	Number	Percent
Family Households	242	6.1%
Female-Headed Households	36	0.9%
With Children	9	0.2%
Senior-Headed Households (65+)	230	5.8%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates.

1.2.3 Special-Needs Populations

State law recognizes that certain households have more difficulty in finding adequate and affordable housing due to special circumstances and may also have lower incomes as a result of these circumstances. Special-needs populations include seniors, persons with disabilities, female-headed households, large households, farmworkers, and the homeless. Table J summarizes the special-needs populations in Laguna Woods. Each of these population groups, as well as their housing needs, is described below.

Table J: Special Needs Groups

Special Needs Group	Persons or Households	Renter	Owner	Percent of Total
Households with a Senior Member	9,701	--	--	88.2%
Senior-headed Households	3,495	--	--	26.3%
Seniors Living Alone	5,622	--	--	42.3%
Single-Parent Households	0	--	--	0.0%
Female Single-Parent Households	0	--	--	0.0%
Large Households (5+ members)	13	0	13	0.3%
Agricultural Workers	0	--	--	0.0%
Persons with Disabilities	4,364	--	--	27.3%
Homeless	5	--	--	0.04%

Sources: (1) 2019 ACS 5-year estimates;

(2) 2019 homelessness point-in-time counts processed by SCAG.

-- = Data not available.

1.2.3.1 Large Households

Large households consist of five or more persons and are considered a special-needs group due to the limited availability of affordable and adequately sized housing. Large households often live in overcrowded conditions due to both the lack of large-enough units and insufficient income to afford available units of adequate size. In 2018, Laguna Woods had a total of 13 large households, representing 0.3 percent of total households in Laguna Woods. These large households consisted entirely of owner households (Table J). Laguna Woods has a small number of larger homes compared to many communities in the region, with only 100 housing units (0.9 percent of all occupied housing units) containing four or more bedrooms, according to the 2014–2018 ACS. This suggests that Laguna Woods does not have any unmet housing need for large households.

1.2.3.2 Senior Households

Approximately 83 percent of Laguna Woods residents are age 65 or older. This is an increase from 2010, when 80 percent of the population were seniors. Senior-headed households make up a significant proportion (26 percent) of all households in Laguna Woods. Additionally, 42 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are seniors living alone.

Seniors may have a number of special needs including, housing, transportation, health care, and other services. Approximately 30 percent of Laguna Woods' senior population has one or more disability that may need to be taken into consideration when finding appropriate housing. Rising rents are a particular concern due to the fact that most seniors are on fixed incomes.

Laguna Woods is unique in that it predominantly comprises private communities that are age-restricted for adults 55 years and older. Of the housing units in Laguna Woods, the majority are located in the private gated community of Laguna Woods Village, with the remaining units in four separate communities. The various housing options, levels of amenities, and services provided at each of these communities are described below. There is a discrepancy of the total number of housing units located in Laguna Woods between City of Laguna Woods (City) records and the United States Census. It should be noted that the City's records, which reflect the numbers identified below, total 13,386, which is 988 more than what is identified by the United States Census (12,398 housing units).

- **Laguna Woods Village:** Laguna Woods Village contains 12,736 owned condominium and cooperative units. Owners within Laguna Woods Village pay a homeowners' association fee that is used to provide residents with public transportation, patrolled private security, clubhouses with special programs, and recreational activities. Also included within the Laguna Woods Village community are two golf courses for the private use of Laguna Woods Village residents.
- **Las Palmas:** Las Palmas is a licensed residential care community with 184 rental units. Services include the dispensing of medication and assistance with bathing, dressing, and other activities of daily living determined by a care plan tailored to specifically meet the individual needs of the residents. Amenities include meal service, transportation, housekeeping, laundry services, and access to recreational facilities, including a putting green, shuffleboard court, pool, and spa. Activity programs include regular trips to shopping centers and other destinations.
- **The Regency:** The Regency is a licensed residential care community that consists of 192 rental units for seniors and offers both independent and assisted living on a month-to-month basis. Services and amenities include daily meals, housekeeping, laundry services for bed and bath linens, scheduled transportation, group excursions, and social events. The Regency is the only residential community that, under unique circumstances, will accommodate individuals younger than 55 within its assisted living facility.
- **San Sebastian:** San Sebastian contains 134 rental units, including 17 affordable units for lower-income residents. San Sebastian is also an age-restricted independent living apartment facility; therefore, all of the affordable housing units at San Sebastian are reserved for seniors.
- **Whispering Fountains:** Whispering Fountains contains 140 rental units. Although age-restricted, the Fountains operates as an apartment community and does not provide assisted living services, special programs, or amenities.

Several resources for seniors exist in and near Laguna Woods and include the following:

- **Age Well Senior Services:** This nonprofit organization provides health and wellness programs, home delivery of meals, and nonemergency medical transit service to seniors in Laguna Woods. The organization operates the Florence Sylvester Memorial Senior Center in neighboring Laguna Hills, where it provides congregate meals, social services case management, senior activities and classes, information, and referral services.

- **Foundation of Laguna Woods Village:** This nonprofit organization partners with the Laguna Woods Village Social Services Department to provide temporary emergency financial assistance with supermarket cash cards, prescription payments, bill payments for utility services, medical and dental, caregiver and respite care services, taxi vouchers for travel to health care providers in Orange County, and gas cards to qualifying residents of Laguna Woods Village.
- **Helping Hands Senior Foundation:** This nonprofit organization provides social workers who can connect seniors with free or low-cost in-home health care, palliative care, adult day care, medical equipment, food assistance, and financial assistance resources, as well as provide support with navigating health insurance claims and payments.
- **Laguna Woods Village Social Services Department:** This private organization offers social workers who can help Laguna Woods Village residents with short-term counseling, crisis intervention, support groups, educational programs, long-term care planning, and resource referrals.
- **Orange County Housing Authority:** This government agency is responsible for administering federally funded programs that provide monthly rental assistance to qualified tenants, including low-income seniors, in privately owned rental housing in Orange County. The largest such program is referred to as the Housing Choice Voucher Program. Participants who receive a Housing Voucher can use this rental assistance in a variety of rental dwellings and locations with almost any property owner who is willing to participate in the program.

Based on the available resources in the community, there is no unmet need for senior housing.

1.2.3.3 Single-Parent Households

Single-parent households typically have a special need for such services as childcare and health care, among others, and often live with only one income. According to the 2014–2018 ACS, Laguna Woods did not have any single-parent households with young children (under the age of 18) (Table J). Therefore, Laguna Woods does not have any unmet housing need for single-parent households, including female-headed households.

1.2.3.4 Persons with Disabilities

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), an individual with a disability is defined as a person who has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, a person who has a history or record of such an impairment, or a person who is perceived by others as having such an impairment.¹ Major life activities include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, seeing, hearing, eating, sleeping, walking, standing, lifting, bending,

¹ United States Department of Justice. 2020. A Guide to Disability Rights Laws. February. Website: <https://www.ada.gov/cguide.htm#anchor62335> (accessed April 23, 2021).

speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating, thinking, communicating, and working.² Disabled persons often have special housing needs related to limited earning capacity, a lack of accessible and affordable housing, and higher health costs associated with a disability. In recognition of the fact that disabilities can affect a range of major life activities, the ACS questionnaire gathers data about six key disability types: hearing difficulty, vision difficulty, cognitive difficulty, ambulatory difficulty, self-care disability, and independent living difficulty. Table K shows the number of Laguna Woods residents with a disability as well as the number of Laguna Woods residents 65 years of age or older that have a disability. According to the 2014–2018 ACS, an estimated 27 percent of Laguna Woods residents (4,364 persons) have one or more disabilities. Approximately 30 percent of the senior population have one or more disabilities.

Table K: Disability Status

Disability Type	Persons with Disability	Percent of Total	Persons with Disability, Age 65+	Percent of Total
With a hearing difficulty	1,844	11.5%	1,733	13.1%
With a vision difficulty	705	4.4%	658	5.0%
With a cognitive difficulty	1,058	6.6%	925	7.0%
With an ambulatory difficulty	2,651	16.6%	2,473	18.7%
With a self-care difficulty	1,180	7.4%	1,123	8.5%
With an independent living difficulty	1,774	11.1%	1,650	12.5%
Total Persons with Disabilities	4,364	100.00%	3,972	100.00%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S1810).

Disabled individuals have unique housing needs because they may be limited in mobility or in their ability to care for themselves. In addition, the earning power of disabled persons may be limited. Their housing need is also often compounded by design and location requirements, which can drive up housing costs. For example, wheelchair-bound or semi-ambulatory individuals may require ramps, holding bars, special bathroom designs, wider doorways, lower cabinets, and other interior and exterior design features. Affordable housing and housing programs that address accessibility can assist these individuals with their specific housing needs.

There are a number of housing types appropriate for people living with a disability: rent-subsidized homes, licensed and unlicensed single-family homes, inclusionary housing, Housing Choice vouchers, special programs for home purchase, HUD housing, and group homes. The design of housing accessibility modifications, the proximity to services and transit, and the availability of group living opportunities represent some of the types of considerations that are important in serving this needs group. Incorporating barrier-free design in all new multifamily housing (as required by California and federal fair housing laws) is especially important to provide the widest range of choices for disabled residents. Special consideration should also be given to the affordability of housing, as people with disabilities may be living on a fixed income.

² United States Department of Labor. 2009. ADA Amendments Act of 2008 Frequently Asked Questions. January 1. Website: <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ofccp/faqs/americans-with-disabilities-act-amendments#:~:text=Major%20life%20activities%20include%2C%20but,thinking%2C%20communicating%2C%20and%20working> (accessed April 23, 2021).

Both the federal Fair Housing Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act impose an affirmative duty on local governments to make reasonable accommodations (that is, modifications or exceptions) in their zoning and other land use regulations when such accommodations may be necessary to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. For example, it may be a reasonable accommodation to allow covered ramps in the setbacks of properties that have already been developed to accommodate residents with mobility impairments.

The City does not require special building codes or onerous project review to construct, improve, or convert housing for persons with disabilities. Community care facilities with six or fewer persons are permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. Community care facilities with seven or more persons are permitted in all residential zoning districts, subject to Conditional Use Permit (CUP) approval. In addition, several housing types for persons with disabilities require a CUP that is no more stringent than those for other conditional uses:

- Congregate care facilities are permitted with an approved use permit in all residential zones and the Community Commercial (CC) zone.
- Family Day Care for 14 or fewer persons is also permitted in the Residential Multifamily (RMF) and Residential Community (RC) zones.

The City has adopted the 2019 California Building Code (CBC). Standards within the CBC include provisions to ensure accessibility for persons with disabilities. These standards are consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act. No local amendments that would constrain accessibility or increase the cost of housing for persons with disabilities have been adopted.

As discussed previously, Laguna Woods has two residential care facilities that are licensed by the State Community Care Licensing Division of the California Department of Social Services: Las Palmas and the Regency.

1.2.3.5 Developmentally Disabled

According to California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 4512, a developmental disability means “a disability that originates before an individual attains age 18 years, continues, or can be expected to continue, indefinitely, and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual. As defined by the Director of Developmental Services, in consultation with the Superintendent of Public Instruction, this term shall include intellectual disability, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and autism. This term shall also include disabling conditions found to be closely related to intellectual disability or to require treatment similar to that required for individuals with an intellectual disability, but shall not include other handicapping conditions that are solely physical in nature.”

Many developmentally disabled persons can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. More severely disabled individuals require a group living environment where supervision is provided. The most severely affected individuals may require an institutional environment where medical attention and physical therapy are provided. Because developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in supportive housing for the developmentally

disabled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult.

The California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) estimates that 30 persons with developmental disabilities were residing in Laguna Woods as of December 2020. All of the individuals with developmental disabilities residing in Laguna Woods were over the age of 18, and the majority of the developmentally disabled individuals in Laguna Woods live in the home of their parent, family, or guardian.

The Regional Center of Orange County is one of 21 regional centers in the State that provides point of entry to services for people with developmental disabilities. The center is a private, nonprofit community agency that contracts with local businesses to offer a wide range of services to individuals with developmental disabilities and their families.

The most obvious housing need for persons with disabilities is housing that is adapted to their needs. Most single-family homes are inaccessible to people with mobility and sensory limitations. Housing may not be adaptable to widened doorways and hallways, access ramps, larger bathrooms, lowered countertops, and other features necessary for accessibility. Location of housing is also an important factor for many persons with disabilities, as they often rely upon public transportation to travel to necessary services and shops. "Barrier free design" housing, accessibility modifications, proximity to services and transit, and group living opportunities are important in serving this group.

Within Laguna Woods, there are services currently in place tailored to mobility-impaired individuals including private bus services and subsidized taxi service that supplement public transportation options. The City has also adopted a reasonable-accommodations ordinance designed to reduce barriers for special-needs populations.

1.2.3.6 Homelessness

In collaboration with other nonprofit organizations, the Orange County Department of Community Resources is responsible for the countywide biennial point-in-time homeless count. For the purpose of the point-in-time count, the definition of homelessness includes unsheltered individuals and families "with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground." The count of sheltered homeless individuals and families includes those "living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, State, or local government programs for low-income individuals)" on the night designated for the count.

Based upon the 2019 point-in-time count, there were a total of 6,860 homeless individuals residing within Orange County, with 5 individuals counted in Laguna Woods. All of the homeless individuals within Laguna Woods were unsheltered, as there are no homeless shelters located within Laguna Woods. Since 2013, the homeless population in Orange County has steadily increased, with the largest increase occurring between 2017 and 2019 (43 percent increase). The 2019 Count indicated

that all five of those counted within Laguna Woods were individuals and not part of a family unit experiencing homelessness.

People experiencing homelessness include families and individuals representing every race, age group, and community in Orange County. As the cost of living in Orange County and in all of Southern California continues to rise, and as unemployment remains high, homelessness has become more prevalent.

In the past, several factors have contributed to homelessness, including difficulty in obtaining employment, lack of ongoing care for the mentally ill, substance abuse, and inadequate education. In recent years, however, a shortage of affordable housing opportunities coincident with a growing population of low-income renters has added to the problem.

Residential care facilities, which are facilities for individuals who require 24-hour supervision but who do not generally need medical care beyond routine health checks and medication monitoring, are permitted in Laguna Woods in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential uses. The State of California is the authority for licensing, inspection, and enforcement for community care facilities throughout California. The State has preempted local controls over certain types of residential care facilities.

The County of Orange coordinates a comprehensive regional Continuum of Care (CoC) system to address homelessness in Orange County. The CoC is a housing and service delivery system for the homeless and is designed to do the following:

- Promote communitywide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness through regional coordination and collaboration.
- Advocate for funding and resources to end homelessness and provide funding for proven efforts by nonprofit providers, states, and local governments to quickly rehouse people experiencing homelessness, while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused to homeless individuals, families, and communities by homelessness.
- Promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families.
- Promote implementation of best practices and evidence-based approaches to homeless programming and services.

Other organizations and facilities in nearby or surrounding communities that may provide shelter and services to people experiencing homelessness in Laguna Woods are listed in Table L. It should be noted that some of these facilities prioritize their services to people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to the cities in which they are located.

Table L: Local Facilities for Persons Experiencing Homelessness

Organization/Facility	Beds and/or Services Provided
Alternative Sleeping Location Emergency Shelter (operated by Friendship Shelter) 20652 Laguna Canyon Rd Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (949) 494-6928	Provides emergency night shelter for up to 45 people, a 30-day rapid housing program, as well as a drop-in day program between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. This organization prioritizes services to those people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to Laguna Beach.
Bridge Housing Program (operated by Friendship Shelter) PO Box 4252 Laguna Beach, CA 92652 (949) 494-6928	Provides dormitory-style housing for up to 32 people at a time, with all meals, case management, and an array of support services provided. Serves 120-140 individuals annually. This organization also provides a permanent supportive housing program intended for clients who are chronically homeless and are unable to work due to a disability, including physical or mental health conditions. Clients are housed in scattered-site apartments and receive ongoing supportive services from Friendship Shelter staff. This organization prioritizes services to those people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to Laguna Beach.
Human Options 5540 Trabuco Road Irvine, CA 92620 (949) 737-5242	Provides emergency shelter for individuals or families in domestic violence situations seeking shelter. Serves approximately 350 adults and children annually. Services include individual and group counseling, case management, legal advocacy, and children’s programs. Also provides a transitional housing program.
Laura’s House 999 Corporate Drive, Suite 225 Ladera Ranch, CA 92694 (949) 361-3775	Provides emergency shelter (52 beds) for up to 45 days for individuals or families in domestic violence situations seeking shelter. Services include individual and group counseling, life skills classes, case management, legal advocacy, and children’s programs. Also provides transitional housing for 5–7 families at a time for 3–6 months.
Orange County Rescue Mission One Hope Drive Tustin, CA 92782 (714) 247-4379	Provides transitional, recuperative, and emergency housing for up to 262 homeless men, women, and children. Services include medical and dental care, meals, job training, case management, substance abuse treatment, parent training, anger management training, mental health care assessment and treatment, housing assistance and placement, and life skills training.

Note: Compiled by LSA, 2021. B based on information from <https://www.homelessshelterdirectory.org/> and the individual websites for each service provider.

1.2.3.7 Farmworkers

Farmworkers are traditionally defined as persons whose primary incomes are earned through seasonal agricultural work. Farmworkers have special housing needs because they earn lower incomes than many other workers and move throughout the season from one harvest to the next. The 2015–2019 ACS estimates that there are no Laguna Woods residents who hold farming, fishing, or forestry occupations. There is no agriculturally designated land within Laguna Woods.

The United States Department of Agriculture National Agriculture Statistics provide state- and county-level data on hired farm labor across the United States. Within Orange County, a total of 99 farms reportedly hired 1,772 workers in 2017. Permanent workers, those who work 150 days or more, represent the largest category of workers with 1,106 workers (62 percent). A total of 666 workers (38 percent) are considered seasonal and work less than 150 days. Orange County

reported 340 migrant workers (19 percent) with full-time hired labor and 176 unpaid workers in 2017.

Although there are no farmworkers identified within Laguna Woods, the median annual salary for the agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining industry in Orange County in 2019 was \$24,592, which is 29 percent of the Orange County median income (\$85,398) and is considered a very low income (2019). Because farmworkers make up no percentage of Laguna Woods' total population, no specific programs for this special-needs group are necessary. Given the limited need to provide for farmworker housing in Orange County, the City's efforts to provide housing at affordable prices to very-low income households should address the needs of permanent and seasonal farmworker populations.

1.3 HOUSING STOCK CHARACTERISTICS

This section identifies the characteristics of Laguna Woods' physical housing stock. This includes an analysis of housing growth trends, housing conditions, housing prices and rents, and housing affordability.

1.3.1 Housing Growth

Table M shows housing production in Laguna Woods, compared to neighboring cities and Orange County as a whole. According to the California Department of Finance, Laguna Woods experienced a slight reduction in housing units between 2000 and 2010. This is in contrast to Orange County, which saw an almost 8 percent increase in housing stock over the same decade. The surrounding communities of Irvine and Lake Forest saw large amounts of new housing construction in the early 2000s.

Table M: Regional Housing Growth Trends

Jurisdiction	Total Housing Units			Percent Change	
	2000	2010	2020	2000–2010	2010–2020
Laguna Woods	13,629	13,079	13,079	-4.0%	0.0%
Aliso Viejo	N/A	18,861	20,192	N/A	7.1%
Irvine	53,711	81,110	108,822	51.0%	34.2%
Laguna Beach	12,965	12,923	13,027	-0.3%	0.8%
Laguna Hills	10,324	10,989	11,298	6.4%	2.8%
Lake Forest	20,486	27,161	30,244	32.6%	11.4%
Orange County	969,484	1,046,118	1,111,421	7.9%	6.2%

Sources: (1) CA Dept. of Finance E-8 Historical Population and Housing Estimates, 2010.

(2) CA Dept. of Finance E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates, 2020.

N/A = Not Applicable

The California Department of Finance estimates that in 2020 there were 13,079 housing units within Laguna Woods. This has remained consistent since 2010. The increase in housing stock in Orange County was notably higher at 6 percent. As Laguna Woods is a maturing suburban community with primarily small site and infill development, it is expected that the increase in the housing stock in Laguna Woods would be modest and lower than the countywide rate.

1.3.2 Housing Type and Tenure

Table N presents the mix of housing types in Laguna Woods. The California Department of Finance estimates that of the 13,079 units in Laguna Woods, 4,639 are single-family units (36 percent). Approximately 65 percent of Laguna Woods’ housing stock is multifamily units. Laguna Woods also has no mobile home parks within its local housing stock. The composition of Laguna Woods’ housing stock has remained relatively unchanged over the last two decades.

Table N: Housing Units by Type (2000–2020)

Unit Type	2000		2010		2020	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
Single-Family (SF) Detached	675	5.3%	918	7.0%	918	7.0%
SF Attached	3,726	29.4%	3,721	28.5%	3,721	28.5%
Total SF	4,401	34.8%	4,639	35.5%	4,639	35.5%
2 to 4 Units	2,298	18.2%	2,237	17.1%	2,237	17.1%
5 or more units	5,934	46.9%	6,203	47.4%	6,203	47.4%
Total Multi-Family	8,232	65.0%	8,440	64.5%	8,440	64.5%
Mobile Homes & Other	15	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total Housing Units	12,657	100.0%	13,079	100.0%	13,079	100.0%
Vacancy Rate	7.52%	--	11.7%	--	10.8%	--

Sources: (1) U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Census.
(2) CA Dept. of Finance E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates, 2020.

Housing tenure refers to whether a housing unit is owned, is rented, or is vacant. Tenure is an important indicator of the housing climate of a community, reflecting the relative cost of housing opportunities and the ability of residents to afford housing. Tenure also influences residential mobility, with owner units generally evidencing lower turnover rates than rental housing. Table O indicates the total number of renter-occupied and owner-occupied housing units in Laguna Woods in 2010 and 2018. The ownership rate within Laguna Woods has declined slightly from 78 percent in 2010 to 74 percent in 2018. However, the homeownership rate continues to be higher than the countywide homeownership rate of 57 percent.

Table O: Housing Tenure (2010 and 2018)

Occupied Housing Units	2010		2018	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Renter	2,523	22.0%	2,851	25.6%
Owner	8,947	78.0%	8,305	74.4%
TOTAL	11,470	100%	11,156	100%

Source: 2010 and 2018 ACS 5-year estimates.

1.3.3 Vacancy Rate

A vacancy rate measures the overall housing availability in a community and is often a good indicator of how efficiently for-sale and rental housing units are meeting the current demand for housing. A vacancy rate of 5 percent for rental housing and 2 percent for ownership housing is generally considered healthy and suggests that there is a balance between the demand and supply of housing. A lower vacancy rate may indicate that households are having difficulty in finding

housing that is affordable, leading to overcrowding or households having to pay more than they can afford. A low vacancy rate or a particularly tight housing market may also lead to high competition for units, raising rental and housing prices substantially.

The 2014–2018 ACS estimated that the vacancy rate for owner-occupied units was 2.3 percent, and the rental vacancy rate was 5.9 percent. These vacancy rates suggest a relatively healthy housing market for both residents looking to purchase a home and renters.

1.3.4 Housing Age and Condition

The age of a community’s housing stock can provide an indicator of overall housing conditions. Typically, housing over 30 years in age is likely to have rehabilitation needs that may include new plumbing, roof repairs, foundation work, and other repairs. Table P displays the age of occupied housing stock by tenure as of 2018. Over two-thirds of Laguna Woods’ housing stock was built between 1960 and 1979. Of Laguna Woods’ current housing stock, approximately 98 percent will be over 30 years old by the end of the 2021 to 2029 planning cycle. As a built-out community, Laguna Woods has a low proportion of newer units, with less than 0.8 percent built since 2000.

Table P: Age of Housing Stock (2019)

Year Structure Built	Renter-Occupied Units		Owner-Occupied Units		Total Occupied Units	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
2010 or later	12	0.4%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%
2000-2009	58	2.0%	14	0.2%	72	0.7%
1980-1999	377	12.9%	391	4.8%	768	7.0%
1960-1979	2,229	76.1%	6,874	85.1%	9,103	82.7%
1940-1959	254	8.7%	718	8.9%	972	8.8%
1939 or earlier	0	0.0%	76	0.9%	76	0.7%
Total	2,930	100.0%	8,073	100.0%	11,003	100.0%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates.

A greater proportion of rental housing (12.9 percent) was constructed between 1980 and 1999, when compared to owner-occupied housing (4.8 percent). Conversely, a greater proportion of owner-occupied housing was constructed between 1960 and 1979 (85 percent), compared to renter-occupied housing (82 percent).

The City’s code enforcement services provider indicated that the City opened 88 cases in 2019 and 123 cases in 2020, the majority of which related to commercial properties (87.5 percent in 2019 and 86.9 percent in 2020). The City’s code enforcement services provider conducted a windshield survey in early October 2021 of all residential units within Laguna Woods to identify housing units with visible signs of damage or disrepair (e.g., deteriorated roofs, stucco damage, and broken or deteriorated windows) in an effort to estimate the number of housing units that are in need of rehabilitation and replacement. The windshield survey identified only five locations with minor maintenance issues, including minor wood rot, minor water damage on an exterior wall, and a crack at the top of a window. Given the small number of code enforcement cases and limited extent of the issues identified in the windshield survey, it is reasonable to conclude that Laguna Woods is a generally well-maintained community. Most of the City’s housing stock is located within actively

managed communities with boards or associations and on-site property management that ensure adequate maintenance. Consequently, there are few violations or complaints related to residential properties that require City intervention.

Due to Laguna Woods’ relative lack of substandard housing and health and safety code violations, the City does not require any specialized code enforcement programs (e.g., occupancy inspection, rental inspection, or neighborhood enhancement). Nevertheless, the Housing Element includes a program for the City to formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents. As the name suggests, this effort is meant to be proactive to help promote the good condition and upkeep of Laguna Woods’ housing stock.

1.3.5 Housing Costs and Affordability

The cost of housing is directly related to the extent of housing problems in a community. If housing costs are relatively high in comparison to household income, there will be a higher prevalence of overpayment and overcrowding. This section summarizes the cost and affordability of the housing stock to Laguna Woods residents.

1.3.5.1 Rental Housing Market

According to the 2014–2018 ACS, the rental vacancy rate in Laguna Woods was 5.9 percent, indicating a tight rental market in Laguna Woods. A point-in-time survey of available rental units within Laguna Woods listed on Zillow and Apartments.com was conducted in early April 2021. While not comprehensive, it provides a snapshot of the types of units available, as well as typical market rents. Table Q includes the results of the survey by number of bedrooms.

Table Q: Median and Average Market Rents by Number of Bedrooms (April 2021)

Number of Bedrooms	Units Advertised	Rent Range	Average Rent	Median Rent
0	2	\$2,320-\$2,520	\$2,420	\$2,420
1	16	\$1,550-\$2,700	\$2,039	\$1,825
2	58	\$2,150-3,950	\$2,378	\$2,200
3	5	\$2,400-\$3,950	\$2,964	\$2,895
All Units	81	\$1,550-3,950	\$2,349	\$2,200

Source: Zillow.com and Apartments.com, accessed April 9, 2021.

A total of 81 units were listed for rent, with a median rent of \$2,200. Two-bedroom units were the most prevalently available in Laguna Woods, with rents ranging from \$2,150 to \$3,950 and a median rent of \$2,200.

1.3.5.2 Homeownership Market

Table R shows median home sale prices over 2 years for Laguna Woods and nearby communities. The February 2021 median home sales price in Laguna Woods represented a 14.5 percent decrease from February 2020. In contrast, Orange County as a whole experienced an increase in median sales prices during that time period, and many neighboring jurisdictions also saw only slight to moderate

Table R: Annual Median Home Prices (2020–2021)

Jurisdiction	February 2020 Median Sales Price	February 2021 Median Sales Price	Percent Change 2020-2021
Laguna Woods	\$380,000	\$325,000	-14.5%
Aliso Viejo	\$545,000	\$675,000	23.9%
Irvine	\$871,500	\$900,000	3.3%
Laguna Beach	\$1,972,500	\$2,230,000	13.1%
Laguna Hills	\$785,000	\$813,000	3.6%
Lake Forest	\$742,000	\$789,000	6.3%
Orange County	\$748,500	\$820,000	9.6%

Source: Corelogic.com, California Home Sale Activity by City, February 2021.

increases. With the exception of Laguna Woods, all of the communities listed experienced an increase in home sale prices between February 2020 and February 2021, with Aliso Viejo and Laguna Beach seeing double-digit increases. In February 2021, the median home sales price for Laguna Woods was \$325,000, representing a decrease of over 14 percent from February 2020. Given that this price decline is somewhat anomalous in comparison to other nearby cities, it may be tied to the severe impacts that the COVID-19 pandemic has had on the senior population.

1.3.5.3 Housing Affordability

The affordability of housing in Laguna Woods can be assessed by comparing market rents and sales prices with the amount that households of different income levels can afford to pay for housing. Compared together, this information can reveal who can afford what size and type of housing as well as indicate the types of households that would most likely experience overcrowding or overpayment.

Table S provides estimates of affordable rents and home prices based on HCD's 2021 income limits for Orange County; current mortgage rates (i.e., 3.0 percent for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage); and cost assumptions for utilities, taxes, and insurance.

Based on the housing costs presented earlier in Table R, Laguna Woods residents with lower incomes are unable to afford purchasing a home in Laguna Woods. Additionally, based on the overall median rent presented in Table Q, affordable rentals for lower-income households are difficult to find in Laguna Woods.

Extremely Low-Income Households. Extremely low-income households earn 30 percent or less of the AMI. Based on the assumptions utilized for Table S, the affordable home price for an extremely low-income household ranges from \$66,158 for a five-person household to \$79,721 for a two-person household; therefore, homeownership is out of reach for Laguna Woods residents within this income category. Affordable rents for extremely low-income households range from \$507 to \$637 per month. Based on the median rents presented in Table Q, even one-bedroom units are unaffordable for all extremely low-income households. Severe overpayment or overcrowding may occur as a result of the gap between market costs and affordability.

Table S: Estimated Affordable Housing Price by Income and Household Size (2021)

	Annual Income Limits	Affordable Monthly Housing Cost	Utility Allowance (2020)	Taxes, Insurance and HOA	Affordable Home Price	Affordable Rent
Extremely Low Income (0–30% AMI)						
1-Person (studio)	\$28,250	\$706	\$199	\$247	\$68,591	\$507
2-Person (1 bedroom)	\$32,300	\$808	\$222	\$283	\$79,721	\$586
3-Person (2 bedroom)	\$36,350	\$909	\$293	\$318	\$78,471	\$616
4 Person (3 bedroom)	\$40,350	\$1,009	\$372	\$353	\$74,783	\$637
5 Person (4 bedroom)	\$43,600	\$1,090	\$457	\$382	\$66,158	\$633
Very Low Income (30–50% AMI)						
1-Person	\$47,100	\$1,178	\$199	\$412	\$149,297	\$979
2-Person	\$53,800	\$1,345	\$222	\$471	\$171,829	\$1,123
3-Person	\$60,550	\$1,514	\$293	\$530	\$182,043	\$1,221
4 Person	\$67,250	\$1,681	\$372	\$588	\$190,080	\$1,309
5 Person	\$72,650	\$1,816	\$457	\$636	\$190,607	\$1,359
Low Income (50–80% AMI)						
1-Person	\$75,300	\$1,883	\$199	\$659	\$270,000	\$1,684
2-Person	\$86,050	\$2,151	\$222	\$753	\$309,992	\$1,929
3-Person	\$96,800	\$2,420	\$293	\$847	\$337,335	\$2,127
4 Person	\$107,550	\$2,689	\$372	\$941	\$362,569	\$2,317
5 Person	\$116,200	\$2,905	\$457	\$1,017	\$377,130	\$2,448
Median Income (80–100% AMI)						
1-Person	\$74,700	\$1,868	\$199	\$654	\$267,365	\$1,669
2-Person	\$85,350	\$2,134	\$222	\$747	\$306,962	\$1,912
3-Person	\$96,050	\$2,401	\$293	\$840	\$334,238	\$2,108
4 Person	\$106,700	\$2,668	\$372	\$934	\$358,814	\$2,296
5 Person	\$115,250	\$2,881	\$457	\$1,008	\$373,243	\$2,424
Moderate Income (100–120% AMI)						
1-Person	\$89,650	\$2,241	\$199	\$784	\$331,604	\$2,042
2-Person	\$102,450	\$2,561	\$222	\$896	\$380,359	\$2,339
3-Person	\$115,250	\$2,881	\$293	\$1,008	\$416,465	\$2,588
4 Person	\$128,050	\$3,201	\$372	\$1,120	\$450,462	\$2,829
5 Person	\$138,300	\$3,458	\$457	\$1,210	\$471,875	\$3,001

Sources: (1) HCD, 2021;
(2) Orange County Housing Authority Utility Allowance Schedule, December 2020;
(3) LSA Associates, Inc., 2021.

Assumptions: 2021 HCD income limits; 30.0% gross household income as affordable housing cost; 35.0% of monthly affordable cost for taxes and insurance, 10% down payment; and 3.0% interest rate for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loan. Utilities based on Orange County Housing and Community Development Utility Allowance Schedule.

AMI = Annual Median Income
HOA = Homeowners Association

Very Low-Income Households. Very low-income households earn between 30 percent and 50 percent of the AMI. Very low-income households can afford between \$979 and \$1,359 on monthly rent, depending on household size. However, based on the market rents presented in Table Q, rental units of any size in Laguna Woods would require a very low-income household to pay over the affordability threshold of 30 percent. Home ownership is also unaffordable for very low-income households in Laguna Woods, with affordable home prices for this income category ranging from \$149,297 to \$190,607, well below Laguna Woods’ median home sales price of \$325,000 in February 2021.

Low-Income Households. Low-income households earn between 50 and 80 percent of the AMI. Depending on household size, a low-income household can afford monthly rent between \$1,684 and \$2,448. Based on the market rents in listed Table Q, low-income households would generally be able to afford some one-bedroom units in Laguna Woods. However, larger rental units are still unaffordable for this income category, which may result in overpayment or overcrowding due to larger families renting smaller units. Based on Table S, low-income households can afford home sale prices between \$270,000 and \$377,130. Therefore, homeownership remains largely out of reach for this income group when compared with Laguna Woods' median home sales price as low-income households may only be able to afford the purchase of a small home.

Median-Income Households. Median-income households earn between 80 and 100 percent of the AMI. Median-income households can afford to purchase a home with a purchase price ranging from \$267,365 to \$373,243. With Laguna Woods' median home sales price at \$325,000 in February 2021, homeownership is affordable for median-income households seeking a smaller home. Median-income households can afford a monthly rent payment ranging from \$1,669 for a one-person household to \$2,424 for a five-person household. Based on median market rents within Laguna Woods, median-income households can generally afford one- and two-bedroom rental units, and larger households may be able to afford some lower-priced three-bedroom units. However, four- and five-person households may be overcrowded into smaller units or overpay to afford an appropriately sized unit.

Moderate-Income Households. Moderate-income households earn 100 to 120 percent of the AMI. Moderate-income households can afford a home sales price ranging from \$331,604 to \$471,875. Therefore, with the median home sales price in Laguna Woods at \$325,000 in February 2021, homeownership is affordable to households with moderate incomes. Moderate-income households can afford monthly rent from \$2,042 for a one-person household to \$3,001 for a five-person household. Based on the market rents presented in Table Q, moderate-income households can generally afford one-, two-, and three-bedroom units within Laguna Woods.

1.3.6 Assisted Housing at Risk of Conversion

State Housing Element law requires an analysis of the potential for currently rent-restricted low-income housing units to convert to market rate housing and to propose programs to preserve or replace any units "at risk" of conversion. This section presents an inventory of all assisted rental housing in Laguna Woods and evaluates those units at risk of conversion during the 8-year 2021 to 2029 planning period.

1.3.6.1 Assisted Housing Inventory

Laguna Woods contains one development of assisted multifamily rental housing with 17 affordable units, as presented in Table T. This inventory includes all multifamily rental units assisted under federal, State, and local programs, including HUD, State/local bond programs, density bonus, inclusionary, and local direct assistance programs. As no units within Laguna Woods are at risk of conversion to market rate units in 10 years or less, an analysis has not been conducted to evaluate the replacement value, qualified entities to manage such a project, or potential funding sources for at-risk units.

Table T: Inventory of Subsidized Rental Housing for Lower Income Households

Project Name	Tenant Type	Total Units	Affordable Units	Applicable Programs	Potential Conversion Date
Not At-Risk					
San Sebastian	Senior	134	17	Density Bonus	October 16, 2054
Total		134	17		

1.3.7 Existing Housing Needs

1.3.7.1 Overpayment

Overpayment remains a critical issue for many Laguna Woods residents, particularly renters and lower-income households. Affordability problems occur when housing costs become so high in relation to income that households have to pay an excessive proportion of their income for housing. According to the metric utilized by HUD, a household is cost burdened if housing costs (including utilities) exceed 30 percent of gross household income. Severe cost burden occurs when housing costs exceed 50 percent of gross income.

Table U indicates the number of cost-burdened households within Laguna Woods and Orange County by tenure. As shown in Table U, overpayment impacts 70 percent and 53 percent of renter households in Laguna Woods and Orange County, respectively. Overpayment impacts nearly 41 percent and 31 percent of owner households in Laguna Woods and Orange County, respectively. More renter households are also impacted by severe cost burden, compared to owner households in both the City and the County. Laguna Woods has a higher percentage of cost burdened and severely cost burdened households among both renter and owner households.

Table U: Cost Burden by Tenure

	Renter Households		Owner Households		Total Households	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Laguna Woods						
With Cost Burden >30%	1,885	70.1%	3,475	40.6%	5,360	47.6%
With Cost Burden >50%	1,210	45.0%	1,875	21.9%	3,085	27.4%
Total	2,690	100.0%	8,560	100.0%	11,250	100.0%
Orange County						
With Cost Burden >30%	230,720	52.9%	184,825	31.4%	415,545	40.5%
With Cost Burden >50%	118,650	27.2%	79,255	13.5%	197,905	19.3%
Total	436,425	100.0%	588,550	100.0%	1,024,975	100.0%

Source: HUD Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS), 2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

ACS = American Community Survey

HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

Table V provides more detailed information on cost burden by income group, tenure, and household type. Overall, cost burden tends to impact proportionately more senior renters than senior homeowners, with the exception of low-income senior renters. Rates of overpayment for small families who rent were 100 percent in the very low and low-income categories. Extremely low-income small-family households who own their own homes are also heavily impacted, with 97 percent experiencing a cost burden and 83 percent experiencing a severe cost burden. As described earlier, Laguna Woods has very few large-family households. Of the few large-family households in Laguna Woods, none are affected by a high cost burden.

Table V: Cost Burden by Income Level, Tenure, and Household Type

Income Group	Cost Burden	Seniors			Small Family			Large Family		
		>30%	>50%	Total	>30%	>50%	Total	>30%	>50%	Total
Extremely Low (<= 30% HAMFI ²)	Owner	79%	69%	1865	97%	83%	30	0%	0%	0
	Renter	87%	86%	800	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Very Low (31–50% HAMFI)	Owner	52%	16%	1750	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
	Renter	77%	57%	635	100%	100%	4	0%	0%	0
Low (51–80% HAMFI)	Owner	25%	6%	1780	0%	0%	50	0%	0%	0
	Renter	85%	19%	455	100%	0%	20	0%	0%	0
Moderate (81–100% HAMFI)	Owner	15%	3%	965	13%	0%	75	0%	0%	0
	Renter	65%	0%	200	0%	0%	15	0%	0%	0
Above Moderate (>100% HAMFI)	Owner	3%	0%	1580	0%	0%	105	0%	0%	0
	Renter	3%	0%	375	0%	0%	15	0%	0%	0

Source: HUD Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS), 2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

¹ Data presented in this table are based on special tabulations from the ACS data. Due to the small sample size, the margins for error can be significant. Interpretations of these data should focus on the proportion of households in need of assistance rather than on precise numbers.

² HAMFI = HUD Area Median Family Income

ACS = American Community Survey

HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

1.3.7.2 Overcrowding

The United States Census defines overcrowding as an average of more than one person per room in a housing unit, including the living and dining room but excluding kitchens, bathrooms, and hallways. Severe overcrowding occurs when a unit is occupied by more than 1.5 persons per room. The incidence of overcrowded housing is a general measure of whether there is an available supply of adequately sized housing units. Overcrowding can also occur when housing costs are high in relation to income and families are forced to live together in order to pool income to pay the rent or mortgage. Table W shows the incidence of overcrowding in Laguna Woods by tenure, as estimated by the 2014–2018 ACS.

Table W: Overcrowding by Tenure

Overcrowding	Laguna Woods		Orange County	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Owner Occupied Units	8,305	100.0%	592,269	100.0%
Not Overcrowded (1.00 or Less Occupants/Room)	8,292	99.8%	570,469	96.3%
Overcrowded				
1.01 to 1.50 Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	15,731	2.7%
1.51 or More Occupants/Room	13	0.2%	6,069	1.0%
Renter Occupied Units	2,851	100.0%	440,104	100.0%
Not Overcrowded (1.00 or Less Occupants/Room)	2,851	100.0%	370,391	84.2%
Overcrowded				
1.01 to 1.50 Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	43,900	10.0%
1.51 or More Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	25,813	5.9%
Total Overcrowded	13	0.1%	91,513	8.9%

Source: 2018 ACS 5-year estimates.

As shown in Table W, an estimated total of 13 households experienced overcrowding in Laguna Woods in 2018, representing just under 0.1 percent of all households in Laguna Woods. Countywide, approximately 9 percent of households experienced overcrowded conditions. All 13 of the households in Laguna Woods that experience overcrowding are in the severe overcrowding category.

1.3.7.3 Displacement and Disproportionate Housing Needs

Using the Urban Displacement Project’s Gentrification and Displacement maps, the westernmost areas of Laguna Woods fall into the following displacement typologies:³

- Stable/advanced exclusive (with risk factors of being susceptible to rent change);
- Stable moderate/mixed-income (with risk factors of being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market); and
- Low-income/susceptible to displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market).

The eastern and southernmost areas fall into the following displacement typologies:

- At risk of becoming exclusive (with risk factors of being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change and that has a rent gap, and is a hot market);
- Low-income/susceptible to displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market); and
- Ongoing displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market).

³ Los Angeles – Gentrification and Displacement. *Urban Displacement Project*. Website: <https://www.urbandisplacement.org/maps/los-angeles-gentrification-and-displacement/> (accessed October 1, 2021).

Job displacement risk varies throughout Laguna Woods, with the lowest rate being 5.2 percent in central Laguna Woods, and the highest rate being 23.8 percent in the easternmost part of Laguna Woods.

In summary, although the majority of Laguna Woods has a low risk of displacement, certain sections of Laguna Woods, particularly the eastern and southernmost areas, and specifically Census Tract 626.46, are either susceptible to displacement or experiencing ongoing displacement, as well as at risk for job displacement.

1.4 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

In January 2019, Assembly Bill (AB) 686 introduced an obligation to affirmatively further fair housing (AFFH) into California State law. AB 686 defined “affirmatively further fair housing” to mean “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity” for persons of color, persons with disabilities, and other protected classes. AB 686 added an assessment of fair housing to the Housing Element that includes the following components: a summary of fair housing issues and assessment of local fair housing enforcement and outreach capacity, an analysis of segregation patterns and disparities in access to opportunities, an assessment of contributing factors, and an identification of fair housing goals and actions.

To assist in this analysis, HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to “provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related State agencies/ departments to further the fair housing goals (as defined by HCD).” The California Fair Housing Task Force has created opportunity maps to identify resource levels across the State “to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9% Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs).” These opportunity maps are made from composite scores of four different domains made up of a set of indicators. Table X shows the full list of indicators.

Table X: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps

Domain	Indicator
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Poverty ● Adult education ● Employment ● Job proximity ● Median home value
Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● CalEnviroScreen 3.0 pollution Indicators and values
Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Math proficiency ● Reading proficiency ● High School graduation rates ● Student poverty rates
Poverty and Racial Segregation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Poverty: tracts with at least 30% of population under federal poverty line ● Racial Segregation: Tracts with location quotient higher than 1.25 for Blacks, Hispanics, Asians, or all people of color in comparison to the County

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force, Methodology for the 2020 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, June 2020.

1.4.1 Fair Housing Issues

1.4.1.1 Discrimination, Enforcement, and Outreach

The Fair Housing Council of Orange County (FHCOC) works to ensure equal access to housing opportunities and elimination of housing discrimination by providing services throughout Orange County (including Laguna Woods) including community education, individual counseling, mediation, and low-cost advocacy. The FHCOC investigates claims of housing discrimination and assists with referrals to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, the State agency that investigates complaints of employment and housing discrimination. Questions received by the City regarding potential housing discrimination are referred to the FHCOC. The City does not have a record of the number of issues or complaints within Laguna Woods that the FHCOC has addressed.

The Orange County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (dated May 27, 2020) (Orange County AI), prepared by the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, examines "structural barriers to fair housing choice and access to opportunity for members of historically marginalized groups protected from discrimination by the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA)." According to the Orange County AI, the FHCOC received 363 allegations of housing discrimination between 2015 and 2019 within the Urban County (which includes Laguna Woods). Of those allegations, 179 cases were opened within the Urban County "where the allegations seemed sufficiently meritorious to warrant further investigation and/or action" and testing included "362 systemic onsite tests, either paired or 'sandwich', 51 tests occurring in the jurisdiction and 215 other testing activities." The number of fair housing cases and tenant/landlord issues addressed by FHCOC represents approximately 0.03 percent of the population and four percent of households in the Urban County area.

According to HUD's Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach (FHEO) Inquiries by City data (found in the Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach Capacity data layer on HCD's AFFH Data Viewer), Laguna Woods had 0.24 inquiries per 1,000 people between 2013 and 2021. This ratio is slightly higher than the adjacent cities of Laguna Beach (0.17), and Laguna Hills (0.16), but lower than the cities of Irvine (0.28) and Aliso Viejo (0.37). Of the four complaints during this period, two were found to have no valid basis or issue and two were not resolved due to a failure to respond. None of the complaints appear to be associated with discrimination due to disability, race, familial status, national origin, religion, sex, or color.

The City complies with existing fair housing laws and regulations, including by explicitly prohibiting discrimination against persons receiving housing assistance (Section 13.25.110(h) of the City's Zoning Code). A review of the City's Zoning Code and other policies and regulations conducted as part of the preparation of this Housing Element found no instances of inconsistency with existing fair housing laws and regulations, nor any regulatory impediments to the City's ability to investigate complaints, obtain remedies, or engage in fair housing testing.

Chapter 1.06 of the City's Municipal Code, which enables the use of administrative citations and civil fines for code enforcement, defines "code" as including "the Building Code, and all Uniform Codes or other codes or regulations of the State of California or the County of Orange or otherwise applicable to the City of Laguna Woods," in addition to City-adopted ordinances. The City Manager possess broad authority pursuant to Section 1.06.020(25) of the City's Zoning Code to designate any officer, agent or employee of the City to enforce provisions of the code.

Housing-related code enforcement is currently provided under contract by two professional firms with extensive qualifications and experience – Interwest Consulting Group (focusing on building issues) and Willdan Engineering (focusing on zoning and quality of life issues, as well as providing support for building issues). Both contracts allow for an expansion of base services on an “as-needed” basis (e.g., to handle increased or unusually complex cases), as well as access to technical experts (e.g., Certified Access Specialists) when necessary. The City’s Development Programs Analyst manages both contracts and provides staff-level oversight of code enforcement services. When necessary, legal and litigation services for housing-related code enforcement is provided by Rutan & Tucker, LLP in that firm’s role as City Attorney.

After considering the AFFH analysis contained in this Housing Needs Assessment, the City has concluded that enhanced fair housing-related outreach could benefit existing and prospective residents of Laguna Woods. To that end, programs H-3.1.2, H-3.2.1, and H-3.2.2 are included and consistent with HCD’s AFFH guidance memorandum dated April 2021 in that they involve a variety of methods, proactive marketing, language access, accessibility for persons with disabilities, and consultation with relevant organizations. The alignment of those programs with HCD guidance and assessment of contributing factors is further described in Tables AB and AC.

1.4.1.2 Patterns of Segregation and Minority Concentrations

Ethnic and racial composition of a region is useful in analyzing housing demand and any related fair housing concerns, as it tends to demonstrate a relationship with other characteristics such as household size, locational preferences, and mobility. According to the California Fair Housing Task Force Opportunity Maps, none of the census tracts within Laguna Woods are designated as areas with high segregation and poverty.

According to HUD, the definition of a racially/ethnically concentrated area of poverty (R/ECAP) involves a racial/ethnic concentration threshold and a poverty test. The racial/ethnic concentration threshold states that R/ECAPs must have a non-white population of 50 percent or more, and the poverty threshold states that a neighborhood can be identified as a R/ECAP if it has a poverty rate that exceeds 40 percent or is three or more times the average poverty rate for the various census tracts in the metropolitan/micropolitan area, whichever threshold is lower.

As depicted in Table N in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory, Laguna Woods does not include any neighborhoods that meet the poverty test because the percentage of residents living below the federal poverty threshold is 15.7 percent or lower in each of Laguna Woods’ census tracts. Table Y provides data regarding race, ethnicity, and median household income in Orange County, Laguna Woods, the neighboring cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and each of the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

As Table Y indicates, the White population is the largest race/ethnic group in each of the census tracts in Laguna Woods as well as within Orange County and all neighboring cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. There is also a large Asian population that is uniformly present in each of Laguna Woods’ eight census tracts, and within the cities surrounding Laguna Woods with a slightly larger percentage of Asians (33.3 percent) present in Census Tract 626.21.

Table Y: Racial/Ethnic Concentrations and Income Levels

Jurisdiction	White ¹	Black or African American ¹	American Indian and Alaska Native ¹	Asian ¹	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander ¹	Some other Race or Two or More Races ¹	Hispanic or Latino (of any race) ¹	Median Household Income ²
Orange County	61.0%	1.8%	0.5%	20.5%	0.3%	16.0%	34.1%	\$90,234
Laguna Woods	76.3%	0.7%	0.1%	19.7%	0.0%	3.2%	5.8%	\$44,020
Aliso Viejo	80.0%	2.4%	0.5%	15.6%	0.1%	11.4%	18.1%	\$112,689
Laguna Hills	70.9%	1.5%	0.1%	14.7%	0.1%	12.9%	22.0%	\$100,985
Laguna Beach	89.5%	0.8%	0.1%	3.8%	0.0%	5.7%	8.2%	\$129,983
Lake Forest	65.9%	2.2%	1.0%	18.8%	0.1%	11.9%	21.9%	\$109,492
Census Tract 626.21	61.5%	1.6%	0.1%	9.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.6%	\$111,425
Census Tract 626.22	78.2%	0.4%	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%	2.4%	7.9%	\$44,119
Census Tract 626.25	64.1%	0.3%	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%	22.8%	32.5%	\$45,214
Census Tract 626.41	65.4%	1.6%	0.0%	18.1%	0.0%	14.8%	22.0%	\$88,986
Census Tract 626.46	72.9%	2.9%	0.0%	19.2%	0.0%	5.0%	7.0%	\$41,875
Census Tract 626.47	67.4	3.7%	0.3%	17.3%	0.0%	11.2%	21.8%	\$54,327
Census Tract 626.48	75.6%	0.0%	0.0%	19.3%	0.0%	5.0%	4.2%	\$45,000
Census Tract 626.49	79.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	3.4%	11.8%	\$60,254

¹ American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

² American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

The only census tract with a slightly outlying ethnic composition is Census Tract 626.25, where 32.5 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino (of any race). The surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest all have average percentages of Hispanic population. As shown in Table Y, the median household income in Census Tract 626.21 (\$111,425) is substantially higher than Laguna Woods overall. The median household incomes in all cities surrounding Laguna Woods are also substantially larger than the median household income in Laguna Woods and most of its census tracts, which is indicative of the nature of Laguna Woods as being a community mostly consisting of retired people. This information points to the absence of R/ECAPs in Laguna Woods, the surrounding jurisdictions of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the region.

Table Z provides a breakdown of the percentage of White population and median household income (for all households and White households), which may be used as a determinant of areas of affluence, consistent with State guidance. This information is then used to determine whether there are any Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs) within Laguna Woods and address whether there are any RCAAs in the region.

Table Z: White Median Household Income and Population

	Orange County	Laguna Woods	Aliso Viejo	Laguna Hills	Laguna Beach	Lake Forest
All Households Median Household (HH) Income ¹	\$90,234	\$44,020	\$112,689	\$100,985	\$129,983	\$109,492
White Median HH Income ²	\$94,082	\$43,524	\$113,104	\$102,776	\$132,063	\$111,823
Black Median HH Income ³	\$76,136	\$48,750	\$109,135	\$191,845	-	\$101,250
Hispanic Median HH Income ⁴	\$68,971	\$24,250	\$104,140	\$77,107	\$76,518	\$83,002
Asian Median HH Income ⁵	\$93,777	\$54,440	\$125,000	\$90,337	\$118,854	\$112,048
Percent White Population ⁶	61.0%	76.3%	80.0%	70.9%	89.5%	65.9%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

² American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013A.

³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013B.

⁴ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013I.

⁵ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013D.

⁶ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

The median income of all households as well as White households in Laguna Woods is lower than the median income of all households and White households in Orange County. The 2015–2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates show that in Laguna Woods, the median household income for Hispanic residents is \$24,250, for White residents is \$43,524, for Black residents is \$48,750, and for Asian residents is \$54,440. Based on this information, Laguna Woods is not an area of affluence. Additionally, based on the information in Table Z related to household incomes of minority populations within the region, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest are areas of affluence due to their median household incomes being higher than Orange County and due to their high percentage of White population. Additionally, there are disparities in the income levels among the four cities' minority groups, especially among all minorities versus the ethnically Hispanic population.

1.4.1.3 Access to Opportunities

HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related State agencies/departments to further fair housing goals (as defined by HCD). The California Fair Housing Task Force has compiled data to identify resource levels across the State to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). The data reflect composite scores of four different domains (environmental, economic, education, and poverty and racial segregation) which are made up of their own sets of indicators. Figure 6 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory, depicts the Laguna Woods census tracts and their TCAC Opportunity Area categorization based on their composite scores. Table AA shows the California Fair Housing Task Force Opportunity Map composite scores, domain scores, and categorization for all eight of the census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table AA: Opportunity Map Scores and Categorization (2020)

Census Tract	Economic Domain Score	Environmental Domain Score	Education Domain Score	Composite Index Score	Final Category
626.21	0.74	0.516	0.38	0.029	Moderate Resource (Rapidly Changing)
626.22	0.005	0.542	0.203	-0.63	Low Resource
626.25	0.132	0.787	0.503	-0.064	Moderate Resource
626.41	0.598	0.821	0.442	0.144	Moderate Resource (Rapidly Changing)
626.46	0.021	0.799	0.291	-0.423	Low Resource
626.47	0.127	0.511	0.343	-0.335	Low Resource
626.48	0.002	0.925	0.388	-0.439	Low Resource
626.49	0.34	0.882	0.7	0.283	High Resource

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force, TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, 2020 Statewide Summary Table.

The majority of the census tracts within Laguna Woods are categorized as Low Resource areas. There are three census tracts within Laguna Woods that are categorized as Moderate Resource areas (of these, two are “Rapidly Changing”) and one census tract (Census Tract 626.49) is categorized as a High Resource area. Census Tract 626.49 is located in the westernmost portion of Laguna Woods (west of Avenida Sosiega) adjacent to the Woods End Wilderness Preserve. Table AB takes an in-depth look at all census tracts that are not categorized as high resource areas.

Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020)

Census Tracts							
	626.21	626.22	626.25	626.41	626.46	626.47	626.48
TCAC Resource Level ¹	Moderate (Rapidly Changing)	Low	Moderate	Moderate (Rapidly Changing)	Low	Low	Low
TCAC Economic Score ¹	0.74	0.005	0.132	0.598	0.021	0.127	0.002
TCAC Education Score ¹	0.38	0.203	0.503	0.442	0.291	0.343	0.388
TCAC Environmental Score ¹	0.516	0.542	0.787	0.821	0.799	0.511	0.925
Race/Ethnicity ²							
White	61.5%	78.2%	64.1%	65.4%	72.9%	67.4%	75.6%
Black	1.6%	0.4%	0.3%	1.6%	2.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	9.6%	19.0%	12.9%	18.1%	19.2%	17.3%	19.3%
Some Other Race or Two or More Races	7.2%	2.4%	22.8%	14.8%	5.0%	11.2%	5.0%
Hispanic (of any race)	7.9%	7.9%	32.5%	22.0%	7.0%	21.8%	4.2%
% of Population in Poverty ³	7.7%	13.7%	12.4%	3.3%	10.6%	15.7%	12.9%
% of Population that is Disabled ⁴	7.2%	22.6%	14.1%	13.8%	25.4%	16.9%	26.4%
Total #/Type of Housing ⁵	4,623 units	2,535 units	1,960 units	2,024 units	2,425 units	2,351 units	1,862 units
Single Family Home	63.0%	21.7%	43.4%	61.2%	40.8%	33.5%	45.9%

Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020)

Census Tracts							
	626.21	626.22	626.25	626.41	626.46	626.47	626.48
TCAC Resource Level ¹	Moderate (Rapidly Changing)	Low	Moderate	Moderate (Rapidly Changing)	Low	Low	Low
Multi-family Home	37.0%	71.4%	56.6%	38.8%	59.2%	66.5%	54.1%
Age of Housing Stock ⁶							
< 30 yrs old	3,024	149	77	865	159	314	23
> 30 yrs old	1,935	2,670	2,006	1,356	2,544	2,315	2,088
% Owner/% Renter HH ⁵	51.0% Owner 79.0% Renter	70.5% Owner 29.5% Renter	72.1% Owner 27.9% Renter	61.5% Owner 38.5% Renter	83.2% Owner 16.8% Renter	45.2% Owner 54.8% Renter	68.1% Owner 31.9% Renter
% HH with Burden ⁷	36.4%	52.9%	46.1%	50.7%	38.3%	55.5%	48.8%

¹ California Fair Housing Task Force, TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, 2020 Statewide Summary Table.
² American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05
³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.
⁴ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1810.
⁵ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.
⁶ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B25034.
⁷ HUD AFFH Mapping and Data Tool. Map 6 – Housing Problems. Website: <https://egis.hud.gov/affht/> (accessed May 6, 2022).

Seven of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods have a moderate or low TCAC resource level. The surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest have comparatively higher TCAC resource levels than Laguna Woods.⁴

In order to assist with the long-term development of funding for major capital improvement projects to tackle the moderate to low resources in various parts of Laguna Woods, the City has adopted an 11-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP), which is updated and readopted on an annual basis. Table AC contains a list of CIP projects that have been approved for the City for fiscal years 2023–2032 and the census tract(s) where they are located.

Table AC: CIP Projects in Laguna Woods

Fiscal Year	Project	Fund Name	Funds	Census Tract(s)
2023–2024	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$309,800	626.46 and 626.41
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 7	Community Development Grant (CDBG) Fund	\$150,000	Various
2024–2025	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$270,600	626.46 and 626.41
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various

⁴ California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC). 2020 Opportunity Maps. Website: <https://belonging.berkeley.edu/tcac-opportunity-map-2020> (accessed May 6, 2022).

Table AC: CIP Projects in Laguna Woods

Fiscal Year	Project	Fund Name	Funds	Census Tract(s)
	Improvement Project: Phase 8			
2025–2026	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$244,200	626.48 and 626.49
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 9	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2026–2027	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$253,100	626.48 and 626.49
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 10	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2027–2028	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$240,900	626.41 and 626.48
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 11	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2028–2029	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$238,200	626.41 and 626.48
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 12	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2029–2030	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	\$320,800	626.48
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 13	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2030–2031	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	TBD	TBD
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 14	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various
2031–2032	Pavement Management Plan Project	Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund	TBD	TBD
	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 15	CDBG Fund	\$150,000	Various

Source: City of Laguna Woods Fiscal Years 2021-2023 Budget & Work Plan. Website: <https://www.cityoflagunawoods.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/2021-06-23-Adopted-Fiscal-Years-2021-23-Budget-Work-Plan-Website.pdf> (accessed May 6, 2022).

Education. The Orange County AI details the various structural barriers to fair housing choice and access to opportunity for members of historically marginalized groups protected from discrimination by the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA). The Orange County AI uses the educational opportunity index, a value derived from data assembled by the California Fair Housing Task Force, to assess educational opportunity. The following disparities in access to education exist in Orange County:

1. Across all census tracts in Orange County, non-Hispanic Whites enjoy the best access to educational opportunity (index score of about 59) and non-Hispanic Asians have the second-highest access to educational opportunity (index score of 53). Hispanics have the lowest access to these opportunities (index score of 31), with non-Hispanic Blacks in between (index score of 46).
2. The cities of Aliso Viejo, Huntington Beach, Irvine, Laguna Niguel, La Palma, Mission Viejo, and Rancho Santa Margarita score highly (index score of 60 or above) on educational opportunity across all racial categories.
3. San Juan Capistrano has relatively low access to educational opportunity, scoring below 10 on the index for all racial categories. San Clemente, Anaheim, and Santa Ana fare similarly poorly, although non-Hispanic Whites score higher (index score of 39) than other race/ethnic groups in Santa Ana. Buena Park, Costa Mesa, Garden Grove, Orange, La Habra, and Westminster are other cities that struggle with educational opportunity, all with scores in the 30s to 40s on the composite education index.
4. A few cities have educational opportunity patterns that mirror those of Orange County overall. Non-Hispanic Whites in Fountain Valley enjoy good access to educational opportunity (index scores of about 60), whereas Hispanics in the city do not (index score of about 30). In both Fullerton and Tustin, non-Hispanic Whites and Asians have much better access to educational opportunity than Blacks and Hispanics.

The census tracts in Laguna Woods score relatively low in terms of access to educational opportunity, with indices ranging from 20 to 50. These low scores may reflect the nature of the city as one in which most of its residents live in retirement communities. The lowest educational opportunity scores are in Census Tract 626.22 (20) and the highest educational opportunity scores are in Census Tract 626.25 (50). Census Tract 626.22 is located in the northeastern portion of Laguna Woods.

Further, as shown in the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Local Profiles 2021 dataset, none of the City's residents were enrolled in public school from 2000 to 2020; therefore, Laguna Woods has not seen a change in public school enrollment since 2000.⁵ These data reflect the City's status as a community mostly consisting of retired people. Laguna Woods does not have its own school district; it is served by the Laguna Beach Unified School District, Saddleback Valley Unified School District, and Capistrano Unified School District. Table AD below summarizes the demographic characteristics of the three school districts according to the California Department of Education's School Dashboard website.

⁵ Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). 2021 Local Profiles Dataset. Website: https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/2021_local_profiles_dataset.xlsx (accessed May 9, 2022).

Table AD: School Districts Serving Laguna Woods

	Laguna Beach Unified School District ¹	Saddleback Valley Unified School District ²	Capistrano Unified School District ³
Race/Ethnicity			
White	71.5%	40.4%	54.1%
Asian	4.9%	8.7%	6.6%
Two or More Races	8.2%	7.4%	6.6%
Hispanic	12.0%	37.3%	27.1%
Filipino	1%	3.5%	1.8%
African American	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
English Learners	2.4%	17.8%	9.6%
Homeless	0.3%	5.0%	5.8%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12.0%	30.2%	25.4%
Students with Disabilities	11.1%	12.9%	12.2%
Graduation Rate ⁴	97.9%	89.3%	96.8%

¹ California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3066555000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

² California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3073635000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

³ California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3066464000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

⁴ California Department of Education. Dashboard Additional Report – Graduation Rate. Website: <https://www6.cde.ca.gov/californiamodel/gradreport?&year=2021&cdcode=3066555&score=&reporttype=schools> (accessed May 9, 2022).

According to the California Department of Education, the statewide graduation rate is 86.8 percent. As shown in Table AD, the Laguna Beach Unified School District, Saddleback Valley School District, and Capistrano Unified School District all have graduation rates that exceed the statewide graduation rate.

Economic. The Orange County AI uses the Educational Opportunity index, a value derived from data assembled by the California Fair Housing Task Force, to assess economic opportunity. The following disparities in access to economic opportunity exist in Orange County:

1. Non-Hispanic White residents have the greatest access to economic opportunity. Asian and Pacific Islander residents (index score of 49), Native Americans (index score of 46), and Black residents (index score of 46) have lower index scores in the high to mid-40s. Hispanic residents (index score of 32) have the lowest access to economic opportunity of all racial and ethnic groups in Orange County.
2. Among residents living below the poverty line, White residents have the highest economic opportunity score (index score of 30) followed by Black residents (index score of 27) and Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (index scores of 23). Low-income Native Americans and Hispanic residents have the lowest economic opportunity scores (index scores of 19).
3. There are major disparities in economic opportunity scores across racial/ethnic groups in cities in Orange County.

4. Economic opportunity index scores are generally lower in North Orange County than in South Orange County. Scores are especially low in Westminster, Garden Grove, and much of Santa Ana and Anaheim. Scores are generally high in much of Irvine, La Palma, and Tustin and along the coast from Newport Beach to Laguna Niguel as well as in unincorporated areas near the eastern border with Riverside County.
5. Areas in Orange County with the highest index scores tend to have large concentrations of non-Hispanic and Asian residents. By contrast, areas with the highest concentration of Hispanic residents tend to have lower economic opportunity index scores.

The census tracts in Laguna Woods have varied scores in economic opportunity, with indices ranging from 0.2 to 75. The census tracts with the lowest economic opportunity indices are Census Tract 626.22 (index score of 0.5), 626.25 (index score of 13.2), 626.46 (index score of 2.1), 626.47 (index score of 12.7), and 626.48 (index score of 0.2). These census tracts are located mostly in the eastern and southeastern portions of Laguna Woods and have resource levels of “Low” or “Moderate.”

According to the Orange County AI, the economic opportunity index is a composite of four indicators depicting elements of neighborhood socio-economic character. These indicators are poverty, adult education, employment, and proximity to jobs. The SCAG 2019 Local Profiles indicate that the total number of jobs in Laguna Woods numbered 5,491, which is a 3.7 percent decrease from 2007.⁶ The report also stated that the mean travel time to work for Laguna Woods was 26 minutes, whereas the mean travel time to work for Orange County as a whole was 27.9 minutes. According to the HUD AFFH Data Viewer, Laguna Woods has a lower labor market index than the surrounding communities including Aliso Viejo, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, and Lake Forest. Census Tract 626.46 has the lowest labor market index, with a score of 28.⁷ Laguna Woods is similar to cities in central and northern Orange County, like Santa Ana, Garden Grove, and Westminster, which all have lower labor market engagement than cities in southern Orange County. Much of the low labor market indices in Laguna Woods are due to the City’s status as a community mostly consisting of retired people.

Transportation. According to the Orange County AI, the following disparities in access to low-cost transportation exist in Orange County:

1. Non-Hispanic Whites have the lowest scores (index score of 34). Asians and Pacific Islanders as well as Native Americans have an index score of 38. Black residents have a score of 39, while Hispanic residents have the highest score (index score of 42).
2. Regionally, low transportation cost index scores are similar for all racial and ethnic groups. Non-Hispanic Whites and Native Americans both have a score of 19, Asians/Pacific Islanders as well as Hispanics have a score of 20, and Black residents have a score of 21.

⁶ SCAG. Local Profiles Report 2019. Website: https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/laguna_woods_localprofile.pdf?1606012702 (accessed May 9, 2022).

⁷ HUD AFFH Mapping and Data Tool – Map 9 Demographics and Labor Market. Website: <https://egis.hud.gov/affht/> (accessed May 9, 2022).

3. Low transportation cost index scores as well as transit index scores are generally higher in North Orange County than in South Orange County. Scores are generally higher in cities with greater levels of density. Generally, North Orange County cities have a variety of residential living patterns with varying levels of density.

While the percentage of Laguna Woods residents using transit to commute to work has increased by 67 percent (0.9 percent in 2000 to 1.5 percent in 2019), Laguna Woods still has a relatively low percentage of residents that commute via public transit. The number of residents who commute to work via bicycle or walking has decreased by 57 percent from the year 2000 to 2018.

AllTransit is a database created by the Center for Neighborhood Technology to explore metrics that reveal the social and economic impact of transit, specifically looking at connectivity, access to jobs, and frequency of service. AllTransit’s overall evaluation was that Laguna Woods had a low combination of trips per week and number of accessible jobs, enabling few people to take transit to work. Specifically, while the vast majority of jobs within Laguna Woods are located within 0.5 mile of transit and Laguna Woods residents can access over 90,000 jobs within a 30-minute transit commute, the transit quality within Laguna Woods is low. There are only four transit routes within Laguna Woods, and none of them offer high-frequency service as defined by AllTransit, making commuting by means of public transportation difficult.

Environmental. The Orange County AI uses the environmental opportunity index, a value derived from indicators from the exposures and environmental effects subcomponents of the “pollution burden” domain of CalEnviroScreen 3.0, to assess environmental opportunity. The following disparities in access to environmental opportunity exist in Orange County:

1. Across all tracts in Orange County, non-Hispanic Whites exhibit the highest access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods (index score of about 54). All other racial/ethnic groups obtain lower index scores in the 40s: Hispanics score lowest at 41, followed by non-Hispanic Blacks (index score of 45), non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander (index score of 47), and non-Hispanic Native Americans (index score of 48).
2. Jurisdictions with the highest environmental opportunity appear to have primarily large concentrations of non-Hispanic Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders. Lower-scoring cities exhibit a diversity of residential patterns.

The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) developed a screening methodology to help identify California communities disproportionately burdened by multiple sources of pollution, called the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen). High-scoring communities tend to be more burdened by pollution from multiple sources and most vulnerable to its effects, taking into account their socioeconomic characteristics and underlying health status. Scores for census tracts within Laguna Woods are listed in Table AE. Scores for Laguna Woods range between 4.81 and 28.08, which are relatively low. The highest-scoring area within Laguna Woods is the census tract on the southeast side of Laguna Woods near Interstate 5 (Census Tract 626.47).

Table AE: CalEnviroScreen Scores by Census Tract (2020)

Census Tract	CalEnviroScreen Score	CalEnviroScreen Percentile
626.21	6.37	21
626.22	15.18	37
626.25	12.47	37
626.41	4.81	18
626.46	18.9	44
626.47	28.08	54
626.48	10.56	32
626.49	6.30	22

Source: CA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Draft.

1.4.1.4 Assessment of Contributing Factors to Fair Housing in Laguna Woods

As described in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, the cumulative effect of the City's residential development standards does not constrain the expansion of housing opportunities. Density standards are consistent with the densities established for General Plan land use categories, and setback requirements provide minimal light and air for development, are typical in the region, and do not unreasonably constrain housing opportunities.

Further, the City encourages the development of affordable housing through Section 13.26.040 of the City's Zoning Code, which provides for residential density bonuses to incentivize the production of housing for very low-income, lower-income, or senior households in accordance with Government Code Sections 65915 and 65917.

The City has also identified adequate sites to be made available through appropriate zoning and development standards to encourage the development of various types of housing for all economic segments of the population, including single-family housing, multifamily housing, senior housing, manufactured housing and mobile homes, accessory dwelling units, residential care facilities for persons with developmental disabilities, and single-room occupancy facilities. Additionally, with the adoption of the updated Housing Element, a program to amend the Zoning Code to include one or more mixed-use overlay zoning districts that would allow the development of commercial and residential uses on the same parcel would also be put into effect.

The City has not adopted unique restrictions that constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities nor has it adopted regulations inconsistent with applicable federal or state law. There are no City-initiated constraints on housing for persons with disabilities caused or controlled by the City. In addition, the City has adopted formalized criteria and processing procedures for reasonable accommodation applications within its Zoning Code.

As described in Exhibit A Housing Needs Assessment, and as defined by the RHNA process, Laguna Woods' new construction need for the 2021 to 2029 planning period has been established at 997 new units. The City will continue to zone sites for housing supported by a variety of programs to enhance affordability, to accommodate its RHNA and contribute toward addressing the growing demand for housing in the Southern California region.

Given that the City does not have prohibitive residential development standards or unique restrictions constraining development of housing for persons with disabilities and also encourages the development of affordable housing as well as various housing typologies, the City experiences the following local contributing factors to fair housing:

- **Displacement Risk** – As described in Section 1.3.7.3, Displacement and Disproportionate Housing Needs, some parts of Laguna Woods are either susceptible to displacement or experiencing ongoing displacement as well as at risk of job displacement according to the Urban Displacement Project’s Gentrification and Displacement Map. The same parts of Laguna Woods which are susceptible to displacement (Census Tracts 626.46 and 626.47) are also the areas in Laguna Woods with the highest renter vulnerability indices.
- **Development Costs and Low Land Availability** – As described in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, the availability and price of land represents a significant market constraint to housing production in Laguna Woods, where there is very little residentially designated vacant land. In June 2021, based on a survey of online real estate listings, there were no vacant properties listed for sale within Laguna Woods. Due to limited land availability, most new residential development in Laguna Woods will involve redeveloping properties with existing uses, which tends to add to the cost of land. Further, density bonuses are available for projects that include affordable housing in Laguna Woods. Developers of affordable housing may also be granted regulatory concessions or development incentives. Density bonuses, together with the incentives and/or concessions, result in a lower average cost of land per dwelling unit thereby making the provision of affordable housing more feasible.

1.4.1.5 City Actions

This Housing Element includes AFFH as one of four priority issues. In addition to the goal, policy objectives, and programs included under the AFFH priority issue, other programs included under other priority issues relate to AFFH. Table AF correlates each AFFH-related program with a fair housing issue using the categories of examples of AFFH actions provided in HCD’s AFFH guidance memorandum dated April 2021. Table AG identifies the contributing factor(s) resulting in each program’s inclusion, as well as a priority assigned based on the extent to which factors limit or deny fair housing choice or access to opportunity or negatively impact fair housing or civil rights.

Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance

Housing Element Program	Type of AFFH Action / Fair Housing Issue
Program H-1.2.3. Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.	Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability
Program H-2.1.2. Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.	Protect Existing Residents from Displacement
Program H-2.2.2. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to	Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability

Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance

Housing Element Program	Type of AFFH Action / Fair Housing Issue
accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.	
Program H-2.2.3. Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.	Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability
Program H-2.3.1. Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.	Place-based Strategies to Encourage Community Conservation and Revitalization
Program H-3.1.1. Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.	Housing Mobility
Program H-3.1.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.	Housing Mobility
Program H-3.1.3. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.	Housing Mobility
Program H-3.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.	Protect Existing Residents from Displacement
Program H-3.2.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.	Protect Existing Residents from Displacement
Program H-3.2.3. Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.	Protect Existing Residents from Displacement

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix

Housing Element Program	Contributing Factor(s)	Priority
Program H-1.2.3. Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.	Need for affordable housing options based on housing needs allocation and housing needs assessment	High
Program H-2.1.2. Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and	Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators	High

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix

Housing Element Program	Contributing Factor(s)	Priority
economical, adopt such incentives.	on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3)	
Program H-2.2.2. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.	Access to opportunities for persons with disabilities due to underimproved housing stock potentially resultant of financial or physical challenges	Medium
Program H-2.2.3. Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.	Access to opportunities for persons with special needs due to underimproved housing stock potentially resultant of financial or physical challenges	Medium
Program H-2.3.1. Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.	Age of housing stock; intent to help promote the existing good condition and upkeep of housing stock	Medium
Program H-3.1.1. Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.	Useful life of improvements; evolving needs based on the nature of connecting uses and pedestrian behavior.	Medium
Program H-3.1.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.	Existing age and income restrictions; changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.	Medium
Program H-3.1.3. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.	Need for affordable housing options based on housing needs allocation and housing needs assessment; existing age and income restrictions.	High
Program H-3.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.	Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3); changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.	Medium
Program H-3.2.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.	Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3); changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.	Medium

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix

Housing Element Program	Contributing Factor(s)	Priority
Program H-3.2.3. Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.	Displacement risk for existing residents due to disaster-related damage (see also Section 1.3.7.3); age of housing stock.	High

1.5 REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS

State law requires all regional councils of governments to determine the existing and projected housing need for its region and determine the portion allocated to each jurisdiction. This is known as the RHNA process. State Housing Element law requires that each city and county develop local housing programs to meet its “fair share” of existing and future housing needs for all income groups, as determined by the jurisdiction’s Council of Governments. This fair-share allocation concept seeks to ensure that each jurisdiction accepts responsibility for the housing needs not only of its resident population but also for the jurisdiction’s projected share of regional housing growth across all income categories. Regional growth needs are defined as the number of units that would have to be added in each jurisdiction to accommodate the forecasted number of households, as well as the number of units that would have to be added to compensate for anticipated demolitions and changes to achieve an “ideal” vacancy rate.

In the six-county Southern California region, which includes Laguna Woods, the agency responsible for assigning these regional housing needs to each jurisdiction is SCAG. The regional growth allocation process begins with the California Department of Finance’s projection of statewide housing demand for a 5-year planning period, which is then apportioned by HCD among each of the State’s official regions. SCAG has determined the projected housing need for its region for the 2021 to 2029 Housing Element cycle and has allocated this housing need to each jurisdiction by income category through the RHNA process. The RHNA represents the minimum number of housing units each community is required to provide “adequate sites” for through zoning, and is one of the primary threshold criteria necessary to achieve HCD certification of the Housing Element.

In allocating the region’s future housing needs to jurisdictions, SCAG is required to take the following factors into consideration:

- Market demand for housing
- Employment opportunities
- Availability of suitable sites and public facilities
- Commuting patterns
- Type and tenure of housing
- Loss of units in assisted housing developments
- Overconcentration of lower-income households
- Geological and topographical constraints

As defined by the RHNA process, Laguna Woods’ new construction need for the 2021 to 2029 planning period has been established at 997 new units, distributed among the four income categories as shown in Table AH. Of the 127 Very Low Income units included in the City’s RHNA, approximately 50 percent (or 63 units) should be made available to Extremely Low Income households. The City will continue to provide sites for a mix of single-family, multifamily, and mixed-use housing, supported by a variety of programs to enhance affordability, to accommodate its RHNA and contribute toward addressing the growing demand for housing in the Southern California region.

Table AH: Regional Housing Needs Assessment, 2021–2029

Income Level	Number of Units	Percent of Total RHNA
Very Low* (<50% of AMI)	127	12.7%
Low (50-80% of AMI)	136	13.6%
Moderate (80-120% of AMI)	192	19.3%
Above Moderate (>120% of AMI)	542	54.4%
Total	997	100%

* Based on current household income data presented in Table G, the City estimates that 63 (or approximately 50 percent) of the 127 units included in its Very Low Income allocation should be made available to Extremely Low Income households.

AMI = Annual Median Income

EXHIBIT B
CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

PROPOSED

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
FIGURES AND TABLES	ii
List of Abbreviations and acronyms	iii
1.0 HOUSING CONSTRAINTS.....	1
2.0 GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS.....	3
2.1 Land Use Controls	3
2.2 Residential Development Standards	7
2.2.1 Affordable Housing Density Bonus	8
2.2.2 Small-Lot Development	9
2.2.3 Special-Purpose Zoning Districts	9
2.3 Provision for a Variety of Housing Types	9
2.3.1 Single-Family Housing.....	9
2.3.2 Multifamily Housing	9
2.3.3 Live/Work Units	10
2.3.4 Senior Housing.....	10
2.3.5 Manufactured Housing and Mobile Homes	11
2.3.6 Accessory Dwelling Units.....	11
2.3.7 Residential Care Facilities	12
2.3.8 Single Room Occupancy	13
2.3.9 Emergency Shelters and Low Barrier Navigation Centers	13
2.3.10 Transitional Housing and Supportive Housing.....	16
2.3.11 Employee Housing.....	17
2.4 Housing for Persons with Disabilities.....	17
2.4.1 Zoning and Land Use	18
2.4.2 Building Codes	20
2.4.3 Conclusion	21
2.5 Site Improvements	21
2.6 Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees	22
2.7 Local Processing and Permit Procedures	26
2.7.1 Site Development Permit	27
2.7.2 Conditional Use Permit.....	28
2.8 Building Codes.....	29
2.9 Federal and State Regulations	30
2.9.1 State Prevailing Wage Requirements	30
2.9.2 Environmental Protection	30
2.9.3 State Transparency Requirements	31
3.0 MARKET CONSTRAINTS	33
3.1 Availability of Financing	33
3.2 Foreclosures	33
3.3 Development Costs	34
3.3.1 Land Availability and Cost.....	34
3.3.2 Cost of Construction	34

4.0 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS 37

4.1 Water 37

4.2 Wastewater 37

4.3 Transportation Infrastructure..... 38

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS 41

5.1 Flooding 41

5.2 Seismic and Geologic Hazards 41

5.3 Wildfires..... 42

5.4 Noise 42

FIGURE

Figure 1: Zoning Map 5

TABLES

Table A: Residential Land Use Designations 3

Table B: Residential Development Standards..... 7

Table C: Residential Parking Requirements 8

Table D: Housing Types Per Zoning Code 10

Table E: Development Standards for the Community Facilities Zoning Districts 14

Table F: Current Residential Planning/Zoning Fees 22

Table G: Comparison of Residential Planning/Zoning Fees 23

Table H: Prototypical Multi-Family Residential Project Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees 25

Table I: Prototypical Single-Family Home Project Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees 25

Table J: Typical Permit Processing Timelines 27

Table K: Disposition of Home Purchase and Improvement Loan Applications (2019) 33

Table L: San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Development Impact Fees (2022) 39

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADU	accessory dwelling unit
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CBC	California Building Code
CC	Community Commercial
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CF-P	Community Facilities—Private
CF-PI	Community Facilities—Public/Institutional
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
City	City of Laguna Woods
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
ETWD	El Toro Water District
FAR	floor area ratio
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
ft	foot/feet
HCD	Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California
HMDA	Home Mortgage Disclosure Act
I-5	Interstate 5
Lanterman Act	Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act
MWD	Metropolitan Water District
OCFA	Orange County Fire Authority
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
RC	Residential Community
RT	Residential Towers
SB	Senate Bill
SDP	Site Development Permit
sf	square foot/feet

SJHTCA	San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency
SRO	Single Room Occupancy
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
WRP	Water Recycling Plant
WTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant

PROPOSED

1.0 HOUSING CONSTRAINTS

The City of Laguna Woods' (City) Housing Element seeks to ensure the provision of adequate housing to meet the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community. Many factors, including market mechanisms, government regulations and policies, and infrastructure and environmental constraints, can constrain the development, maintenance, and improvement of housing. This Constraints Analysis addresses the potential and actual governmental constraints upon the development, maintenance, or improvement of housing that may affect the supply and cost of housing in Laguna Woods, as required by Government Code Section 65583(a).¹

PROPOSED

¹ California Department of Housing and Community Development. 2021. Codes and Enforcement of Onsite/ Offsite Improvement Standards. Website: <https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/building-blocks/constraints/codes-and-enforcement-on-offsite-improvement-standards.shtml> (accessed June 11, 2021).

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

2.0 GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

Actions or policies of governmental agencies, whether involved directly or indirectly in the housing market, can impact the ability of the development community to provide adequate housing to meet consumer demands. For example, the impact of federal monetary policies and the budgeting and funding policies of governmental agencies can either stimulate or depress various aspects of the housing industry. State and local government compliance, and the enactment of sanctions for noncompliance, with federal laws may also have an impact on the housing industry.

State and local government compliance with State laws can complicate the development of housing. Statutes such as the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and rezoning and General Plan amendment procedures required by the Government Code can prolong the review and approval of development proposals by local governments. In many instances, compliance with such mandates includes time constraints that cannot be altered by local governments.

City policies can also impact the price and availability of housing. Land use controls, site improvement requirements, building codes, fees, and other local programs to improve the overall quality of housing may serve as constraints to housing development.

2.1 LAND USE CONTROLS

The City's General Plan and Zoning Code provide for a range of residential land use designations and zoning districts. The City's Zoning Map is included as Figure 1. Land use designations that allow for residential development are presented in Table A.

Table A: Residential Land Use Designations

General Plan Land Use Designation	Max. Density	Description
Low Density Residential	<10 du/ac	Includes all dwelling units equipped for independent living (i.e., kitchen, bathroom, and sleeping quarters). Facilities intended for transient living, such as hotels and motels and hospitals and skilled nursing units, are not typically included.
Medium Density Residential	10–12 du/ac	
High Density Residential	13–35 du/ac	
Residential Community	<10 du/ac or 10-12 du/ac depending on location	Encompasses nearly all of the Laguna Woods Village planned residential community with the exception of Rossmoor Towers. Integrates a mix of single-family detached, single-family attached, two family, and multi-family residential, with supporting country clubs, parks, community services, local-serving noncommercial services, and open spaces. Common areas are managed by private nonprofit community associations.

Source: Land Use Element (City of Laguna Woods 2017).
du/ac= dwelling unit(s) per acre
max. = maximum

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED





FIGURE 1

LSA



SOURCE: City of Laguna Woods

I:\LWD2101\G\Zoning_Map.ai (6/10/2021)

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

2.2 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

The City's Zoning Code contains development standards for each zoning district consistent with the land use designations of the General Plan. The Zoning Code establishes development standards for each zoning district to ensure quality development and reduce the potential for land use conflicts. Residential development standards are summarized in Table B.

Table B: Residential Development Standards

Development Standard	Residential Multifamily (RMF)	Residential Community (RC)	Residential Towers (RT)
Maximum Building Height (ft)	65	40	None
Minimum Building Site Area (sf)	7,200	None	None
Minimum Area per Unit (sf)	1,000 ¹	None ²	None ²
Minimum Setback (ft)			
Front Yard	20	None ³	None
Side Yard from ROW	5	None ³	None
Rear Yard from ROW	25	None ³	None
Side Yard not abutting ROW	5	None ³	None
Rear Yard not abutting ROW	25 ⁴	None ³	None
Distance between Principal Structures (ft)	10	None ³	None
Maximum Building Site Coverage	50%	50% ⁵	None ²

Source: Zoning Ordinance (City of Laguna Woods 2020).

- ¹ The minimum per dwelling unit is based on the net land area.
- ² There shall be no minimum lot size. The total number of residential units permitted within the planning unit shall not be exceeded, as was shown on the statistical analysis for the original planned community, as amended. The dwelling densities as categorized in the City's General Plan as low-density, medium-density, and high-density shall not be exceeded. The limitations contained within the General Plan shall take precedence over any discrepancies that may exist as compared to the statistical analysis for the planning units.
- ³ Required yards and distances between building structures are waived.
- ⁴ In computing the depth of a rear setback from any building where such setback opens on an alley, private street, or public park, one-half of the width of such alley, street, or park may be deemed to be a portion of the rear setback, except that under this provision, no rear setback shall be less than 15 ft.
- ⁵ The building site coverage shall not exceed 50 percent of the land area contained within each recorded tract, exclusive of dedicated ROW. Swimming pool coverage shall not be considered as building site coverage.

City = City of Laguna Woods

ft = foot/ feet

ROW = right-of-way

sf = square foot/feet

The cumulative effect of the City's residential development standards does not constrain the expansion of housing opportunities. Density standards are consistent with the densities established for General Plan land use categories. The setback requirements provide minimal light and air for development, are typical in the region, and do not unreasonably constrain housing opportunities.

All residential uses are currently required to provide the number of parking spaces as outlined in Table C. Developers of affordable and senior housing who are eligible for a density bonus pursuant to Government Code Sections 65919 through 65918 are eligible to use parking standards established by State law. Density bonus provisions are discussed in more detail later in this section.

Table C: Residential Parking Requirements

Use	Parking Requirement
Attached or detached single-family dwellings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● 2 covered parking spaces for each dwelling unit.
Two or more dwelling units on one building site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Studio to 1-bedroom: 1.5 parking spaces for each dwelling unit ● 2-bedroom: 2 parking spaces for each dwelling unit ● 3-bedroom and up: 2.5 parking spaces for each dwelling unit plus 0.5 parking space for each bedroom in excess.
Multifamily dwelling units (five or more dwelling units)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Each dwelling unit shall be assigned at least one standard-size parking space. ● Additional unassigned parking spaces shall be provided using the following formula, which is based on the size of each unit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <700 sf: 0 ○ 701–800 sf: +0.17 ○ 801–900 sf: +0.34 ○ 901–1,000 sf: +0.50 ○ 1,001–1,100 sf: +0.67 ○ 1,101–1,200 sf: +0.84 ○ 1,201–1,300 sf: +1.0 ○ 1,301–1,400 sf: +1.18 ○ 1,401–1,500 sf: +1.34 ○ >1,500 sf: +1.5 ● 1 guest parking space per every 4 dwelling units, rounded up to the next nearest whole number, shall be provided.

Source: Zoning Code (City of Laguna Woods 2020).
sf = square foot/feet

The City monitors its development standards and their impact on development. Periodically, the City will amend the Zoning Code to ensure that development standards respond to market trends. The City has adopted other provisions in the Zoning Code that facilitate a range of residential development types and encourage affordable housing, as discussed below.

2.2.1 Affordable Housing Density Bonus

Section 13.26.040 of the City’s Zoning Code provides the details of the residential density bonus provision, which is intended to provide incentives for the production of housing for very low-income, lower-income, or senior households in accordance with California Government Code Sections 65915 and 65917. On September 16, 2020, the City amended its Density Bonus Ordinance to ensure compliance with the current State law in effect at that time. Assembly Bill (AB) 1763 made a number of changes to density bonus requirements for affordable projects. The bill requires a density bonus to be granted for projects that include 100 percent lower-income units, but allows up to 20 percent of total units in a project that qualifies for a density bonus to be for moderate-income households. Under the revised law, density bonus projects must be allowed four incentives or concessions, and for developments within 0.5 mile of a major transit stop, a height increase of up to three additional stories or 33 feet (ft). A density bonus of 80 percent is required for most projects, with no limitations on density placed on projects within 0.5 mile of a major transit stop. The bill also allows developers to request the elimination of minimum parking requirements for rental units affordable to lower-income families that are either supportive housing or special-needs housing, as defined. AB 2345, which was signed in September 2020, further incentivizes the production of

affordable housing. The City Council adopted updated residential density bonus standards regulations in December 2021.

In 2008, the San Sebastian senior apartments were completed with 17 units affordable to low-income residents. The development utilized a density bonus, and, as a result, the affordable units are subject to deed restrictions, which will ensure continued affordability through October 16, 2054.

2.2.2 Small-Lot Development

The City has not established any zoning districts that are intended to specifically facilitate small-lot residential development; however, the City's Zoning Code does not require minimum lot sizes in the Residential Community (RC) and Residential Tower (RT) zoning districts.

2.2.3 Special-Purpose Zoning Districts

Special-purpose zoning districts permit design and development standards to be established that are tailor-made for planned project areas with unique attributes. The City's Zoning Code includes the RC zoning district, which provides for the development and preservation of planned unit developments with a mixture of single-family attached and detached homes and multifamily homes. Neighborhoods in the RC district are characterized by controlled physical access and specialized recreation facilities. Setbacks and other development standards are to be tailored specifically to each project by means of a precise development plan that is approved with the project.

2.3 PROVISION FOR A VARIETY OF HOUSING TYPES

State Housing Element law specifies that local governments must identify adequate sites to be made available through appropriate zoning and development standards to encourage the development of various types of housing for all economic segments of the population, including multifamily residential housing, factory-built housing, emergency shelters, transitional housing, and supportive housing. Table D summarizes the housing types permitted in each of the City's zoning districts.

2.3.1 Single-Family Housing

Single-family housing is permitted by right in the Residential Multifamily (RMF) and RC districts. As outlined in the City's Zoning Code, standard development in the RC district shall be predominantly of multiunit, multistoried structures and single-family, one-story structures in an arrangement of attached or detached dwellings and their accessory structures.

2.3.2 Multifamily Housing

Multifamily housing is permitted by right in the RMF, RC, and RT districts. The RMF zoning district is intended to provide for the development and preservation of high-density multifamily residential neighborhoods with a moderate amount of open space. Care is taken to ensure that uses approved in the RMF zoning district are compatible with surrounding residential neighborhoods. RMF-zoned properties are located in three areas of Laguna Woods off major roadways. The RC district was created to provide for the development and preservation of planned unit developments with a mixture of single-family attached and detached homes, as well as multifamily homes.

Table D: Housing Types Per Zoning Code

Land Use Types	Zoning District		
	Residential Multifamily (RMF)	Residential Community (RC)	Residential Towers (RT)
Accessory Building/Use	A	A	A
Accessory Dwelling Unit	P	P	P
Condominiums/Stock Cooperatives	U	U	U
Community Apartment Projects	U	U	U
Community Care Facilities (<6 persons)	P	P	P
Congregate Care Facility	U	U	U
Duplex	P	U	X
Dwelling, Multiple-Family	P	P	P
Dwelling, Single-Family	P	P	X
Emergency and Transitional Housing Shelters	X	X	X
Guesthouse	X	A	X
Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit	P	P	P
Mobile Home	P	P	X
Mobile Home Development	U	U	X
Planned Unit Development	U	U	U
Residential Tract Sales & Rentals	T/SE	T/SE	T/SE
Supportive Housing	P	P	P
Transitional Housing	P	P	P

Source: Zoning Code (City of Laguna Woods 2020).

A = Permitted only as an accessory to a Principal Use

P = Permitted as a Principal Use

T/SE = Permitted with an approved Temporary Use/Special Event permit

U = Permitted with an approved use permit

X = Prohibited

RC-zoned properties encompass most of the land within Laguna Woods, reflecting its planned community heritage. The RT district was established to provide for the development and preservation of high-rise multifamily structures. RT-zoned properties exist in one small area in the western portion of Laguna Woods. Additional development standards are applicable to multifamily housing with more than five dwelling units on site, including standards related to circulation, lighting, waste disposal, and infrastructure.

2.3.3 Live/Work Units

The City’s Zoning Code does not currently include any zoning districts that allow for live/work units.

2.3.4 Senior Housing

Section 13.06.010 of the City’s Zoning Code defines senior citizen housing as “a residential development consisting of at least 35 dwelling units which is developed for, or substantially rehabilitated or renovated for, senior citizens.” Additionally, California Civil Code Section 51.3 defines “senior citizen” as a person 62 years of age or older, or 55 years of age or older, in a senior citizen housing development, and “senior citizen development” as a residential development developed, substantially rehabilitated, or substantially renovated for senior citizens that has at least 35 dwelling units.

Section 13.26.030 of the City's Zoning Code, which provides details about housing incentive use permits for affordable or senior citizen housing, states that in any zoning district that permits residential uses, a housing incentive use permit application to permit more dwelling units than allowed by zoning, and/or establish special site development standards, may be approved for the purposes of facilitating affordable and/or senior citizen housing developments.

2.3.5 Manufactured Housing and Mobile Homes

Manufactured housing and mobile homes offer an affordable housing option for many low- and moderate-income households. Manufactured housing is permitted by right in all zoning districts that permit single-family dwelling units, as required by State law. Mobile homes are permitted in the RMF and RC districts, and mobile home parks are permitted in those same zoning districts with an approved use permit. Mobile home developments are subject to additional development standards not related to the use; instead, the standards relate to landscape screening and design. According to California Department of Finance estimates, there were no mobile homes in Laguna Woods as of January 2020.²

According to the National Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Act of 1974, a manufactured home built and certified after June 15, 1976, and constructed on a permanent foundation may be located in any residential zoning district where a conventional single-family detached dwelling is permitted subject to the same restrictions on density and to the same property development regulations. Manufactured homes are currently allowed in all residential zoning districts, subject to foundational regulations found in Government Code Section 65852.3. Manufactured housing is treated the same as single-family dwellings and is subject to the same property development standards and permitting process. The City's Zoning Code requires manufactured housing to be architecturally compatible (roofing overhangs, roofing materials, exterior siding, stucco, etc.) with single-family dwellings.

2.3.6 Accessory Dwelling Units

Per Government Code Section 65852.2, an "accessory dwelling unit" (ADU) is defined as "an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit that provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons and is located on a lot with a proposed or existing primary residence. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family or multifamily dwelling is or will be situated." ADUs may be an alternative source of affordable housing for lower-income households and seniors.

The City has updated its Zoning Code to permit ADUs by right if they are contained within the space of a proposed or existing single-family dwelling or accessory structure, or existing multifamily dwelling. This update to the City's Zoning Code was made to comply with State requirements, with Government Code Section 65852.2 used as a baseline for the amendments.

² California Department of Finance. 2020. E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates. Website: <https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/E-5/> (accessed June 11, 2021).

Recent State legislation, including AB 68, AB 587, AB 881, and Senate Bill (SB) 13, addresses standards and regulations for ADUs. The bills modified the fees, application process, and development standards for ADUs, with the goal of lowering barriers to ADU development and increasing overall numbers of ADUs. Key provisions include the following:

- Prohibiting standards related to lot coverage standards, lot size, floor area ratio (FAR), or open space that have the effect of limiting ADU development
- Allowing ADUs within or attached to attached garages, storage areas, or accessory structures
- Removing requirements to replace parking when a garage or carport is demolished to develop an ADU
- Prohibiting maximum sizes for ADUs that are less than 850 square feet (sf) (1,000 sf for units with two or more bedrooms)

The City last updated its Zoning Code with regard to ADUs in 2020 to address the requirements of AB 68, AB 587, AB 881, and SB 13.

2.3.7 Residential Care Facilities

The Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act (Lanterman Act) is a State law that sets out the rights of persons with developmental disabilities. The Lanterman Act impacts local zoning ordinances by requiring the use of property for the care of six or fewer disabled persons to be classified as a residential use, permitted by right, under zoning provisions. More specifically, a state-authorized, certified, or licensed family care home, foster home, or a group home serving six or fewer disabled persons or dependent and neglected children on a 24-hour-a-day basis is considered a residential use that is to be permitted in all residential zoning districts. No local government can impose stricter zoning or building and safety standards on these homes. Due to the unique characteristics of larger (more than six persons) residential care facilities, most local governments require a discretionary use permit to ensure neighborhood compatibility in the siting of these facilities.

The City's Zoning Code accommodates both large and small residential care facilities. The City facilitates and encourages the development of residential care facilities by treating licensed facilities that serve six or fewer persons as standard residential uses, consistent with State law. As such, community care facilities are permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. Additionally, community care facilities serving seven to 12 persons, except for large family day care homes, are permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential use, subject to the issuance of a use permit. The use permit is intended to ensure that the development is consistent with applicable zoning. In a supportive housing development, housing can be coupled with social services such as job training, alcohol and drug abuse programs, and case management for populations in need of assistance, such as the homeless, those suffering from mental illness or substance abuse problems, and the elderly or medically frail. Because supportive housing is a residential use, it would be treated as such in the development process whether the supportive housing development consisted of single-family detached units or apartment buildings. Similar to

other multifamily developments, the required use permit process is intended to establish appropriate and unique development standards for residential development in the RC and RT zoning districts, as the zoning regulations contain few standards. This allows for greater specificity in development standards.

Review of the California State Community Care Licensing Division inventory of community care facilities identifies two residential care facilities in Laguna Woods. These facilities are for individuals who require 24-hour supervision but who do not generally need medical care beyond routine health checks and medication monitoring. Las Palmas is a licensed residential care community with 184 rental units, and the Regency is a licensed residential care community that consists of 192 rental units and offers both independent and assisted living on a month-to-month basis. The Regency is the only residential community that, under unique circumstances, will accommodate individuals younger than 55 within its assisted living facility.

2.3.8 Single Room Occupancy

Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities are small, one-room units occupied by a single individual and may either have shared or private kitchen and bathroom facilities. SROs are rented on a monthly basis typically without rental deposit and can provide an entry point into the housing market for extremely low-income individuals, formerly homeless persons, and disabled persons.

The City has adopted provisions in its Zoning Code (Section 13.26.170) to accommodate and regulate the establishment of SRO uses. These provisions include the following requirements:

- In the absence of findings as set forth in alternatives to off-street parking requirements, provision of one-half parking space for each guest unit, plus one space for each employee
- Submittal of a management plan outlining management policies, operations, emergency procedures, a security program, rental procedures, maintenance plans, and staffing as part of the use permit application
- Provision of an on-site manager on a 24-hour basis
- Provision of a single manager's unit, which shall be designed as a complete residential unit and be a minimum of 225 sf in size.

SRO uses are permitted in any zoning district or specific plan area zoned for hotels, subject to the approval of a use permit. Furthermore, the City's Zoning Code states that SROs will be treated as nonresidential uses.

2.3.9 Emergency Shelters and Low Barrier Navigation Centers

An emergency shelter is a facility that provides shelter to homeless families and/or homeless individuals on a limited short-term basis. According to the 2019 Point-in-Time Count for Orange

County,³ there were five unsheltered people living in homelessness in Laguna Woods. State law requires emergency shelters to be permitted by right in at least one zoning district where adequate capacity is available to accommodate at least one year-round shelter. The City’s Zoning Code was amended in 2011 to include regulations pursuant to State law for emergency and transitional housing.

The City’s Zoning Code Section 13.23.010 was also amended in 2018 to permit emergency shelters in the Community Facilities – Public/Institutional (CF-P/I) and Community Facilities – Private (CF-P) zoning districts as a by-right use. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts encompass approximately 17.805 acres of land across five sites – four places of worship with surface parking lots and interior space suitable for conversion to emergency shelters, and Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA) Fire Station No. 22. There is sufficient capacity to accommodate one year-round shelter housing five people, as required by State law. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts were determined to be the most conducive to provision of emergency shelters by right since the zoning districts are allowed a uses that would facilitate the provision of government and social services to the community. The zoning district is appropriate for schools, hospitals, cultural venues, churches, temples and places of worship, which all require large facilities with capabilities to serve large amounts of people at a time.

Table E provides the development standards that apply to the CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts.

Table E: Development Standards for the Community Facilities Zoning Districts

Development Standard	CF-P	CF-P/I
Maximum Building Height (ft)	40	40
Minimum Building Site Area (sq ft)	—	—
Minimum Building Site Width (ft)	—	—
Minimum Perimeter Setback (ft)		
From Street ROW	20	20
From Alley	10	0
From Residential Districts	10	10
From Nonresidential Districts	0	0
Maximum FAR	0.3	0.3
Maximum Building Site Coverage	None	None
Parking	See Code Section 13.16.300-400	
Landscaping	See Code Section 13.16.250	
Screening	See Code Section 13.16.240	
Signs	See Code Section 13.16.410-530	
Waste Management/Hazardous Materials	See Code Section 13.20.200	

Source: Laguna Woods Municipal Code Section 13.13.030.

FAR = floor area ratio
ft = foot/feet

ROW = right-of-way
sq ft = square foot/feet

³ United to End Homelessness. Point-In Time Count. 2019. Website: <https://www.unitedtoendhomelessness.org/2019-point-in-time-count> (accessed April 29, 2021).

In addition to application of the CF-P/I and CF-P district development standards, pursuant to Government Code Section 65583, the City can also specify written, objective standards to regulate the following aspects of emergency shelters to enhance compatibility:

- 1. Location and Separation:** Emergency shelters shall be situated more than 300 ft from another emergency shelter.
- 2. Physical Characteristics:**
 - a. The maximum number of beds for emergency shelters shall be 20 unless a larger number is approved through a conditional use permit.
 - b. The maximum number of beds does not apply in situations of citywide or statewide designated disasters or catastrophic conditions as determined by the City Council or City Manager.
 - c. Emergency shelters shall have adequate private living space, shower and toilet facilities, and secure storage areas for its intended clients.
 - d. All on-site waiting and client intake areas shall be located in the interior of the emergency shelter and shall be of sufficient size to prevent any such activities from occurring in the exterior of the emergency shelter.

The CF-P and CF-P/I designation has been applied to areas along El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts have high access to public transit services. The Orange County Transportation Authority has two local routes, Routes 90 and 89, which provide regional access along the public streets located immediately adjacent to the CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts. Therefore, these sites have reasonable access to public transit.

The City provides adequate and accessible sidewalks, vehicular access, and bicycle access to the CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts.

The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts are surrounded by residential, open space, and/or community commercial land uses, and are therefore not located in close proximity to any uses that would create hazardous conditions or conditions inappropriate for human habitability. Current uses in the CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts include four churches and their accompanying surrounding parking lots, and the OCFA Fire Station No. 22. These zones are conducive to building emergency shelters due to their relative sizes, their proximity to public transit and main thoroughfares, and the allowable uses on their sites.

The City's Zoning Code requires the following conditions to be met for emergency shelters in order to ensure "adequate" private living space requirements.

1. Operational Standards.

(1) If an emergency shelter includes a drug or alcohol abuse counseling component, appropriate state and/or federal licensing shall be required.

(2) Emergency shelters shall limit occupancy by each client to no more than 180 days in a 365-day period.

(3) Emergency shelters shall conduct all on-site waiting and client intake activities in the interior of the emergency shelter and prevent any such activities from occurring in the exterior of the emergency shelter.

(4) Emergency shelters shall provide on-site security during all hours of operation, including a minimum of one security guard licensed by the State of California for each 20 clients, unless alternate security arrangements are approved through a conditional use permit.

(5) Emergency shelters shall provide on-site management during all hours of operation, including a minimum of one supervisor per emergency shelter and a minimum of one additional attendant for each 20 clients, unless alternate on-site management arrangements are approved through a conditional use permit.

AB 139 requires that emergency shelters provide parking to accommodate all staff, “provided that the standards do not require more parking for emergency shelters than other residential or commercial uses within the same zone.” The City’s Zoning Code does not contain unique parking standards for emergency shelters.

AB 101 requires cities to allow a Low Barrier Navigation Center development by right in areas zoned for mixed uses and nonresidential zoning districts permitting multifamily uses if it meets specified requirements. A “Low Barrier Navigation Center” is defined as “a Housing First, low-barrier, service-enriched shelter focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities while case managers connect individuals experiencing homelessness to income, public benefits, health services, shelter, and housing.” Low Barrier Navigation Centers may include options such as allowing pets, permitting partners to share living space, and providing storage for residents’ possessions. AB 101 also sets a timeline for jurisdictions to act on applications for Low Barrier Navigation Center developments. The requirements of this bill are effective through the end of 2026, at which point they are repealed.

2.3.10 Transitional Housing and Supportive Housing

Health and Safety Code Section 50675.2 defines “transitional housing” and “transitional housing development” as buildings configured as rental housing developments but operated under program requirements that call for the termination of assistance and recirculation of the assisted unit to another eligible program recipient at some predetermined future point in time, which shall be no less than six months. Residents of transitional housing are usually connected to supportive services designed to assist the homeless in achieving greater economic independence and a permanent,

stable living situation. Transitional housing can take several forms, including group quarters with beds, single-family homes, and multifamily apartments, and typically offers case management and support services to help return people to independent living (often in six months to two years).

Government Code Section 65582 defines supportive housing as housing with no limits on the length of stay that is occupied by a “target population” and links this population with the provision of housing and social services. “Target population” means persons with low incomes who have one or more disabilities, including mental illness, HIV/AIDS, substance abuse, or other chronic health condition, or individuals eligible for services provided pursuant to the Lanterman Act (Division 4.5 [commencing with Section 4500] of the Welfare and Institutions Code) and may include, among other populations, adults, emancipated minors, families with children, elderly persons, young adults aging out of the foster care system, individuals exiting from institutional settings, veterans, and homeless people (Government Code Sections 65582(f) and (g)).

State law requires transitional and supportive housing to be defined as a residential use and subject only to the same regulations as comparable residential uses. The City currently permits emergency shelters by right in the Community Facilities - Public/Institutional (CF-P/I) and Community Facilities - Private (CF-P) zoning districts with the intent to provide adequate development and operational standards for such uses to ensure that the appropriate housing and services for special needs populations are met.

AB 2162 requires supportive housing projects of 50 units or fewer to be permitted by right in zoning districts where multifamily and mixed-use developments are permitted, when the development meets certain conditions. The City may choose to allow larger supportive housing projects by right in those zoning districts. The bill also prohibits minimum parking requirements for supportive housing within 0.5 mile of a public transit stop.

2.3.11 Employee Housing

The City has no land zoned for agriculture and does not contain any agricultural land uses. Further, the 2015–2019 American Community Survey estimates there are no residents who hold farming, fishing, or forestry occupations. Therefore, there is no need for farmworker housing.

Further, because the City has not adopted any ordinances relating to employee housing, it does not anticipate that any other facilities or employers would provide housing for their employees.

2.4 HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

Both the federal Fair Housing Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act impose an affirmative duty on local governments to make reasonable accommodations (i.e., modifications or exceptions) in their zoning and other land use regulations when such accommodations may be necessary to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

The City has conducted a review of zoning and building code requirements and permitting procedures to identify potential constraints for housing for persons with disabilities. The City’s policies and regulations regarding housing for persons with disabilities are described below.

2.4.1 Zoning and Land Use

Restrictive land use policies and zoning provisions can constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities.

2.4.1.1 Definition of Family

Local governments may restrict access to housing for households failing to qualify as a “family” by the definition specified in the Zoning Code. Specifically, a restrictive definition of “family” that limits the number of and differentiates between related and unrelated individuals living together may illegally limit the development and siting of group homes for persons with disabilities, but not for housing families that are similarly sized or situated.⁴

Section 13.06.010(d)(395) of the Zoning Code defines “family” as “One or more persons occupying one dwelling unit. The term “family” includes the occupants of community care facilities serving six or fewer persons that are permitted or licensed by the State. The term “family” does not include occupants of a fraternity, sorority, boardinghouse, lodginghouse, club, or motel.” To accommodate disabled persons in public facilities, the City defers to the California Access Compliance Reference Manual from the Department of General Services, Division of the State Architect.

The Housing Element includes a program to amend the Zoning Code’s definition of “family” to resolve inconsistencies between the current definition and applicable state law.

2.4.1.2 Residential Care Facilities

Under the Lanterman Act, small licensed residential care facilities for six or fewer persons must be treated as regular residential uses and permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. The City permits small licensed residential care facilities in all residential zoning districts and does not have additional development standards for these facilities and is, therefore, in compliance with the Lanterman Act.

The Housing Element includes a program to amend the Zoning Code to remove the requirement for residential care facilities for seven to 12 persons to obtain a conditional use permit.

2.4.1.3 Parking Standards

Development in the City is required to meet parking standards for people with disabilities, as required by State law, including requirements for the number and design of disabled parking spaces.

⁴ California court cases (City of Santa Barbara v. Adamson, 1980 and City of Chula Vista v. Pagard, 1981, etc.) have ruled an ordinance as invalid if it defines a “family” as: (a) an individual; (b) two or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption; or (c) a group of not more than a specific number of unrelated persons as a single housekeeping unit. These cases have explained that defining a family in a manner that distinguishes between blood-related and non-blood related individuals does not serve any legitimate or useful objective or purpose recognized under the zoning and land use planning powers of a municipality, and therefore violates rights of privacy under the California Constitution.

2.4.1.4 Reasonable Accommodation

The Fair Housing Act requires that local governments provide reasonable accommodation to rules, policies, practices, and procedures where such accommodation may be necessary to afford individuals with disabilities equal opportunity to housing. To create a process for making requests for reasonable accommodation to land use and zoning decisions and procedures regulating the siting, funding, development and use of housing for people with disabilities, the City has adopted a Reasonable Accommodations Ordinance in accordance with State law (Chapter 13.15 of the City's Zoning Code). The Reasonable Accommodations Ordinance provides for flexibility in the City's development standards to accommodate persons with disabilities.

The following findings are required to approve reasonable accommodation requests:

1. The requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the fair housing laws;
2. The requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling;
3. The requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the city;
4. The requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program or law, including but not limited to land use or zoning; and
5. The requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health and safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

The process to request reasonable accommodations is set forth in Section 13.15.014 of the City's Zoning Code and is streamlined to include only an application containing the following information:

1. The applicant's name, address, and telephone number;
2. Documentation that the applicant is:
 - a. An individual with a disability;
 - b. Applying on behalf of one or more individuals with a disability; or
 - c. A developer or provider of housing for one or more individuals with a disability;
3. Address of the property for which accommodation is requested;
4. The name, address, and telephone number of the property owner(s), if different from the applicant;
5. The current use of the subject property;

6. The specific basis for the claim that the applicant is considered disabled under the fair housing laws;
7. A description of the accommodation requested including reference to the zoning and development code provision, policy, or procedure from which accommodation is sought;
8. A detailed written explanation of why the requested accommodation is necessary for the individual(s) with a disability to use and enjoy the dwelling; and
9. Any other information that the Community Development Director reasonably concludes is necessary to determine whether the findings required by Section 13.15.016 can be made, so long as any request for information regarding the disability of the individuals benefited complies with fair housing law protections and the privacy rights of the individuals affected.

No application fee is required per Section 13.15.014(a) of the City's Zoning Code.

Once the Community Development Director deems an application complete, public noticing of the request for reasonable accommodation is provided as follows, in furtherance of the fifth finding required for approval (that there will be no direct threat to the health and safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others):

- In the event that there is no approval, permit, or entitlement sought other than the request for reasonable accommodation, the notice shall be mailed to the owners of record of all properties that are immediately adjacent to the property that is the subject of the request; or
- In the event that the request is being made in conjunction with some other approval, permit or entitlement, the notice shall be transmitted along with the notice of the other proceeding.

Section 13.15.013 of the City's Zoning Code requires the Community Development Director or Community Development Department to act on complete applications within 30 days, except when further information has been requested but not yet provided by the applicant (the Zoning Code is explicit that information requested from the applicant must be consistent with fair housing laws). Determinations are provided in writing to the applicant and other parties previously noticed.

2.4.2 Building Codes

The City enforces the California Building Code (CBC), including Chapters 11A (Housing Accessibility) and 11B (Accessibility to Public Buildings, Public Accommodations, Commercial Buildings and Publicly Funded Housing), which regulate the access and adaptability of buildings to accommodate persons with disabilities. Furthermore, Government Code Section 12955.1 requires that 10 percent of the total dwelling units in multi-family buildings without elevators consisting of three or more rental units or four or more condominium units are subject to the following building standards for persons with disabilities:

- The primary entry to the dwelling unit shall be on an accessible route unless exempted by site impracticality tests.

- The public and common areas shall be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities.
- All the doors designed to allow passage into and within all premises shall be sufficiently wide to allow passage by persons in wheelchairs.
- All premises within covered multifamily dwelling units shall contain the following features of adaptable design:
 - An accessible route into and through the covered dwelling unit.
 - Light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls in accessible locations.
 - Reinforcements in bathroom walls to allow later installation of grab bars around the toilet, tub, shower stall, and shower seat, where those facilities are provided.
 - Useable kitchens and bathrooms so that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.

Compliance with the CBC, Government Code, and federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is assessed and enforced by the Building Division as a part of the building permit process. The City has not adopted any amendments to the CBC that diminish the ability to accommodate persons with disabilities.

2.4.3 Conclusion

The City has not adopted unique restrictions that constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities nor has it adopted regulations inconsistent with applicable federal or State law. There are no City-initiated constraints on housing for persons with disabilities caused or controlled by the City. In addition, the City has adopted formalized criteria and processing procedures for reasonable accommodation applications within its Zoning Code.

2.5 SITE IMPROVEMENTS

Developers of single-family residential tracts in the City are required to install arterial and local streets; sewer and water lines; storm drainage; curbs, gutters, and sidewalks; street lighting; underground utilities; and landscaping in the public right-of-way within and adjacent to a tract, if such facilities do not already exist. In most cases, these facilities are dedicated to the City or other agencies that are responsible for ongoing maintenance. Requirements for site improvements are at a level necessary to meet the City's costs and are necessary to protect health, safety, and welfare.

The cost of required off-site improvements vary with the sales price of each dwelling unit depending on the nature of development (i.e., level of improvements required). The City may also impose development impact fees on future housing developments in order to recover costs of installing off-site improvements including upgrading the circulation system and other urban service systems to serve increased density. The developed portions of Laguna Woods have the majority of necessary infrastructure, such as streets, electrical and water facilities, already in place. However, due to the

age of the existing infrastructure, many areas where infill housing development is expected to occur may require infrastructure improvements to ensure sufficient capacity at build-out.

The City’s Zoning Code includes minimum street width standards. Private streets serving four or less parcels as access to a public street are required to provide for a minimum pavement width of 16 feet within a minimum 20 ft wide right-of-way. Private streets serving five parcels or more as access to a public street are required to provide for a minimum pavement width of 28 ft within a minimum 40 ft wide right-of-way. Sidewalks are required to not be less than 6 ft in width. Streets in residential districts requiring a building site area of 15,000 sf or more and where no sidewalks are to be installed, are required to have a right-of-way that will provide a parkway width of at least 4 ft.

2.6 PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES

Housing development in Laguna Woods is subject to the following types of fees and exactions: (1) permit processing fees for planning and zoning, and (2) impact fees or exactions, imposed to defray all or a portion of the public costs related to development projects.

Since Fiscal Year 2015-16, the City has retained a qualified third-party consultant to conduct an annual study of the “nexus” between the City’s planning/zoning fees and the reasonable costs of associated services. Planning/zoning fees have generally been set at the City’s reasonable cost of providing services. Profit is not a component of the City’s planning/zoning fees.

Table F lists the City’s residential planning/zoning fees, based off the fee schedule that was effective July 19, 2021. Prior to this update, the City’s planning/zoning fees were last updated in 2020. There were no significant increases to planning/zoning fees.

Table F: Current Residential Planning/Zoning Fees

Planning/Zoning Fees	Initial Deposit
Conditional Use Permit	\$4,000
Development Agreement	\$10,000
Environmental Impact Report	\$10,000
General Plan Amendment	\$10,000
Zoning Code Amendment	\$10,000
Initial Study/Negative Declaration/Mitigated Negative Declaration	\$5,000
Sign Program	\$2,500
Site Development Permit	\$4,000
Specific Plan	\$10,000
Variance	\$3,500
Zone Change	\$10,000

Sources: City of Laguna Woods (2021).

Note: All fees are the minimum initial fee for the service. The final fee is based on actual costs, which may be less than the minimum fee (in which case, the unspent amount of the deposit is returned to the applicant) or exceed the minimum fee (in which case, supplemental deposits may be collected and, ultimately, the actual cost is collected with any unspent amount returned to the applicant).

Table G provides a comparison of the City’s planning/zoning fees with other nearby cities. As shown, the City’s planning/zoning fees are generally comparable to other cities.

Table G: Comparison of Residential Planning/Zoning Fees

Fee Type	Laguna Woods	Laguna Niguel	Laguna Hills	Lake Forest
Conditional Use Permit	\$4,000	\$3,800	\$8,148.07	\$2,400-5,000
Variance	\$3,500	\$3,800	\$6,293.38	\$5,000
Zone Change	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$10,522.43	\$10,000
General Plan Amendment	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$7,547.86	\$10,000
Tentative Tract Map	Varies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Preliminary Screencheck: \$1,425 (Flat) ● Filing: \$2,925 + \$25/each lot (Deposit) ● Added Lots to Filed Map: \$36/each lot (Flat) ● Changed Map: \$370 (Flat) ● Appeal to City Council: \$585 (Flat) ● Amendment to Conditions: \$155 (Flat) ● Amend Approved Map: \$1,000 + \$36/each numbered lot (Deposit) 	\$9,182.99	\$10,000
Tentative Parcel Map	Varies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Preliminary Screencheck: \$980 (Flat) ● Filing: \$2,080 (Deposit) ● Appeal to City Council: \$235 (Flat) ● Amendment to Conditions: \$155 (Flat) ● Amend Approved Map: \$1,000 + \$36/each numbered lot (Deposit) 	\$9,182.99	\$7,500

Sources: City of Laguna Woods (2020); City of Laguna Niguel (2020); City of Laguna Hills (2020); City of Lake Forest (2020).

Note: The fees listed above are generally approximated base fees or deposits; if the cost of providing the service exceeds the base fee or deposit, the balance is collected from the applicant.

In addition to planning/zoning fees, the City is required to collect development impact fees on behalf of the County of Orange and the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency (SJHTCA). These fees are established independent of the City. A summary of fees as of July 2022 follows.

- **Coastal Area Road Improvements and Traffic Signals Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the County of Orange prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$2,989/unit. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$2,392/unit.
- **Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the County of Orange prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$615/unit. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$360/unit. The County of Orange intends to end this fee program in the near future.
- **San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the SJHTCA prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. Fees vary based on whether a project is located in “Zone A” or “Zone B,” as defined by the SJHTCA (a map is available at <https://www.thetollroads.com/about-tca/development-impact-fee-dif-program/>). For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$6,211/unit in Zone A and \$4,814/unit in Zone B. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$3,618/unit in Zone A and \$2,808/unit in Zone B.

Other government agencies collect development impact fees directly from applicants. Again, these fees are established independent of the City. A summary of common fees as of July 2022 follows.

- **Capistrano Unified School District** – Applicants are required to pay a fee directly to the Capistrano Unified School District prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects. The fee only applies if the project is located within the Capistrano Unified School District’s boundaries (a map is available at <https://www.capousd.org/Schools/School-Locator/index.html>). The fee is \$4.08 per square foot.
- **Saddleback Valley Unified School District** – Applicants are required to pay a fee directly to the Saddleback Valley Unified School District prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects and additions over 500 square feet. This fee only applies if the project is located within the Saddleback Valley Unified School District’s boundaries (a map is available at <https://www.svusd.org/about/school-boundaries>). The fee is \$4.79 per square foot.
- **El Toro Water District (ETWD)** – Applicants are required to pay a capital facilities fee directly to the ETWD prior to service being provided for new residential development projects. This fee varies based on meter size, but ranges from \$2,145 to \$21,856 per meter, and the number of gallons of sewage to be discharged to the ETWD’s system each day times \$9.311.

In general, planning/zoning and development impact fees can constrain housing development and compromise affordability because the additional cost borne by developers contributes to overall increased housing unit cost. However, the City’s planning/zoning fees are comparable to nearby cities and assessed only to recover reasonable costs of providing services (profit is not a component and the deposit-based fee structure allows for only actual costs to be charged). Development impact fees affecting residential development projects in Laguna Woods are outside of the City’s control. The City does not collect development impact fees of its own creation.

As noted elsewhere in this Constraints Analysis, the City has not processed a residential development application since the San Sebastian Apartments in the mid-2000s. Since that date, the City has evaluated the adequacy and rationality of its planning/zoning fees, and made changes to recover its reasonable costs of providing services, as allowed by state law. While some planning/zoning fees have increased as a result of this evaluation, others have been eliminated. For example, the City no longer collects parkland dedication (Quimby Act) fees nor library development fees on behalf of the Orange County Library District. In addition, the County of Orange intends to end the Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Road Fee Program in the near future.

Using the San Sebastian Apartments project as a representative example of multi-family residential development activity, Table H contains City staff’s estimate of planning/zoning and development impact fees assuming the project were built today at a cost of \$50,723,304 (calculated based on the actual amenities and current market estimates for construction thereof).

**Table H: Prototypical Multi-Family Residential Project
Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees**

Development Fees	Amount
City Planning/Zoning Fees	\$35,000
Coastal Area Road Improvement and Traffic Signals Program (Impact Fee)	\$325,486
Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$49,044
San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$472,216
Saddleback Valley Unified School District (Impact Fee)	\$668,932
El Toro Water District (Capital Facilities Fee)	\$170,000
TOTAL PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES	\$1,720,678
Per Unit @ 134 units	\$12,841

Total planning/zoning and development impact fees for the prototypical apartment project in Table H represent approximately 3.4 percent of the estimated development cost, far below the 10–15 percent of development costs that the Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California (HCD) considers “typical” per its Building Blocks: Fees and Exactions guidance (retrieved July 2022). Of particular note is that only approximately 2 percent of total planning/zoning and development impact fees are established by the City.

Table I contains City staff’s estimate of planning/zoning and development impact fees for a new 2,150 square foot single-family home (one unit) development project built today at a cost of \$400,000 (comparable to the example used for similar purposes by the City of Aliso Viejo in that city’s draft Housing Element dated February 1, 2022).

**Table I: Prototypical Single-Family Home Project Planning/Zoning
and Development Impact Fees**

Development Fees	Amount
City Planning/Zoning Fees	\$2,500
Coastal Area Road Improvement and Traffic Signals Program (Impact Fee)	\$2,989
Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$615
San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$6,211
Saddleback Valley Unified School District (Impact Fee)	\$10,299
El Toro Water District (Capital Facilities Fee)	\$2,500
TOTAL PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES	\$25,114

Total planning/zoning and development impact fees for the prototypical single-family home project in Table I represent approximately 6.3 percent of the estimated development cost, far below the 10–15 percent of development costs that HCD considers “typical” per its Building Blocks: Fees and Exactions guidance (retrieved July 2022). Of particular note is that only approximately 10 percent of total planning/zoning and development impact fees are established by the City.

The City’s development fees do not appear to represent a constraint on housing development.

Assembly Bill 641 (2007–2008) provides cash flow flexibility for the majority of affordable housing projects by allowing development impact fees to be paid as late as the date of the final inspection, or the date the certificate of occupancy is issued, whichever occurs first.

2.7 LOCAL PROCESSING AND PERMIT PROCEDURES

Development processing and permitting procedures are necessary steps to ensure that residential construction proceeds in an orderly manner. However, the time and cost of permit processing and review can be a constraint to housing development if they place an undue burden on the developer.

Although the City has not processed an application for a housing development project since the San Sebastian Apartments in the mid-2000s, it is committed to working closely with developers and applicants to approve projects in a timely manner. The San Sebastian Apartments project received its planning/zoning approvals in October 2005 and submitted an application for building permits in September 2006 (approximately 11 months apart). Due to the lack of housing development applications since the San Sebastian Apartments project, there is no other local data that speaks to length of time between receiving approval for a project and submittal of an application for building permits.

For a typical project, the developer would meet with Planning Division staff to discuss the project and then submit plans for review. Plans would either be submitted to the Planning Division first and, once approved, to the Building Division for building permitting, or submitted concurrently to both the Planning Division and Building Division if so desired by the applicant. Applicants that submit concurrent applications would effectively eliminate any length of time between receiving approval for their project and submittal of an application for building permits. Projects requiring a Site Development Permit (SDP) or Conditional Use Permit (CUP) would be reviewed and acted upon as described herein. Throughout construction, various City divisions would perform inspections to monitor the progress of the project. This process is comparable to that of many cities in the region; therefore, processing times and expectations are typical for the region.

A typical new single-family home development project (one unit) would require only the issuance of ministerial building permits, unless the project is subject to the State Subdivision Map Act in which case those requirements would also apply. The Building Division's initial review of building permit applications for single-family homes is seven days, followed by five days for subsequent submittals.

A typical new multi-family residential development project of three units or less would require only the issuance of ministerial building permits, unless the project is subject to the State Subdivision Map Act in which case those requirements would also apply. The Building Division's initial review of building permit applications for multi-family residential projects is the same as single-family homes – seven days, followed by five days for each subsequent submittal.

Table J outlines the development review processing times and approval procedures for residential developments applications. For housing development projects proposed to occur within any of the "by-right" overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element's rezoning program, it is unlikely that the first four actions/requests listed in Table J, or variances, would be required, barring an unusual request from the applicant. The overlay zoning districts are intended to expedite the development of housing in a manner that complies with State housing law.

Table J: Typical Permit Processing Timelines

Action/Request	Processing Time	Comments
Environmental Impact Report	9-12 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through CEQA. Adopted by the City Council.
Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration	6-9 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through CEQA. Adopted by the City Council.
General Plan Amendment	10-12 months	Government Code Section 65358 limits the number of times any element of the General Plan can be amended each calendar year. Approved by the City Council. Requires a public hearing.
Zone Change	8-12 months	Certain procedures and time limits established by Gov. Code Sections 65854-65857. Approved by the City Council. Requires a public hearing.
Tentative Parcel Map	45-60 days	Processing and review time limits controlled through the State Subdivision Map Act. Approved by the City Council.
Tentative Tract Map	6-8 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through the State Subdivision Map Act. Approved by the City Council.
Variance	3-4 months	Approved by the City Council. Requires a public hearing.
Conditional Use Permits	3-4 months	Approved by the City Council. Requires a public hearing.
Site Development Permit	2-3 months	Approved by the Community Development Director.

CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act

Depending on the type of approval that is required, a one or two-level decision-making process may be required. The City's process is somewhat more streamlined than many other cities in that the City Council also serves as the Planning Commission.

2.7.1 Site Development Permit

Multi-family residential development projects consisting of more than four units are required to obtain a Site Development Permit (SDP) to establish the use when permitted by right. It is anticipated that most (if not all) of the "by-right" housing projects newly possible due to this Housing Element would require a SDP. The purpose of a SDP is to provide for administrative review of detailed development plans for a proposed use. Uses that require a SDP are regarded as having a relatively low potential for adverse impacts on the subject site or surrounding community due to the nature or magnitude of the use vis-a-vis the sensitivity of the subject site or surrounding community.

SDP applications are acted upon by the Community Development Director unless he/she/they determine on a case-by-case basis, that the public interest would be better served by the application being acted on by the City Council. Public hearings are only required when the Community Development Director requests action by the City Council.

The following findings are required to approve SDP applications:

1. The use or project proposed is consistent with the General Plan;
2. The use, activity or improvement(s) proposed is consistent with the provisions of the Zoning Code;

3. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act;
4. The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use will not create conditions or situations that may be incompatible with other permitted uses in the vicinity;
5. The approval of the permit application will not result in conditions or circumstances contrary to the public health and safety and the general welfare; and
6. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with all City-required public facilities regulations.

Given the typical nature of the findings required to approve SDP applications, the application of the SDP requirement only to larger-scale multi-family residential projects, the default level of review and approval resting with staff, the typical permit processing time of 2-3 months, and the ability for building plan review to occur concurrent with SDP processing, it is unlikely that this requirement would constrain housing supply, affordability, timing, or approval certainty.

2.7.2 Conditional Use Permit

Conditional Use Permits (CUPs) are required for some multi-family development, senior housing projects, and large residential care facilities, dependent upon the underlying zoning district. CUPs are not required for any of the “by-right” overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element’s rezoning program.

CUP applications are acted upon by the City Council. A public hearing is required.

The following findings are required to approved CUP applications:

1. The use or project proposed is consistent with the General Plan;
2. The use, activity or improvement(s) proposed is consistent with the provisions of the Zoning Code;
3. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act;
4. The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use will not create conditions or situations that may be incompatible with other permitted uses in the vicinity;
5. The approval of the permit application will not result in conditions or circumstances contrary to the public health and safety and the general welfare; and
6. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with all City-required public facilities regulations.

Again, the CUP requirement only applies to condominiums and apartments in existing residential zoning districts and would not apply to the “by-right” overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element’s rezoning program. Although the CUP requirement does not affect the City’s housing supply, it could affect affordability, but not within the “by right” overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element’s rezoning program.

2.8 BUILDING CODES

As required of all local governments in California, the City enforces the CBC. The CBC establishes construction standards necessary to protect public health, safety, and welfare and all new constructions and renovations must conform to the standards of the CBC.

Based on recommendations from the Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA), the City has adopted several amendments to the CBC, which revised the following chapters:

- Scope and administration;
- Definitions;
- General requirements;
- Emergency planning and preparedness;
- Fire service features;
- Fire protection and life safety systems;
- Construction requirements for existing buildings;
- Energy systems;
- Fruit and crop ripening;
- Fumigation and insecticidal fogging;
- Lumber yards and agro-industrial, solid biomass and woodworking facilities;
- Requirements for wildland-urban interface fire areas;
- Hazardous materials;
- Explosives and fireworks;
- Flammable and combustible liquids;
- Flammable gases and flammable cryogenic fluids;
- Referenced standards; and
- The various appendices.

More information on these changes can be found in the City’s Municipal Code. Compliance with the CBC, and the City’s locally adopted amendments to the CBC, should not significantly add to the cost of construction since the CBC is mandated to be enforced statewide and costs should be relatively uniform statewide. In addition, because the OCFA serves as a regional fire protection agency, the County of Orange and 22 other member cities have adopted substantially similar amendments, which means that the cost of complying with the City’s amendments to the CBC is substantially similar to most of the other cities in Orange County. Costs associated with the CBC and any locally adopted amendments are necessary to protect the health safety and welfare of the citizens. Compliance ensures that all new or renovated buildings are structurally sound, have proper exiting, and are equipped with necessary fire protection features. In addition, the CBC mandates energy efficiency as well as provisions for access for persons with disabilities.

Since Fiscal Year 2015–16, the City has retained a qualified third-party consultant to conduct an annual study of the “nexus” between the City’s fees and the reasonable costs of building permitting. Fees have generally been set at the City’s reasonable cost of providing services. Profit is not a component of the City’s building permit fees. The current building permit fee schedule and supporting fee study is available at <https://www.cityoflagunawoods.org/government/transparency-public-records/>.

As incentives to develop affordable housing projects, the Housing Element includes three programs that will have a lessening effect on the City’s building permit fees. Program H-1.2.4 will reduce fees for qualifying lot consolidations, Program H-1.2.5 will waive or reduce fees for qualifying affordable housing projects subject to long-term affordability covenants, and Program H-2.2.2 will waive or reduce fees for improvements made to accommodate qualifying disabilities. Three other programs – H-1.2.8, H-2.1.2, and H-3.1.3 – involve the establishment of incentives that may include fee waivers or reductions for energy efficiency, affordability covenants, and affirmative marketing, respectively.

2.9 FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS

Federal and State requirements may act as a barrier to the development or rehabilitation of housing, and affordable housing in particular. These include State prevailing wage requirements and environmental review requirements.

2.9.1 State Prevailing Wage Requirements

Labor Code Section 1720, which applies prevailing wage rates to public works of over \$1,000, defines public works to mean construction, alteration, installation, demolition, or repair work done under contract and paid for in whole or in part out of public funds. For example, public transfer of an asset for less than fair market value, such as a land write-down, would be construed to be paid for in part out of public funds and trigger prevailing wage requirements.

While the cost differential in prevailing and standard wages varies based on the skill level of the occupation, prevailing wages tend to add to the overall cost of development. In the case of affordable housing projects, prevailing wage requirements could effectively reduce the number of affordable units that can be achieved with public subsidies. However, State law does allow a number of exceptions for single-family homes and for projects intended to support affordable housing, such as the construction or expansion of emergency shelters or construction of some types of affordable housing units.

2.9.2 Environmental Protection

Federal and State regulations require environmental review of proposed discretionary projects (e.g., subdivision maps, conditional use permits, etc.). Costs resulting from the environmental review process, such as costs related to the preparation of environmental analyses, increase the cost of housing and may be passed on to the consumer. Environmental review can also impact the processing time for project review due to mandated public review periods. However, the presence of these regulations helps preserve the environment and ensure environmental safety. Recent State laws have established exemptions from CEQA for infill and affordable housing projects. Due to the

City's predominantly built-out nature, it is anticipated that the majority of proposed projects would be in-fill and likely exempt from environmental review as urban infill projects.

2.9.3 State Transparency Requirements

AB 1483 requires the City to provide on its website a current schedule of fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City applicable to a proposed housing development project, all zoning ordinances and development standards, and annual fee reports or annual financial reports, as specified. AB 1483 also requires the City to provide on its website an archive of impact fee nexus studies, cost of service studies, or equivalent, as specified.

The information provided on the City's website complies with AB 1483.

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

3.0 MARKET CONSTRAINTS

3.1 AVAILABILITY OF FINANCING

The availability of financing in a community depends on a number of factors, including the type of lending institutions active in the community, lending practices, rates and fees charged, laws and regulations governing financial institutions, and equal access to those institutions.

Under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), lending institutions are required to disclose information on the disposition of loan applications and the income, gender, and race of loan applicants. A total of 1,112 households applied for mortgage loans for homes in the seven census tracts that include residential areas in Laguna Woods in 2019 (Table K). Overall, 62 percent of these applications were approved, 15 percent were denied, and 23 percent were either withdrawn or closed for incompleteness. Conventional financing involves market-rate loans provided by private lending institutions such as banks, mortgage companies, savings and loans, and thrift institutions. Of the 453 applications for conventional purchase loans, 70 percent were approved. The approval rate for government-backed loans was slightly higher at 72 percent, while the approval rate for refinance applications was 58 percent. Home improvement loan applications had the lowest approval rating, with 37 percent of applications being approved and 44 percent being denied.

Table K: Disposition of Home Purchase and Improvement Loan Applications (2019)

Loan Type	Total Applications	Approved	Denied	Other
Government-Backed Purchase	39	72%	8%	20%
Conventional Purchase	453	70%	10%	20%
Refinance	545	58%	16%	26%
Home Improvement	75	37%	44%	19%
Total	1,112	62%	15%	23%

Source: Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Data Publication. (2019).

Note: "Other" includes files closed for incompleteness and applications withdrawn. Data covers the seven census tracts that include residential areas in Laguna Woods (Census Tracts 626.22, 626.25, 626.41, 626.46, 626.47, 626.48, and 626.49).

3.2 FORECLOSURES

Foreclosure occurs when households fall behind on one or more scheduled mortgage payments. The foreclosure process can be halted if the homeowner is able to bring their mortgage payments current. If payments cannot be resumed or the debt cannot be resolved, the lender can legally use the foreclosure process to repossess (take over) the home. When this happens, the homeowners must move out of the property. If the home is worth less than the total amount owed on the mortgage loan, a deficiency judgment could be pursued. If that happens, the homeowner would lose their home and also would owe the home lender an additional amount.

Between 2000 and 2005, with low interest rates, "creative" financing (e.g., zero down, interest only, adjustable loans), and predatory lending practices (e.g., aggressive marketing, hidden fees, negative amortization), many households purchased homes that were beyond their financial means. Under

the false assumptions that refinancing to lower interest rates would always be an option and home prices would continue to rise at double-digit rates, many households were unprepared for the hikes in interest rates, expiration of short-term fixed rates, and decline in sales prices that set off in 2006. Suddenly faced with significantly inflated mortgage payments, and “upside-down” mortgage loans (that are larger than the worth of the homes), many had to resort to foreclosing their homes.

However, since the Great Recession, foreclosure rates have come down significantly. As of June 2021, there was only one home in Laguna Woods in some stage of foreclosure. The foreclosure rate was less than 0.01 percent for Laguna Woods as well as for Orange County as a whole.⁵

3.3 DEVELOPMENT COSTS

3.3.1 Land Availability and Cost

The availability and price of land represents a significant market constraint to housing production throughout most of Southern California. This constraint is particularly acute in communities, such as Laguna Woods, where there is very little residentially designated vacant land. In June 2021, based on a survey of online real estate listings, there were no vacant properties listed for sale within Laguna Woods. Due to limited land availability, most new residential development in Laguna Woods will involve redeveloping properties with existing uses, which tends to add to the cost of land.

Density bonuses are available for projects that include affordable housing. Developers of affordable housing may also be granted regulatory concessions or development incentives. Density bonuses, together with the incentives and/or concessions, result in a lower average cost of land per dwelling unit thereby making the provision of affordable housing more feasible.

3.3.2 Cost of Construction

The cost of labor and building materials has a significant impact on the overall cost of new housing and can, therefore, be a constraint to affordable housing development. According to the National Association of Home Builders Construction Cost Survey, construction costs (including labor and materials) account for over 55 percent of the sales price of a new single family home. The Construction Cost Survey found that the average construction cost for a single family home in 2017 was \$237,760. It should be noted that the Construction Cost Survey is a national survey and may not be completely representative of Laguna Woods or Orange County; however, it does illustrate that construction costs comprise a significant proportion of the ultimate sales price of residential development. While significant, construction costs are consistent throughout the region and therefore would not specifically constrain housing development in Laguna Woods when compared to other cities in the region.

A reduction in amenities and the quality of building materials (above a minimum acceptability for health, safety, and adequate performance) can result in lower development costs. As part of the City’s density bonus and inclusionary housing programs, the City allows affordable units to be smaller in size (maintaining the same number of bedrooms) and could also consider allowing less

⁵ Realtytrac.com (accessed June 2021).

costly features and interior finishes, provided all project units were comparable in construction quality and exterior design.

Another factor related to construction costs is the number of units built at one time. As that number increases, overall costs generally decrease as builders are able to take advantage of the benefits of economies of scale.

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

4.0 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS

The availability of public infrastructure and services for residential development is another potential constraint to the development of housing. The majority of Laguna Woods is highly urbanized and built-out with most of the necessary infrastructure, streets, electrical lines, and water distribution already in place. This section provides an overview of potential utility service constraints.

4.1 WATER

Laguna Woods is served by the El Toro Water District (ETWD), a public water service agency. Water provided in the El Toro Water District is nearly entirely dependent on imported water. Imported water is transported via a blend of water from the Colorado River and the Sacramento Bay Delta via the State Water Project. Both sources of import water are transported from hundreds of miles through a series of tunnels, aqueducts and pipelines to reach Orange County, and are distributed by the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) of Southern California, the region's wholesale water provider. ETWD purchases this imported water from the MWD through the Municipal Water District of Orange County. ETWD has taken a leading role in improving local water supply reliability for south Orange County. Together with the Santa Margarita Water District and the Moulton Niguel Water District, ETWD maintains and operates a large covered drinking water reservoir with a capacity of 275 million gallons. In the event of an emergency or unplanned interruption of water service, the reservoir could provide a 14-day supply of water to ETWD customers.

According to ETWD's Draft 2020 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), water use within its service area was 7,167 acre-feet of potable water and 1,270 acre-feet of recycled water for landscape irrigation in 2019. A stable trend is expected because ETWD's service area is predominantly built-out and the rate of population growth is small (about 0.23 percent per year). Water conservation efforts also kept per capita water use down. The total service demand was expected to increase to 8,737 acre-feet by 2025 and projected to increase to 9,156 acre-feet by 2045. According to the UWMP, ETWD's system is expected to have the ability to supply 9,156 acre-feet of water in 2045, meeting the projected demands. ETWD's demand projections consider such factors as current and future demographics, future water use efficiency measures, and long-term weather variability, and specifically take into account the additional housing units that each of the cities within its service area must plan for as part of the RHNA requirements. Therefore, adequate water supply is available to accommodate the RHNA during the Housing Element planning period.

SB 1087 requires that water providers develop written policies that grant priority to proposed development that includes housing affordable to lower-income households. The legislation also prohibits water providers from denying or conditioning the approval of development that includes housing affordable to lower income households, unless specific written findings are made. The City will provide a copy of the adopted Housing Element to ETWD after adoption and will continue to coordinate with ETWD to ensure priority service provision to affordable housing developments.

4.2 WASTEWATER

Wastewater in Laguna Woods is collected, treated, and disposed of by ETWD's Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP), which has a maximum capacity of 6 million gallons per day. In addition,

ETWD operates a Water Recycling Plant (WRP), which allows it to reuse much of its wastewater effluent as recycled water by applying a tertiary treatment process. The WTP and WRP serve portions of the cities of Laguna Hills, Mission Viejo, Aliso Viejo, Lake Forest and all of Laguna Woods. ETWD has been able to meet its water demands from a combination of water resources and technology that optimally promote use of water conservation practices, water importation and recycled water treatment and delivery. In 2012, ETWD began a Recycled Water Expansion Project to increase the treatment and delivery of recycled water through a new tertiary treatment facility. The tertiary treatment plant is designed to produce as much as 3.7 million gallons of recycled water per day with a peak hour pumping capacity of over 5,000 gallons per minute. The WRP expansion was designed with the ability to expand capacity up to the expected maximum amount of raw wastewater entering the plant. Simultaneously, ETWD built a new recycled water distribution system that includes 140,000 ft of recycled water pipelines beneath the roadways in portions of Laguna Woods and the northwest portion of Laguna Hills. Therefore, there are no constraints on the availability of wastewater disposal or treatment.

SB 1087 mandates priority sewage collection and treatment service to housing developments providing units affordable to lower-income households. The City will provide a copy of the adopted Housing Element to ETWD after adoption. The City will continue to coordinate with ETWD to ensure priority service provision to affordable housing developments.

4.3 TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

In 1990, Orange County voters approved Measure M, the Revised Traffic Improvement and Growth Management Ordinance, which provides funding to Orange County for needed transportation improvements over a 20-year period through the imposition of a one-half cent retail transaction and use tax. In 2006, voters extended the tax through 2041 (Measure M2). Cities such as Laguna Woods can qualify for Measure M funds if they comply with the Countywide Growth Management Program component requirements and have an established policy framework for that program. As part of complying with the Countywide Growth Management Program component requirements, Laguna Woods implemented a development mitigation program that established a fee structure for requiring new development to pay its proportionate share funding of impacts to the regional roadway system.

In order to assist with the long-term development of funding for major capital improvement projects on public property, the City Council adopts a minimum 7-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) on an annual basis. The CIP and the minimum 7-year period to which it applies is also a requirement for receiving funding from Measure M2.

In addition, the City is within the SJHTCA fee assessment area. The payment of development impact fees is required as a condition of approval of a final parcel or tract map or as a condition of issuing a building permit on any properties within the fee assessment area, as authorized by Government Code Section 66484.3. These development impact fees are collected for the purpose of repaying the indebtedness incurred to construct the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor (State Route 73) that has already been built, as well as to pay the cost of future anticipated improvements and ongoing planning and environmental requirements.

Fees are collected on new residential dwellings and new non-residential square footage in areas identified as the area of benefit surrounding the corridor. While most of Laguna Woods is within Area of Benefit Zone A for the SJHTCA, certain northerly portions of Laguna Woods are within Area of Benefit Zone B. Table L provides a summary of the current (2022) development impact fees assessed within those areas. In 1997, the SJHTCA Board of Directors adopted a set rate of increase for the fees. Each July 1, rates for the SJHTCA increase by 2.667 percent.

**Table L: San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor
Development Impact Fees (2022)**

	Zone A	Zone B
Single Family Residential	\$6,211/unit	\$4,814/unit
Multi-Family Residential	\$3,618/unit	\$2,808/unit

Source: Transportation Corridor Agencies (2022).

Note: In 1997, the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Board of Directors adopted a set rate of increase for the fees. Each July 1, rates increase by 2.667 percent.

While the traffic mitigation fees described above may present a constraint to housing development, they are necessary to facilitate the ongoing maintenance of transportation infrastructure.

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

A wide range of environmental factors may constrain the development of new housing. Areas of special environmental significance, potential safety hazards, and development constraints have influenced and will continue to influence land use policy. The City's Safety Element identifies areas subject to a number of environmental constraints, including flooding, seismic hazards, hazardous and toxic materials, and urban fires. The City's General Plan recognizes those hazards and identifies programs to minimize them.

5.1 FLOODING

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes maps that identify areas of the City subject to flooding in the event of a major storm. Those Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) indicate areas that may be inundated in the event of a 100-year or a 500-year storm. In addition, the maps indicate the base flood elevations at selected intervals of the floodway. The flood map contained in the City's Safety Element indicates that very few areas are within 100-year flood event inundation areas. Areas are limited to the small reservoir southeast of the intersection of Moulton Parkway and Ridge Route Drive, the 9 Hole Par 3 Golf Course in Laguna Woods Village, and Aliso Creek in the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods. The projected 500-year flood would most significantly affect low-lying areas along Aliso Creek.

Additional flood hazards include the four reservoirs with a total maximum capacity of 10 million gallons of potable water distributed throughout Laguna Woods. Two of these reservoirs are located at a high point northwest of the intersection of El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway, and two are located in Laguna Woods Village (one at the south end of Calle Sonora Este and another on the west side of Bahia Blanca West). The dam/reservoir located southeast of the intersection of Moulton Parkway and Ridge Route Drive (Rossmoor #2) is located in a 100-year floodplain but is not subject to State dam inundation mapping regulations. The Veeh Reservoir located nearby in the City of Laguna Hills is also within a 100-year floodplain. According to the City's Safety Element, failure of any of the previously mentioned ETWD infrastructure could cause localized flooding.

The Moulton Niguel Water District owns and operates a water tank in the City of Aliso Viejo just south of the intersection of El Toro Road and Aliso Creek Road. Failure of that water tank could cause flooding on El Toro Road and in the lower portions of Woods End Wilderness Preserve.

The failure of the bridge along Avenida Sevilla that crosses Aliso Creek could impede movement and cause localized flooding on property in Laguna Woods Village. Flood hazards in Laguna Woods pose a moderate risk. Areas designated for future residential development will be evaluated on an individual basis regarding their potential flood hazard.

5.2 SEISMIC AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

As stated in the City's Safety Element, the entirety of Laguna Woods—as well as all of Southern California—is located within a seismically active region that has been subject to major earthquakes in the past. There are no known faults in Laguna Woods. However, the Newport-Inglewood, Whittier-Elsinore, Glen Ivy Elsinore, and Temecula-Elsinore faults are located within close proximity

to Laguna Woods. The closest fault—Newport-Inglewood—traverses approximately 7-8 miles southwest of Laguna Woods. The San Andreas and San Jacinto faults are located much further away from Laguna Woods (the San Jacinto Fault crosses the region approximately 40 miles northeast of Laguna Woods and the San Andreas Fault is even farther away [approximately 50 miles northeast]). Although farther away, those faults have the potential to deliver larger magnitude earthquakes than the other five faults mentioned above. Other major faults may be buried under alluvium, or fault traces may have been obliterated due to natural weathering.

Liquefaction is another hazard associated with intense ground shaking, in which the soil can destabilize and if sufficient water is present in the soil, the soil and water can mix. The City's Safety Element includes a map that identifies liquefaction hazard zones. Most of the approximately 256 acres that are within the identified liquefaction hazard zones are in the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods; however, small areas that are subject to liquefaction hazards also exist in the northern and western part of Laguna Woods. Areas within these hazard zones may experience liquefaction during extreme ground shaking.

Landslides, which can occur as a result of seismic activity or as an independent event, have the potential to cause loss of life, personal injury, economic loss, and property damage in Laguna Woods. The City's Safety Element includes a map that shows the locations of the approximately 77 acres that are prone to earthquake-induced landslides. Most of the areas that are subject to landslides are in the western half of Laguna Woods.

5.3 WILDFIRES

According to the City's Safety Element, wildfires in the open space and wildland-urban interface area that borders the westernmost edge of Laguna Woods could cause loss of life, personal injury, and extensive property damage, including damage to open space resources. Consequently, fires are considered to pose a very significant risk. In 2012, the City Council designated three fire hazard severity zones (very high, high, and moderate) within the wildland-urban interface area shown in the Safety Element. The Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone was identified by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), while the High and Moderate Fire Hazard Severity Zones were identified by OCFA based on an assessment of vegetation, slope, fire history, weather patterns, and impact of flames, heat, and flying embers. Collectively, those zones and the 2,564 residential dwelling units within them face the highest risk of wildfire impacts. However, OCFA has developed a number of resources that are intended to mitigate fire risk through vegetation management, including technical guidelines for developing fuel modification plans for new construction projects and maintaining and managing vegetation on properties within fire hazard areas.

5.4 NOISE

Noise generated from mobile sources such as traffic on City streets and Interstate 5 (I-5) and aircraft flyovers will continue to have the greatest potential impact on the City's land use decisions. The City's Noise Element describes the existing noise environment using maps that identify several areas with high levels of noise. The Noise Element also identifies noise sources and contains goals and policies that will be useful in reducing the effects of noise, if not the actual intensity of noise. Land

use policy discourages the placement of noise-sensitive land uses in areas that are subject to high noise levels. The City requires new housing developments to provide an acoustic analysis and provide necessary mitigation, such as barriers or additional sound insulation, for projects located within the 65 CNEL noise contour zones identified in the Noise Element. According to the Noise Element, areas adjacent to the following road segments are either already within the 65 CNEL noise contour or are projected to be in future General Plan build-out:

- El Toro Road (Aliso Creek Road to Paseo de Valencia)
- Moulton Parkway (Gate 12 south to City limits)
- Santa Maria Avenue (Avenida Sosiega to Santa Vittoria Drive)

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

EXHIBIT C

HOUSING SITES INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

PROPOSED

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

HOUSING SITES INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0 STATE REGULATIONS.....	2
2.1 Assembly Bill 1397.....	3
2.2 Assembly Bill 686.....	3
3.0 OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL HOUSING SITES	4
4.0 METHODOLOGY USED TO IDENTIFY SITES.....	13
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE	18
5.1 Environmental Constraints.....	18
5.2 Infrastructure Constraints	18
5.3 General Land Use Considerations	21
5.4 Access to Community Resources.....	21
5.5 Energy Conservation Opportunities	23
5.5.1 City Efforts.....	23
5.5.2 Other Resources.....	25
6.0 FAIR HOUSING ISSUES	26
6.1 Proposed Sites.....	26
6.2 Poverty Status.....	28
6.3 Diversity Index.....	28
6.4 Healthy Places	33
6.5 Social Vulnerability.....	33
6.6 Tax Credit Allocation Committee Opportunity Areas.....	39
6.7 Regional Opportunity Index	43
6.8 Disability	43
6.9 Family Status	44
6.10 Income.....	46
6.11 Race and Ethnicity	47
6.12 Local Data and Knowledge	50
6.12.1 Age and Income Restrictions.....	50
6.12.2 Property Ownership Implications.....	51
6.12.3 Environmental and Topography Constraints.....	51
6.12.4 Relevant Factors Contributing to Fair Housing.....	52
6.13 Concluding Analysis.....	52
7.0 REALISTIC DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY	54
8.0 QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES.....	58

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

Figure 1: Housing Sites Key Map	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2: Poverty Status	29
Figure 3: Diversity Index	31
Figure 4: Healthy Places Index	35
Figure 5: Social Vulnerability Index.....	37
Figure 6: TCAC Opportunity Areas	41

TABLES

Table A: City of Laguna Woods Housing Needs Allocation (2021–2029).....	2
Table B: Site Descriptions	5
Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites	16
Table D: Environmental and Site Constraints	19
Table E: Potential Overlay Zoning Districts	21
Table F: Potential Housing Units during 2021–2029 Planning Period	26
Table G: Recently Developed Housing in Nearby Cities.....	27
Table H: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps.....	39
Table I: Disability Trends	43
Table J: Family Status Trends	45
Table K: Income Trends.....	46
Table L: Race and Ethnicity Trends	48
Table M: Race and Ethnicity Trends (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)	49
Table N: Potential Housing Unit Production.....	56
Table O: Summary of Quantified Objectives	58

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
ACS	American Community Survey
AFFH	Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
CALGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CARE	California Alternate Rates for Energy
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CF-P	Community Facilities—Private
City	City of Laguna Woods
du/ac	dwelling unit per acre
ETWD	El Toro Water District
FERA	Family Electric Rate Assistance
FHEO	Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach
Golden Rain Foundation	Golden Rain Foundation of Laguna Woods
HCD	California Department of Housing and Community Development
HPI	Healthy Places Index
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
LIHTC	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
MFI	median family income
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
PA	Professional and Administrative Office
PHASC	Public Health Alliance of Southern California
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Assessment
ROI	Regional Opportunity Index

SB	Senate Bill
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCE	Southern California Edison
SVI	Social Vulnerability Index
SVUSD	Saddleback Valley Unified School District
TCAC	Tax Credit Allocation Committee
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan

PROPOSED

1.0 INTRODUCTION

State Housing Element law requires local governments to adequately plan to meet their existing and projected housing needs, including their share of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation. Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) requires local governments to prepare an inventory of land suitable for residential development, which is used to identify sites that can be developed for housing within the planning period. This report has been prepared to satisfy Government Code Section 65583(a)(3).

PROPOSED

2.0 STATE REGULATIONS

State law recognizes the vital role local governments play in the availability, adequacy, and affordability of housing. Every city and county in California is required to adopt a long-range General Plan to guide its physical development; the Housing Element is one of the seven mandated elements of the General Plan. State Housing Element law mandates that local governments adequately plan to meet the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community. The law recognizes that in order for the private market to adequately address housing needs and demand, local governments must adopt land use plans and regulatory systems that provide opportunities for (and do not unduly constrain) housing production. Housing Element statutes also require the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to review local housing elements for compliance with state law and to report its findings to the local government.

State Housing Element law requires that each local government develop local housing programs to meet its “fair share” of existing and future housing needs for all income groups. The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is responsible for developing and assigning these regional needs, via the RHNA process, to Southern California jurisdictions including the City.

Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) requires that local governments prepare an inventory of land suitable for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having the potential for redevelopment, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to those sites. This parcel-level inventory of sites with near-term residential development potential has been prepared in support of the City’s efforts to update its Housing Element.

The City’s fair share for the planning period between October 2021 and October 2029 was established by SCAG at 997 units divided into four income groups as shown in Table A. That housing needs allocation was based on projected household growth and the resulting need for construction of additional housing units allocated over an 8-year planning period (2021–2029).

Table A: City of Laguna Woods Housing Needs Allocation (2021–2029)

Income Level	Percent of Area MFI	No. of Units
Very Low	0–50%	127
Low	51–80%	136
Moderate	81–120%	192
Above Moderate	>120%	542
Total		997

Source: SCAG 6th Cycle Final RHNA Allocation Plan (approved by HCD on March 22, 2021).

HCD = California Department of Housing and Community Development

MFI = median family income

RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment

SCAG = Southern California Association of Governments

It should be noted that the City’s housing needs allocation for the 2021–2019 planning period (997 units) is substantially higher than its allocation during the previous planning period (2 units).

2.1 ASSEMBLY BILL 1397

Pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 1397, cities must determine if more than 50 percent of the lower-income RHNA would be accommodated on nonvacant sites. When a city relies on nonvacant sites to accommodate 50 percent or more of its housing need for lower-income households, the methodology used to determine additional development potential must demonstrate that the existing use identified does not constitute an impediment to additional residential development during the period covered by the Housing Element. An existing use is presumed to impede additional residential development, absent findings based on substantial evidence that the use is likely to be discontinued during the planning period (Government Code Section 65583.2(g)(2)).

2.2 ASSEMBLY BILL 686

Pursuant to AB 686, for Housing Elements due on or after January 1, 2021, sites must be identified throughout the community in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing opportunities (Government Code Section 65583(c)(10)). According to Government Code Section 8899.50(a)(1), affirmatively furthering fair housing means “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics.” Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity; replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns; transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity; and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. For purposes of this Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, this means that the sites identified to accommodate the lower-income need must not be concentrated in low-resourced areas (areas that lack access to high-performing schools, are not close to jobs, and/or are disproportionately exposed to pollution or other health impacts) or areas of segregation and concentrations of poverty. Instead, the sites identified to accommodate the lower-income need must be distributed throughout the community in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing.

3.0 OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL HOUSING SITES

The City has identified 17 potential sites that appear to be viable for near-term housing development that would help the City meet its RHNA requirements. Table B provides a brief description of each site and its corresponding Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs), along with a description of the existing uses on each site, the surrounding land uses, the parcel size, and the existing General Plan land use and zoning designations on each site. The 17 sites are distributed throughout the community as shown in Figure 1, Housing Sites Key Map.

PROPOSED

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot (APN 616-012-29)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undeveloped. Site slopes downward from north to south, with most of the developable area on this parcel being 30 to 50 feet above El Toro Road. 	<p>North: El Toro Water District facility—water well property (one-story structures and water tanks)</p> <p>East: Laguna Hills Animal Hospital and Laguna Woods Self Storage (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Laguna Woods Village water detention basin—vacant property</p> <p>West: Medical office building within Town Centre (three-story structure)</p>	Yes	1.8 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot (APN 621-131-38)	Currently developed as a surface parking lot for the adjacent Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel in neighboring Aliso Viejo.	<p>North: vacant land, Laguna Country United Methodist Church (one-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Country United Methodist Church, Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel (in Aliso Viejo) (all one-story structures)</p> <p>South: vacant land, Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel (in Aliso Viejo) (all one-story structures)</p> <p>West: vacant land</p>	No	0.696 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
3	Rossmoor Electric (APN 621-131-21)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a small number of office and storage uses.	North: grocery and commercial (one- and two-story structures)	No	1.232 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Saddleback Golf Cars (one-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village community garden center				
			West: Equestrian Center (one- and two-story structures)				
4	Saddleback Golf Cars (APN 621-131-26)	Currently developed as a commercial use with neighborhood electric vehicle and golf cart sales and repair.	North: grocery and commercial (one- and two-story structures)	No	1.235 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: vacant land				
			South: vacant land				
			West: Rossmoor Electric (one-story structures)				
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage (APN 616-012-19)	Currently developed as a commercial use with approximately eight single story structures used for individual storage.	North: Laguna Woods Village golf course	No	5.249 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Animal Hospital and commercial center (one-and-two-story structures)				
			South: water storage				
			West: City Center Park				
6	Animal Hospital (APN 616-012-03)	Currently developed as a commercial use with an animal hospital.	North: Laguna Woods Self Storage (one-story structures)	No	0.76 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: gas station (one-and-two-story structures)				
			South: Equestrian Center (one- and two-story structures)				
			West: vacant land				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box) (APN 616-021-30)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a self-storage facility and small shopping center.	North: open space (in Lake Forest)	No	2.867 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			South: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			West: fast-food restaurant (one-story structure)				
8	Smart Parke (APN 621-211-09)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a pet boarding facility.	North: grocery and commercial (one-and-two story structures)	No	2.373 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Home Depot center (one-and two-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			West: The Regency Apartment Homes (one-to-three-story structures)				
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary (APN 621-091-016)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a mortuary facility.	North: medical offices (three-story structures)	No	1.411 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: single family residences (one- and two-story structures)				
			South: residential (four-story structures)				
			West: multifamily residential (in Aliso Viejo) (four-story structures)				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross (APN 616-041-01)	Currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Laguna Woods Village residences (three-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Saint Nicholas Catholic Church (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>West: Laguna Woods Village Golf Course</p>	No	3.028 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities— Private
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church (APNs 616-191-05 & 616-191-06)	Both parcels are currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p> <p>East: Calle Sonora/office building within Town Centre (three-story structure)</p> <p>South: Whispering Fountains Apartments and residences (in Aliso Viejo) (one-, two-, and three-story structures)</p> <p>West: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p>	No	3.955 ac ¹	Community Facilities (both parcels)	Community Facilities— Private (both parcels)
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church (APN 621-121-11)	Currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Lutheran Church of the Cross (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p> <p>West: Willow Tree Center and Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p>	No	4.596 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities— Private

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
13	Temple Judea (APN 621-121-18)	Currently developed as a temple.	North: Laguna Country United Methodist Church and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)	No	1.757 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities—Private
			East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village residences and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
			West: Laguna Country United Methodist Church and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church (APN 621-121-23)	Currently developed as a church.	North: Willow Tree Center and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)	No	3.899 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities—Private
			East: Temple Judea and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				
			South: Temple Judea and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
			West: parking lot for Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel, Laguna Woods Village vacant land, Willow Tree Center, Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
15	Medical Building in Town Centre (APN 616-012-24)	Currently developed as a commercial use with office uses.	North: Town Center (three-story structure) East: vacant land South: Home Depot shopping center and Laguna Woods Village water detention basin (one-story structures) West: Town Centre (one- and three-story structures)	No	2.69 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office
16	Willow Tree Center East (APN 621-121-30)	Currently developed as a commercial use with retail, a restaurant, and an adult day services facility.	North: Laguna Woods Village Golf Course East: medical buildings (four-story structures) South: Residential Community (one- and two-story structures) West: Community Commercial (Town Centre) (one- and two-story structures)	No	3.095 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
17	Helm Center (APN 621-091-15)	Currently developed as a commercial use with office uses.	North: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures) East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures) South: McCormick & Son Mortuary (one-story structures) West: The Wellington (in Aliso Viejo) (four-story structures)	No	0.65 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office

¹ APN 616-191-05 is 0.5 acre and APN 616-191-06 is 3.455 acres. The total acreage of both parcels is 3.955.

ac = acre(s)

APN = Assessor's Parcel Number


County = County of Orange



LSA

LEGEND

- Housing Site (with Site ID#)
- City of Laguna Woods
- Laguna Woods Village



SOURCE: Google Maps (2020)

I:\LWD2101\GIS\MXD\Key_Map.mxd (10/27/2021)

FIGURE 1

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

4.0 METHODOLOGY USED TO IDENTIFY SITES

Given the predominantly built-out nature of Laguna Woods, there is very little undeveloped land that is able to accommodate new development. The majority of Laguna Woods is comprised of private communities that are restricted to adults aged 55 and older. Most of the limited amount of vacant land that exists within Laguna Woods consists of publicly owned open space properties that are subject to conservation easements or deed restrictions that prohibit housing development.

Properties presently designated as open space were excluded from consideration when the presence of deed restrictions preclude potential future housing development. The Laguna Laurel property at the western end of Santa Maria Avenue is subject to a conservation easement that expressly prohibits the construction of housing. Woods End Wilderness Preserve is subject to a deed restriction that prohibits use inconsistent with “nonvehicular public access into the Laguna Coast Wilderness Park and natural resource protection and restoration.” Woods End Wilderness Preserve is also leased to the County of Orange for inclusion in the Laguna Coast Wilderness Park through February 28, 2047.

City-owned sites were screened for potential inclusion in this analysis; however, due to the City’s extremely limited property ownership, none were included. The City Hall/Public Library site was excluded due to the current construction of a new library building and expanded outdoor gathering and activity spaces. Both the City Centre Park and Woods End Wilderness Preserve sites are subject to state grant-related deed restrictions. The property at Santa Vittoria Drive/San Remo Drive is 0.32 acre, which is presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State housing law.

Approximately 2.7 square miles of Laguna Woods’ overall 3.3 square miles is occupied by Laguna Woods Village (formerly known as Leisure World), a private gated community for people aged 55 and older. Figure 1, Housing Sites Key Map, shows the portions of Laguna Woods that are within Laguna Woods Village. Over 15,000 residents live in the 12,736 units within Laguna Woods Village, which include condominiums, cooperatives (“co-ops”), and single-family homes. While there are several properties that are perceived as vacant within Laguna Woods Village, none of those properties meet HCD’s definition of a vacant property as set forth in the “Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2” published June 10, 2020, and the production of new housing within Laguna Woods Village faces several challenges. There are income requirements and age restrictions for residents to become members of and live within the community, and the ability to build housing on, or unilaterally sell/lease, any land held in trust by the Golden Rain Foundation for the benefit of the residents of Laguna Woods Village is restricted by applicable governing documents. Each of the perceived vacant sites within Laguna Woods Village was also redesignated/rezoned in 2015 as open space at the request of the property owner (Golden Rain Foundation); prior to that action, those sites were designated/zoned for residential and commercial use. The City has discussed its new housing needs allocation and the Housing Element update process with Laguna Woods Village management staff (Village Management Services, Inc.) and has received no indication that the Golden Rain Foundation or any other Laguna Woods Village governing board is interested in pursuing new housing development. Due to a lack of evidence indicating a likelihood of redevelopment, properties located within, or owned by parties exclusively affiliated with Laguna Woods Village, were excluded from consideration.

The properties upon which Home Depot is located were excluded from consideration, consistent with HCD’s “Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2” published June 10, 2020, that references an “active Home Depot” as an example of an existing use that is “unlikely to be available for residential development within the planning period.”

Sites were included in this analysis because they met one or more of the following criteria:

- Site is Presently Vacant – After excluding open space properties with deed restrictions that preclude potential future housing development, properties of a size presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State housing law, and properties perceived as vacant but failing to meet HCD’s definition of a vacant property, only one presently vacant property remained (Site 1).
- Site is Presently Structureless – After excluding open space properties with deed restrictions that preclude potential future housing development, properties of a size presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State housing law, and properties located within, or owned by parties exclusively affiliated with Laguna Woods Village, only one presently structureless property remained (Site 2).
- Previous Expression of Housing Development Interest – Sites where interest in housing development has been previously expressed were included. In 2014, the property owner’s agent expressed interest in redeveloping the Willow Tree Center East property (Site 16). In 2017, the property owner and a housing developer expressed interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church property (Site 14).
- Synergy with Place of Worship – Based, in part, on previous interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church site (Site 14), parcel sizes, proximity to public transit, mission compatibility¹, and membership-related trends, other sites presently used as places of worship were included (Sites 2 and 10–14).
- Viable Commercial Site – Based, in part, on previous interest in the Willow Tree Center East site (Site 16), parcel sizes, and proximity to public transit, other commercial sites were included (Sites 3–9 and 15–17). An emphasis was placed on identifying commercial sites with:
 - Frequent or long-term vacancies
 - As evidenced by real estate marketing.
 - Indicates that demand for commercial uses at that location is low, signaling a potential for redevelopment.

¹ California State Senator Scott Wiener. 2020. "Senator Wiener Introduces Housing Legislation to Allow Churches and Other Charitable Institutions to Build Affordable Housing on Their Property." Website: <https://sd11.senate.ca.gov/news/20200306-senator-wiener-introduces-housing-legislation-allow-churches-and-other-charitable#:~:text=Churches%20and%20other%20religious%20and,in%20order%20to%20do%20so.%E2%80%9D> (accessed July 11, 2021).

- Less established tenants
 - According to the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, approximately 20 percent of small businesses fail in the first year, 50 percent fail by the end of the fifth year, and 66 percent fail by the end of the tenth year.¹
 - Indicates that the property may become available during the RHNA cycle.
- Single tenants
 - Redeveloping such properties has fewer lease implications.
- Viable adjacent sites
 - Presents an opportunity for lot consolidation, potentially making redevelopment at an economic scale more viable.
- Structure Will Be 40 Years or Older During 2021–2029 Planning Period – It is reasonable to expect older structures to present more opportunities for redevelopment than newer structures. 10 sites that will be 40 years or older by 2029 (Sites 6, 9–14, and 16–17) are included.

Nonvacant properties that met the criteria described above were further screened to exclude any properties that host businesses that provide essential goods or services to the community and are, therefore, not likely to vacate their current locations. Such businesses included grocery stores, banks, and pharmacies.

Finally, consideration was given to whether a site would provide any of the following benefits:

- Parcel Size is Potentially Suitable for Lower-Income Developments – Government Code Section 65583.2(c)(2) requires additional analysis to substantiate potential suitability for lower-income developments when the size of a parcel is smaller than one half acre or larger than 10 acres. Efforts were taken to identify parcels sized between one half acre and 10 acres.
- Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site – HCD’s “Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2” published June 10, 2020, identifies the potential for lot consolidation as evidence that may indicate a site is adequate to accommodate lower-income developments. This Housing Element includes Program H-1.2.4, which seeks to create an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for lot consolidations.

Table C summarizes how the criteria described above applies to the 17 potential development sites.

¹ United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. Survival of private sector establishments by opening year. March. Website: https://www.bls.gov/bdm/us_age_naics_00_table7.txt (accessed July 1, 2021).

Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites

Site	Name	Relevant Factors Resulting in Identification
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is presently vacant • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is presently structureless • Synergy with place of worship • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
3	Rossmoor Electric	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies • Viable commercial site; less established tenant • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
4	Saddleback Golf Cars	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; single tenant • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; single tenant • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
6	Animal Hospital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; single tenant • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies • Viable commercial site; some less established tenants • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
8	Smart Parke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; less established tenant • Viable commercial site; single tenant • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; single tenant • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synergy with place of worship • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synergy with place of worship • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synergy with place of worship • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations

Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites

Site	Name	Relevant Factors Resulting in Identification
13	Temple Judea	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Synergy with place of worship • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous expression of housing development interest • Synergy with place of worship • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
15	Medical Building in Town Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
16	Willow Tree Center East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous expression of housing development interest • Viable commercial site; some less established tenants • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Structures will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations
17	Helm Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies • Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site • Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period • Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments • Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations

The analysis in this report focuses on the suitability of housing development on each site by examining site conditions and various development constraints (e.g., environmental hazards or inadequate infrastructure). The report also identifies those sites that are most likely to redevelop at density levels that can facilitate affordable housing. The goal of this analysis is to confirm that the 17 potential housing sites are able to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation.

This analysis also takes into consideration whether each site is vacant or nonvacant, as defined by HCD, and whether existing uses on the nonvacant sites would serve as an impediment to additional housing development during the Housing Element planning period.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE

Government Code Section 65583.2(b)(4) requires that the City provide a general description of any environmental constraints to the development of housing within its jurisdiction. Government Code Section 65583.2(b)(5) requires that the City provide a description of existing or planned water, sewer, and other dry utilities supply, including the availability and access to distribution facilities.

5.1 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

Table D summarizes any environmental site constraints that affect each of the 17 housing sites, with an emphasis on grading, slope hazards, access issues, and surrounding uses. As described in Table D, it appears that vehicular access to each of the 17 housing sites is viable. Table D also indicates that many of the sites have no environmental constraints. With respect to the sites with potential environmental constraints, there are feasible engineering and design solutions that are likely to reduce the environmental impacts associated with these constraints to less than significant levels. Although the total development capacity of several of the sites (Sites 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17) would be constrained by slope hazards or the need to be sensitive to neighboring residents, none of the sites have physical impediments that would render them undevelopable.

5.2 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS

Laguna Woods is a predominantly built-out urban community that has adequate infrastructure to provide water, sewer, electricity, natural gas, and telecommunications services to its residents. As shown in Figure 1, all 17 of the potential housing sites are adjacent to either El Toro Road or Moulton Parkway and are either already developed or surrounded by existing development.

Water service is provided to Laguna Woods, including to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), by the El Toro Water District (ETWD). The existing water mains along El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites. As an urban water supplier, ETWD is required to prepare an Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) every 5 years. The UWMP supports ETWD's long-term resource planning to ensure that adequate water supplies are available to meet existing and future water needs. ETWD is currently in the process of preparing an update to its UWMP, which is anticipated to evaluate the reliability of its water supplies through at least 2040.

The City will work with ETWD to ensure that planned land uses, including future housing envisioned under the City's RHNA allocation, are included in future UWMPs so that sufficient water supplies are available. A related program has been included in the Housing Element.

Sewer service is provided to Laguna Woods by ETWD. Because sewer service is currently provided to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), the existing sewer facilities could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites.

Table D: Environmental and Site Constraints

Site	Name	Description of Constraint
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site slopes downward from north to south toward El Toro Road. • Heavy grading, with a substantial amount of soil export, would be required to accommodate new housing on this site; in addition, it is likely that tiebacks and/or retaining walls would be required to stabilize the site. • Vehicle access through the Town Centre project to the west appears viable. • A small portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development.
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the adjacent property. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
3	Rossmoor Electric	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the east. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
4	Saddleback Golf Cars	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the east. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is sloped to the northeast and south; site appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. • A small portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development.
6	Animal Hospital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the south. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the north. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
8	Smart Parke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the south. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway. • A portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development. • The western portion of the site was affected by a landslide in 2004 when the slope below Calle Sonora failed due to excessive soil saturation, causing significant private property damage.
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the north. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveways on the site. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • The northern and western portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road and Calle Sonora appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.

Table D: Environmental and Site Constraints

Site	Name	Description of Constraint
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northwest. • The southern portion of the site is adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.
13	Temple Judea	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. • The northern and eastern portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the site, which is shared with the owner of an adjacent site.
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. • The northern and eastern portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the site, which is shared with the owner of an adjacent site.
15	Medical Building in Town Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway west of the site. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
16	Willow Tree Center East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway west of the site. • The southern portion of the site is near residential communities; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents.
17	Helm Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • The eastern portion of the site is adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.

Southern California Edison (SCE) provides electrical service to Laguna Woods. Because electrical service is currently provided to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), the existing electrical facilities could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites.

The Southern California Gas Company provides natural gas service to Laguna Woods and each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) via existing gas mains in Ridge Route Drive, Moulton Parkway, and El Toro Road. Gas lines could be extended from El Toro Road into the vacant site (Site 1) to sufficiently support housing development.

Telecommunication services including landline and cell phone service, television, and internet are available throughout Laguna Woods. These services could be provided to all of the sites to sufficiently support housing development.

5.3 GENERAL LAND USE CONSIDERATIONS

This Housing Element includes Program H-1.1.1 to apply an appropriate residential overlay zoning district to each of the 17 potential housing sites. Such overlay zoning districts will allow new housing units to be developed on the nonvacant sites while also allowing the existing uses to continue operating. City staff has identified four potential overlay zoning districts, which are described in Table E below. A full General Plan consistency review will be completed when the City implements the Housing Element rezoning program; however, a subsequent update of the General Plan Land Use Element will be required to establish the new overlay zoning districts. To remain consistent with the General Plan Circulation Element, a traffic impact analysis will be required.

Table E: Potential Overlay Zoning Districts

Overlay Zone	Density Range	Notes
Residential High Density	30–50 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Able to accommodate the development of lower-income units, consistent with HCD's standard threshold
Residential Medium Density	20–30 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units
Residential Medium-Low Density	15–20 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate for sites adjacent to existing lower-density residential uses • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units
Residential Low Density	8–10 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate for sites adjacent to existing single-story residential uses • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units

du/ac = dwelling unit(s) per acre

HCD = Department of Housing and Community Development

The rezoning process will include the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each of the four potential overlay zoning districts. Specific commitments in the rezoning process include, but are not limited to, (1) complying with applicable provisions of California Government Code Section 65583.2 (h), (i), et al., including accommodating at least 50 percent of the lower-income housing needs allocation on sites designated for residential use only (for non-mixed use projects) and by requiring residential use to occupy 50 percent of the total floor area of mixed-use projects, (2) permitting housing projects by right, as required by State law, (3) permitting owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right for housing projects in which 20 percent or more of the housing units are affordable for lower-income households, (4) establishing densities for potential housing sites as specified in Table H in this exhibit, and (5) allowing the development of housing projects as stand-alone uses on each potential housing site.

5.4 ACCESS TO COMMUNITY RESOURCES

Although locations vary, in general, each of the 17 potential housing sites appears to enjoy good access to community resources based on the following factors:

- Good access to grocery stores and other retail outlets (e.g., ALDI Food Market, Mother's Market & Kitchen, and Stater Bros.), which may also serve as employment centers

- Good transit access (each site is within a 0.25-mile walk of an Orange County Transportation Authority [OCTA] bus stop.)
- Close to employment centers (e.g., Laguna Hills Mall and shopping centers)
- Close to a medical facility (Saddleback Medical Center)
- Good access to quality schools (Based on the Saddleback Valley Unified School District [SVUSD] School Locator map, each of the sites would be assigned to the following schools, which are described below.)
 - **San Joaquin Elementary School** (Kindergarten–Grade 6) has the following:¹
 - A 70.3 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Exemplary” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
 - **Los Alisos Intermediate School** (Grades 7 and 8) has the following:²
 - A 57 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Good” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
 - **Laguna Hills High School** (Grades 9–12) has the following:³
 - A 38.9 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Exemplary” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
- SVUSD’s website states that families may request interdistrict transfers based on changes in childcare needs (Kindergarten–Grade 6 only), parent employment, and student enrollment in specialized programs. Proper documentation must be provided to SVUSD in order for an interdistrict transfer to be considered.

¹ Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. San Joaquin Elementary School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/SanJoaquinElementarySchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

² Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. Los Alisos Intermediate School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/LosAlisosIntermediateSchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

³ Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. Laguna Hills High School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/LagunaHillsHighSchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

5.5 ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES

Planning to maximize energy efficiency and the incorporation of energy conservation and green building features can contribute to reduced housing costs for residents in Laguna Woods, in addition to promoting sustainable community design and reduced dependence on vehicles. Such planning and development standards can also significantly contribute to reducing greenhouse gases. The City's Municipal Code references recently adopted regulations that are intended to conserve energy and provide opportunities for residents to live in decent, safe, and affordable housing.

Existing efforts to promote energy conservation were identified and reviewed to determine whether feasible and economical opportunities exist for the City to add or enhance efforts.

5.5.1 City Efforts

5.5.1.1 Laguna Woods Municipal Code

California Green Building Standards Code. The California Green Building Standards Code, 2019 Edition (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11; CALGreen),¹ was adopted and incorporated by reference as the City's Green Building Standards Code. CALGreen regulates the planning, design, operation, construction, use, and occupancy of every newly constructed building or structure, unless otherwise indicated.

The scope of CALGreen extends to include all new buildings, this includes newly constructed residential structures, including apartment buildings, condominiums, one and two-story dwellings, homeless shelters, and other types of dwellings containing sleeping accommodations; therefore, it applies to affordable housing units.

California Energy Code. The California Energy Code, 2019 Edition (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 6),² was adopted and incorporated by reference as the City's Energy Code. The Energy Code regulates the construction, alteration, movement, enlargement, replacement, repair, equipment, use and occupancy, location, maintenance, removal, and demolition of every building or structure or any appurtenances connected or attached to such buildings or structures.

The Energy Code promotes efficient building energy use to protect people and the environment. Once rooftop solar electricity generation is factored in, homes built under the 2019 standards will use about 53 percent less energy than those under the 2016 standards. This is expected to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in California by 700,000 metric tons over three years, equivalent to taking 115,000 fossil fuel cars off the road.³

¹ City of Laguna Woods. Municipal Code. Website: https://library.municode.com/ca/laguna_woods/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT10BUCO_CH10.24CAGRBUSTCO (accessed September 16, 2021).

² City of Laguna Woods. Municipal Code. Website: https://library.municode.com/ca/laguna_woods/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT10BUCO_CH10.28CAENCO (accessed September 16, 2021).

³ 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards FAQs. *The California Energy Commission - Efficiency Division*. Website: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-03/Title_24_2019_Building_Standards_FAQ_ada.pdf (accessed September 17, 2021).

Construction and Demolition Materials Management. Chapter 4.24, Construction and Demolition Materials Management, of the City’s Municipal Code increases the amount of construction and demolition waste diverted from landfills by requiring minimum levels of reuse or recycling of waste generated by certain types of projects.

Water Efficient Landscapes. Chapter 10.03, Water Efficient Landscapes, of the City’s Municipal Code encourages efficient and conservation-oriented use of water in new and rehabilitated landscapes by limiting the amount of water able to be applied and requiring compliance with El Toro Water District regulations.

In considering opportunities to promote energy conservation, the City’s role in administering the California Building Standards Code positions it well to incentivize improvements that exceed the minimum requirements set forth in the California Green Building Standards Code and California Energy Code (collectively referred to as the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards). A related program has been included in the Housing Element.

5.5.1.2 City of Laguna Woods General Plan Conservation Element

The General Plan Conservation Element addresses emergent issues and existing conditions in order to form a comprehensive approach to resource conservation. This element presents existing conditions relative to natural resource conservation within Laguna Woods and is organized to address the following eight priority issues: air resources; biological resources; cultural resources; energy resources; land resources; water resources; greenhouse gas emissions; and waste and recycling.

Implementation actions associated with the following General Plan Conservation Element policy objectives would provide opportunities for energy conservation in residential development:

- CO-4.1. Maintain energy reliability and affordability through conservation, efficiency, and independence.
- CO-4.2. Demonstrate sustainable energy resource leadership.
- CO-6.2. Maintain water reliability and affordability through conservation, efficiency, and independence.
- CO-6.3. Demonstrate sustainable water resource leadership.
- CO-8.1. Control sources of greenhouse gas emissions.
- CO-8.2. Demonstrate climate change leadership.
- CO-9.1. Adopt and enforce regulations promoting waste and recycling goals.
- CO-9.2. Expand opportunities for recycling and reuse of waste.

5.5.2 Other Resources

5.5.2.1 Southern California Edison Programs

For households requiring assistance with the payment of routine energy bills, Southern California Edison offers the following programs:

- California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) reduces energy bills for eligible customers by about 30 percent.
- Family Electric Rate Assistance (FERA) reduces electric bills for qualified households by 18 percent.

5.5.2.2 Foundation of Laguna Woods Village Payment Assistance

The Foundation of Laguna Woods Village is a nonprofit corporation that raises and distributes monies to assist residents of the private gated community of Laguna Woods Village. The Foundation of Laguna Woods Village offers temporary financial assistance with the payment of utility and energy bills.

PROPOSED

6.0 FAIR HOUSING ISSUES

HCD updated its guidance for implementing Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) in April 2021. The updated guidance was published to assist public agencies and local governments with meeting their AB 686 requirements. In April 2021, HCD also released an interactive AFFH Data Viewer, which provides several map layers with data related to key AFFH factors including the following: Fair Housing Enforcement Outreach Capacity, Community Segregation and Integration, Disparities in Access to Opportunities, Disproportionate Housing Needs & Displacement Risks, and Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty and Affluence. Per HCD’s AFFH implementation guidance, the 17 potential housing sites were analyzed for any potential patterns and trends of disparate housing needs and disproportionate access to opportunities. Using those criteria, housing sites were then evaluated on several map layers available from the AFFH Data Viewer to confirm that they would comply with AFFH policy. Figures 2 through 6, which are described in further detail below, show the locations of the potential housing sites and their proximity to the different classifications provided in the AFFH Data Viewer.

6.1 PROPOSED SITES

As described elsewhere in this exhibit, the City’s potential housing sites consist of sites with zoning in place, commercial sites with frequent or long-term vacancies, single or less established tenants, and generally sites where interest in housing development has been previously expressed. Table F below shows the number of potential housing units that are anticipated to be built during the planning period at each income level.

Table F: Potential Housing Units during 2021–2029 Planning Period

Site	APN	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	Total
	2021-2029 RHNA Targets	127	136	192	542	997
1	616-012-29	10	11	0	60	81
2	621-131-38	2	2	0	27	31
3	621-131-21	5	6	0	44	55
4	621-131-26	5	6	0	44	55
5	616-012-19	76	79	0	81	236
6	616-012-03	2	3	0	29	34
7	616-021-30	24	26	0	79	129
8	621-211-09	6	7	0	50	63
9	621-091-16	0	0	0	25	25
10	616-041-01	0	0	35	19	54
11	616-191-05	0	0	6	3	9
	616-191-06	0	0	43	19	62
12	621-121-11	0	0	61	22	83
13	621-121-18	0	0	0	15	15
14	621-121-23	0	0	85	20	105
15	616-012-24	22	23	0	76	121
16	616-121-30	0	0	0	27	27
17	621-091-15	0	0	0	11	11
TOTAL		152	163	230	651	1,196

RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment

Several recently completed housing projects near Laguna Woods are comparable in land use controls and site improvements but have higher densities than those envisioned under the Housing Element. Table G provides a list of these housing projects, along with their addresses and density (measured in dwelling units per acre). All four of these projects are located in the Gateway Specific Plan, which is centered around the western edge of the I-5/Crown Valley Parkway interchange in the nearby city of Laguna Niguel. None of these residential developments has units allocated for affordable housing.

Table G: Recently Developed Housing in Nearby Cities

Project	Address	Density
Skye at Laguna Niguel	28100 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel	67 du/ac
Apex Laguna Niguel	27960 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel	86 du/ac
Broadstone Cavora	26033 Cape Dr., Laguna Niguel	70 du/ac
Blu Laguna Niguel	27930 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel	99 du/ac

du/ac = dwelling units per acre

The additional residential development capacity on the City's potential housing sites is based on densities of 8–10 dwelling units/acre (du/ac) within the residential low density overlay, 15–20 du/ac within the residential medium-low density overlay, 20–30 du/ac within the residential medium density overlay, and 30–50 du/ac within the residential high density overlay. The potential housing sites would also include affordable housing units. Therefore, the potential housing sites would improve existing conditions for current and future residents in Laguna Woods and would increase opportunities for lower income residents in Laguna Woods while also developing new housing at a much lower density than recently completed housing projects in neighboring Laguna Niguel.

The 17 potential housing sites currently contain the following existing uses: religious centers (churches and a temple), commercial centers, and professional offices. There are several recent cases in Orange County where a church or other type of house of worship has been converted into housing.

Among these examples are the Wesley Village Apartments in Garden Grove, which redeveloped underused portions of a United Methodist Church property at 12741 Main Street into 47 units of affordable housing in 2016–2017. In 2021, nearly 40 percent of a property used by the Coastal Community Fellowship Church at 10460 Slater Avenue in Fountain Valley was redeveloped into 12 single-family units. Because these developments converted land used for religious facilities into housing in other Orange County cities, the fact that religious facilities are currently operating on several of the City's potential housing sites does not preclude their redevelopment with housing.

The additional housing that could be accommodated on the 17 potential housing sites would provide good access to community resources to potential residents, would be sufficiently served by utilities, and would facilitate the integration of lower-income households into the community in a way that would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

6.2 POVERTY STATUS

Figure 2, Poverty Status, identifies the percentage of the population in each Laguna Woods census tract whose income in the past 12 months was below the poverty level, based on the 2015–2019 American Community Survey estimates. Figure 2 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 2, between 10 and 20 percent of the population in the portions of Laguna Woods east of Moulton Parkway and west of Moulton Parkway between El Toro Road and Santa Maria Avenue are living below the poverty level.

Of the 17 sites, four (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in areas of Laguna Woods in which less than 10 percent of the population's income is below the poverty level, and 13 (Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17) are located in areas in which between 10 and 20 percent of the population's income is below the poverty level.

While no areas in Laguna Woods are within a census tract in which a majority of the population's income is below the poverty level, this analysis suggests that the development of new affordable housing on Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 would be more desirable in order to affirmatively further fair housing. This is due to their slightly higher percentages of poverty levels relative to the Laguna Woods average.

6.3 DIVERSITY INDEX

Figure 3, Diversity Index, identifies the diversity levels in each of the Laguna Woods census tracts based on Esri's 2018 Diversity Index, which analyzes United States Census Population Estimates data. Figure 3 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. The Diversity Index ranges from 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). Esri's definition of diversity is two-dimensional and combines racial diversity with ethnic diversity. The measure evaluates the likelihood that two persons, chosen at random from the same area, belong to different races or ethnic groups. If an area's entire population belongs to one race group and one ethnic group, then an area has zero diversity.

In theory, the Diversity Index ranges from 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). The Diversity Index is a continuum from 0 to 100, where an area's Diversity Index tends toward 100 when the population is more evenly divided across race and ethnic groups. If an area's entire population is divided evenly into two race groups and one ethnic group, then the Diversity Index equals 50. As more race groups are evenly represented in the population, the Diversity Index increases. As shown in Figure 3, the Diversity Index varies substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, five (Sites 1, 5, 6, 11, and 15) are located in areas of Laguna Woods that score lower on the Diversity Index. Seven of the sites (Sites 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 16) are located in areas that score higher on the Diversity Index, with scores that are less than or equal to the 40–55th percentile. Four of the sites (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in areas that scored within the 70–85th percentile.

This analysis suggests that Sites 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 16 would be stronger candidates for developing affordable housing due to their higher Diversity Index scores. Developing affordable housing at these sites would comply with AFFH policies and affirmatively further fair housing.





FIGURE 2

LSA


LEGEND

 Housing Sites

Poverty Status (Tract) - ACS (2015-2019)

Percent of Population whose income in the past 12 months is below poverty level

 < 10%

 10% - 20%



Laguna Woods Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
Poverty Status

SOURCE: Bing (2018); City of Laguna Woods (5/2021); California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (2021)

I:\LWD2101\GIS\Pro\Demographics.aprx (10/27/2021)

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED




FIGURE 3

LSA

LEGEND

- Housing Sites
- 2018 Diversity Index (Esri)
 - Lower Diversity
 - ≤55.0%
 - ≤70.0%
 - ≤85.0%



Laguna Woods Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
Diversity Index

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

6.4 HEALTHY PLACES

Figure 4, Healthy Places Index, shows the Laguna Woods census tracts and their scores on the Public Health Alliance of Southern California (PHASC) 2021 Healthy Places Index (HPI). The HPI was developed to assist in exploring local factors that predict life expectancy and provides overall scores and detailed data on specific policy action areas that shape health. Higher HPI scores represent communities where economic, education, transportation, social, neighborhood, clean environment, housing, and healthcare access indicators suggest that conditions that are conducive to healthy living are present. Figure 4 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 4, the HPI varies substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, six of the sites (Sites 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17) are located in areas that scored between the 20th and 40th percentile on the HPI. Five of the sites (Sites 1, 5, 6, 11, and 15) are located in areas that scored between the 40th and 60th percentile, followed by Sites 7 and 8, which are located in areas that scored between the 60th and 80th percentile on the HPI.

Based on this analysis, Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 15 are preferable for affordable housing development due to their higher HPI scores, which would affirmatively further fair housing.

6.5 SOCIAL VULNERABILITY

Figure 5, Social Vulnerability Index, identifies the census tracts throughout Laguna Woods and their scores on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2018 Social Vulnerability Index (SVI). “Social vulnerability” refers to the potential negative effects on communities caused by external stresses on human health. Such stresses can include natural or human-caused disasters, or disease outbreaks. The SVI uses 15 different United States Census variables to help local officials identify communities that may need support before, during, or after disasters. Figure 5 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites.

There is one census tract within Laguna Woods that is identified as having a “higher vulnerability” per AFFH data. None of the 17 sites are located in that census tract. All of the sites are located in census tracts with a “moderate vulnerability” based on the SVI.

Despite the fact that the potential housing sites are located in areas subject to moderate levels of social vulnerability, they remain good candidates for affordable housing to affirmatively further fair housing.

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED






FIGURE 4


LSA

LEGEND


 Housing Sites


Healthy Places Index

 20% - 40%

 40% - 60%

 60% - 80%

 80% - 100%



Laguna Woods Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
Healthy Places Index

SOURCE: Bing (2018); City of Laguna Woods (5/2021); California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (2021)

I:\LWD2101\GIS\Pro\Demographics.aprx (10/27/2021)

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED





FIGURE 5

LSA

LEGEND

 Housing Sites

Social Vulnerability Index - (CDC, 2018)

 Lower Vulnerability

 Higher Vulnerability



Laguna Woods Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
Social Vulnerability Index

SOURCE: Bing (2018); City of Laguna Woods (5/2021); California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (2021)

I:\LWD2101\GIS\Pro\Demographics.aprx (10/27/2021)

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

6.6 TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE OPPORTUNITY AREAS

HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related state agencies/departments to further fair housing goals (as defined by HCD). The California Fair Housing Task Force has compiled data to identify resource levels across the state to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). The data reflect composite scores of four different domains made up of a set of indicators. Table H shows the full list of these domains and indicators.

Table H: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps

Domain	Indicator
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poverty Adult education Employment Job proximity Median home value
Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CalEnviroScreen 3.0 pollution indicators and values
Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Math proficiency Reading proficiency High school graduation rates Student poverty rates
Poverty and Racial Segregation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poverty: tracts with at least 30% of population under federal poverty line Racial segregation: Tracts with location quotient higher than 1.25 for Blacks, Hispanics, Asians, or all people of color in comparison to the County

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force. 2020. Methodology for the 2020 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps. June.

Figure 6, TCAC Opportunity Areas, shows the Laguna Woods census tracts and their categorization based on their composite scores. Areas with higher composite scores are those areas that have the highest number of resources. Areas with lower composite scores have a comparatively lower number of resources. Figure 6 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 6, the composite scores vary substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, 13 of the sites (Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17) are located in “Low Resource” areas. Four of the sites (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in portions of Laguna Woods that are categorized as being “Moderate Resource—Rapidly Changing” areas. Section 1.4.1.3 of Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, provides a more detailed explanation of the various census tracts in Laguna Woods and their respective opportunity map scores and categorizations.

Based on this analysis, Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8 are preferable for affordable housing development due to their locations in higher resource areas relative to what is available in Laguna Woods, which would affirmatively further fair housing.

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED






FIGURE 6


LSA

LEGEND


 Housing Sites

TCAC Opportunity Areas 2021 - Composite Score

 Highest Resource

 Moderate Resource (Rapidly Changing)

 Low Resource



Laguna Woods Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis

TCAC Opportunity Areas

SOURCE: Bing (2018); City of Laguna Woods (5/2021); California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (2021)

I:\LWD2101\GIS\Pro\Demographics.aprx (10/27/2021)

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

6.7 REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY INDEX

The UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank partnered to develop the Regional Opportunity Index (ROI) tool, which is intended to help communities understand local social and economic opportunities. The ROI tool incorporates both “People” and “Place” components, integrating economic, infrastructure, environmental, and social indicators into a comprehensive assessment of the factors driving opportunity.

The People component of the ROI is a relative measure of *people's* assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life. According to the ROI, Laguna Woods ranks moderate to high in the People component.

The Place component of the ROI is a relative measure of an *area's* assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life. According to the ROI, most areas in Laguna Woods rank in the highest level while the westernmost portion of Laguna Woods ranks in the low level of opportunity.

In general, the ROI for Laguna Woods is relatively high when compared against the rest of Orange County. The lowest access to opportunity is concentrated in the northwestern portion of Orange County, while the highest access to opportunity is concentrated in the coastal and southern areas of Orange County, which is where Laguna Woods is located.

6.8 DISABILITY

Table I provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to disability for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table I: Disability Trends

Jurisdiction	Population with Disability (2008–2012 ACS) (%) ²	Population with Disability (2015–2019 ACS) (%) ¹	Percent Change
Orange County	7.6	8.5	11.8%
Laguna Woods	29.2	27.3	-6.5%
Aliso Viejo	3.8	6.3	65.8%
Laguna Hills	7.4	8.8	18.9%
Laguna Beach	6.8	9.4	38.2%
Lake Forest	6.4	6.9	7.8%
Census Tract 626.21	7.7	7.2	-6.5%
Census Tract 626.22	25.7	22.6	-12.1%
Census Tract 626.25	12.5	14.1	12.8%
Census Tract 626.41	11.3	13.8	22.1%
Census Tract 626.46	29.3	25.4	-13.3%
Census Tract 626.47	20.4	16.9	-17.2%
Census Tract 626.48	27.9	26.4	-5.4%
Census Tract 626.49	17.7	24.5	38.4%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1810.

² American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates. Table S1810.

Table G indicates that seven of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods have a higher percentage of their population with a disability than Orange County. Overall, 27.3 percent of Laguna Woods' population has some form of disability, a figure that is higher than each of the individual census tracts within Laguna Woods. Census Tract 626.21 reports the lowest population percentage with a disability (7.2 percent). Laguna Woods also has a much higher percentage of its population with a disability than Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, or Lake Forest, which have 6.3 percent, 8.8 percent, 9.4 percent, and 6.9 percent, respectively. All 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of disabled residents than Orange County.

Additionally, Table I details the change in the percentage of disabled residents in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 American Community Survey (ACS) estimate periods. The 2008–2012 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when data pertaining to disabled persons were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table I, Laguna Woods saw a 6.5 percent decrease in the percentage of its residents with disabilities between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. During the same time period, Orange County and the other cities surrounding Laguna Woods saw increases in the percentages of their residents with disabilities (ranging from a 7.8 percent increase in Lake Forest to a 65.8 percent increase in Aliso Viejo). However, the percentage of the population with a disability in Laguna Woods (27.3 percent) is much higher than any of the other cities surrounding Laguna Woods or Orange County.

6.9 FAMILY STATUS

Table J provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to household type for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Six of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, and Laguna Woods as a whole, have a higher number of nonfamily households than family, male householder, or female householder households, which reflects Laguna Woods' character as being home to a large senior population, many of whom do not live in family households. By comparison, Orange County and the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest have a larger number of married-couple families than nonfamily, male householder, or female householder families. In total, 11 of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a larger number of nonfamily households than married-couple family households.

Additionally, Table J details the changes in family status among households in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. The 2006–2010 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when household and family status data were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table J, the percentage of married-couple families increased the most in Laguna Woods (11.6 percent) between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods; however, the percentage of married-couple families also increased in most of the other surrounding cities and in Orange County. All of the individual census tracts in Laguna Woods also saw increases in the number of married-couple households, with the exception of two census tracts, Census Tract 626.47 and Census

Table J: Family Status Trends

Jurisdiction	Married-Couple Family Year ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)	Male Householder Year ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)	Female Householder Year ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)	Nonfamily Household Year ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)
	(2006–2010 ACS)	(2015–2019 ACS)		(2006–2010 ACS)	(2015–2019 ACS)		(2006–2010 ACS)	(2015–2019 ACS)		(2006–2010 ACS)	(2015–2019 ACS)	
Orange County	533,446	569,260	+6.7%	54,071	55,032	+1.8%	111,816	119,719	+7.1%	285,170	293,481	+2.9%
Laguna Woods	3,215	3,590	+11.7%	166	83	-50.0%	352	309	-12.2%	7,737	7,021	-9.3%
Aliso Viejo	9,264	10,054	+8.5%	521	809	+55.3%	1,599	1,937	+21.1%	6,693	5,715	-14.6%
Laguna Hills	6,418	6,347	-1.1%	400	438	+9.5%	865	941	+8.8%	2,744	3,311	+20.7%
Laguna Beach	4,683	5,038	+7.6%	518	359	-30.7%	545	595	+9.2%	5,301	4,243	-20.0%
Lake Forest	15,971	17,060	+6.8%	1,312	1,329	+1.3%	2,432	3,166	+30.2%	7,200	7,783	+8.1%
Census Tract 626.21	985	2,355	+139.1%	59	297	+403.4%	194	361	+86.1%	630	1,610	+155.6%
Census Tract 626.22	911	951	+4.4%	11	0	-100.0%	152	47	-69.1%	1,528	1,537	+0.6%
Census Tract 626.25	763	831	+8.9%	69	46	-33.3%	107	131	+22.4%	923	952	+3.1%
Census Tract 626.41	770	1,034	+34.3%	67	5	-92.5%	104	147	+41.3%	715	838	+17.2%
Census Tract 626.46	575	762	+32.5%	31	48	+54.8%	54	0	-100.0%	1,651	1,555	-5.8%
Census Tract 626.47	589	543	-7.8%	21	143	+581.0%	129	217	+68.2%	1,497	1,448	-3.3%
Census Tract 626.48	657	470	-28.5%	13	8	-38.5%	42	84	+100.0%	1,454	1,300	-10.6%
Census Tract 626.49	540	711	+31.7%	49	28	-42.9%	89	33	-62.9%	1,348	1,094	-18.8%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.

² American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.

Tract 626.48. The percentage of male householders and female householders has decreased in Laguna Woods by 50 percent and 12.2 percent, respectively, while it has increased in all surrounding cities (with the exception of Laguna Beach’s decrease in male householders). Finally, the number of non-family households in Laguna Woods has decreased by approximately 9.3 percent while it has increased in Orange County by approximately 2.9 percent.

6.10 INCOME

Table K provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to poverty status and median household income for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table K: Income Trends

Jurisdiction	Population Below Poverty (%) ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2008–2012 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)	Median Household Income ^{3,4}		Percent Change (2008–2012 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)
	2008–2012 ACS	2015–2019 ACS		2008–2012 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	
Orange County	11.7	10.9	-6.8%	\$74,344	\$90,234	21.4%
Laguna Woods	11.4	11.5	0.9%	\$34,192	\$44,020	28.7%
Aliso Viejo	4.7	4.7	0.0%	\$95,498	\$112,689	18.0%
Laguna Hills	7.2	8.3	15.3%	\$87,337	\$100,985	15.6%
Laguna Beach	7.4	6.3	-14.9%	\$98,634	\$129,983	31.8%
Lake Forest	5.0	6.9	38.0%	91,040	\$109,492	20.3%
Census Tract 626.21	6.5	7.7	18.5%	\$76,333	\$111,425	46.0%
Census Tract 626.22	9.9	13.7	38.4%	\$32,437	\$44,119	36.0%
Census Tract 626.25	12.6	12.4	-1.6%	\$43,070	\$45,214	5.0%
Census Tract 626.41	9.1	3.3	-63.7%	\$59,500	\$88,986	49.6%
Census Tract 626.46	14.9	10.6	-28.9%	\$30,291	\$41,875	38.2%
Census Tract 626.47	9.7	15.7	61.9%	\$35,345	\$54,327	53.7%
Census Tract 626.48	4.2	12.9	207.1%	\$38,534	\$45,000	16.8%
Census Tract 626.49	6.6	11.1	68.2%	\$48,351	\$60,254	24.6%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.

² American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.

³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

⁴ American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

As described in Table K, 11.5 percent of Laguna Woods’ residents are living below the federal poverty threshold, which is slightly higher than Orange County overall (10.9 percent) and higher than the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, five have a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County. Seven of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County.

Table K also shows that Laguna Woods has a substantially lower median household income (\$44,020) than Orange County (\$90,234) or any of the other surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, only Census Tract 626.21 has a higher median household income (\$111,425) than Orange County. All 17 of the

potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a lower median household income than Orange County.

Additionally, Table K details the change in the percentage of residents living below the poverty level in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and the County between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods as well as the percentage of change in median household income in those same geographies between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. The 2008–2012 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when ACS data pertaining to poverty status were available at a census tract level, and the 2006–2010 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when ACS data pertaining to household income were available at a census tract level. Laguna Woods, Orange County, the surrounding cities, and all individual census tracts within the Laguna Woods saw an increase in median household income between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. However, the percentage of residents living below the poverty level in Laguna Woods slightly increased over time (from 11.4 percent to 11.5 percent), whereas the percentage of Orange County residents living below the poverty level decreased by 6.8 percent during the same time period.

6.11 RACE AND ETHNICITY

Table L provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to race and ethnicity for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Lake Forest, Laguna Beach, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods for the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods.

As Table L indicates, Orange County, Laguna Woods, all surrounding cities, and all eight census tracts within Laguna Woods have a majority White population. All cities including Laguna Woods (76.3 percent, 80.0 percent, 70.9 percent, 89.5 percent, and 65.9 percent for Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, respectively) have a higher population of White residents than Orange County (61.0 percent). Laguna Woods has the lowest percentage of Hispanic or Latino population (5.8 percent) among all its surrounding cities and Orange County, and Census Tract 626.48 has the lowest percentage of Hispanic or Latino population (4.2 percent) among all geographies.

Table M provides a comparison of the breakdown of race and ethnicity in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. The 2006–2010 ACS estimate period was the earliest possible time period when race and ethnicity data were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table M, the White population in Laguna Woods decreased by approximately 15.5 percent between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, whereas it increased in Orange County and the cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, and Lake Forest by 5.0 percent, 8.7 percent, 0.5 percent, and 4.0 percent, respectively, during the same time frame. The Black population in Laguna Woods decreased by 9.5 percent between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, whereas it increased in Orange County and Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Aliso Viejo by 15.0 percent, 95.0 percent, 51.6 percent, and 28.9 percent, respectively. The Hispanic population increased in all geographies between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, except in Census Tract 626.22, Census Tract 626.25, and Census Tract 626.41.

Table L: Race and Ethnicity Trends

Jurisdiction	White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race and Two or More Races		Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS	2006–2010 ACS	2015–2019 ACS
Orange County	1,839,676 (62.0%)	1,931,263 (61.0%)	48,361 (1.6%)	55,591 (1.8%)	13,004 (0.4%)	14,424 (0.5%)	521,268 (17.6%)	649,042 (20.5%)	9,565 (0.3%)	10,152 (0.3%)	557,247 (18.8%)	507,572 (16.0%)	973,899 (32.8%)	1,078,726 (34.1%)
Laguna Woods	14,499 (89.0%)	12,250 (76.3%)	126 (0.8%)	114 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (0.1%)	1,357 (8.3%)	3,169 (19.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	341 (2.0%)	507 (3.2%)	723 (4.4%)	929 (5.8%)
Aliso Viejo	32,625 (70.4%)	35,449 (80.0%)	1,476 (3.2%)	1,237 (2.4%)	48 (0.1%)	238 (0.5%)	6,520 (14.1%)	7,905 (15.6%)	40 (0.1%)	64 (0.1%)	5,885 (12.8%)	5,770 (11.4%)	7,927 (17.1%)	9,189 (18.1%)
Laguna Hills	22,306 (73.3%)	22,417 (70.9%)	241 (0.8%)	470 (1.5%)	156 (0.5%)	27 (0.1%)	3,111 (10.2%)	4,632 (14.7%)	98 (0.3%)	18 (0.1%)	4,744 (15.6%)	4,053 (12.9%)	6,672 (21.9%)	6,950 (22.0%)
Laguna Beach	20,746 (91.0%)	20,617 (89.5%)	128 (0.6%)	194 (0.8%)	12 (0.1%)	28 (0.1%)	1,050 (4.6%)	867 (3.8%)	40 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	903 (3.9%)	1,330 (5.7%)	1,513 (6.6%)	1,892 (8.2%)
Lake Forest	53,242 (69.4%)	55,374 (65.9%)	1,425 (1.9%)	1,837 (2.2%)	380 (0.5%)	860 (1.0%)	10,360 (13.5%)	15,816 (18.8%)	129 (0.2%)	111 (0.1%)	12,156 (15.8%)	9,976 (11.9%)	17,159 (22.4%)	18,381 (21.9%)
Census Tract 626.21	4,093 (77.6%)	7,581 (61.5%)	20 (0.4%)	194 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (0.1%)	652 (12.4%)	3,654 (29.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	607 (11.5%)	888 (7.2%)	1,244 (23.6%)	1,557 (12.6%)
Census Tract 626.22	3,379 (77.8%)	3,025 (78.2%)	27 (0.6%)	14 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	477 (11.0%)	736 (19.0%)	36 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	426 (9.8%)	93 (2.4%)	638 (14.7%)	35 (7.9%)
Census Tract 626.25	2,902 (70.5%)	2,547 (64.1%)	0 (0.0)	11 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	365 (8.9%)	513 (12.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	848 (20.6%)	904 (22.8%)	1,349 (32.8%)	1,293 (32.5%)
Census Tract 626.41	2,774 (61.1%)	3,518 (65.4%)	58 (1.3%)	87 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	566 (12.5%)	975 (18.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1,163 (25.6%)	796 (14.8%)	1,732 (38.2%)	1,184 (22.0%)
Census Tract 626.46	2,759 (90.3%)	2,502 (72.9%)	20 (0.7%)	100 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	202 (6.6%)	658 (19.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	75 (2.5%)	173 (5.0%)	139 (4.5%)	240 (7.0%)
Census Tract 626.47	3,007 (73.5%)	3,070 (67.4)	27 (0.7%)	170 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (0.3%)	601 (14.7%)	788 (17.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	454 (11.1%)	512 (11.2%)	722 (17.7%)	994 (21.8%)
Census Tract 626.48	2,727 (92.8%)	1,996 (75.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	142 (4.8%)	510 (19.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	86 (2.9%)	134 (5.0%)	87 (3.0%)	110 (4.2%)
Census Tract 626.49	2,820 (93.2%)	2,542 (79.3%)	37 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	155 (5.1%)	552 (17.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (0.4%)	110 (3.4%)	67 (2.2%)	379 (11.8%)

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

² American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

Table M: Race and Ethnicity Trends (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)

Jurisdiction	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race and Two or More Races	Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
Orange County	+5.0%	+15.0%	+10.9%	+24.5%	+6.1%	-8.9%	+10.8%
Laguna Woods	-15.5%	-9.5%	--	+133.5%	--	+48.7%	+28.5%
Aliso Viejo	+8.7%	-16.2%	+395.8%	+21.2%	+60.0%	-2.0%	+15.9%
Laguna Hills	+0.5%	+95.0%	-82.7%	+48.9%	-81.6%	-14.6%	+4.2%
Laguna Beach	-0.6%	+51.6%	+133.3%	-17.4%	-100.0%	+47.3%	+25.0%
Lake Forest	+4.0%	+28.9%	+126.3%	+52.7%	-14.0%	-17.9%	+7.1%
Census Tract 626.21	+85.2%	+870.0%	--	+460.4%	--	+46.3%	+25.2%
Census Tract 626.22	-10.5%	-48.1%	--	+54.3%	-100.0%	-78.2%	-99.5%
Census Tract 626.25	-12.2%	--	--	+40.5%	--	+6.6%	-4.2%
Census Tract 626.41	+26.8%	+50.0%	--	+72.3%	--	-31.6%	-31.6%
Census Tract 626.46	-9.3%	+400.0%	--	+225.7%	--	+130.7%	+72.7%
Census Tract 626.47	+2.1%	+529.6%	--	+31.1%	--	+12.8%	+37.7%
Census Tract 626.48	-26.8%	--	--	+259.2%	--	+55.8%	+26.4%
Census Tract 626.49	-9.9%	-100.0%	--	+256.1%	--	+746.2%	+465.7%

6.12 LOCAL DATA AND KNOWLEDGE

6.12.1 Age and Income Restrictions

As described earlier in this exhibit, the majority of Laguna Woods is comprised of private communities that are restricted by income and to adults aged 55 and older. Of the 13,252 dwelling units in the City of Laguna Woods, 12,736 are located in the gated community of Laguna Woods Village (formerly Leisure World), which represents 80 percent of the City's total land area. Three additional age-restricted residential communities provide an additional 516 dwelling units in the City. Consequently, income and age restrictions have impeded housing choices for lower-income and younger residents interested in relocating to Laguna Woods.

Prior to the 1960s, Laguna Woods was a part of South Orange County's expansive Moulton Ranch, with only a few scattered ranch dwellings and barns comprising the nearly three square miles of land. In 1964, a portion of Moulton Ranch was purchased and developed into Leisure World Laguna Hills, a community for people aged 52 and older. The City was then officially incorporated as Orange County's 32nd city in 1999, resulting in a somewhat unique city with an average resident age that is greater than 75 years.

Existing income and age restrictions were not required by the City and are not a function of any local development code or regulation, but rather elective decision-making on the part of developers and property owners, in large part prior to the City's incorporation in 1999. In keeping with past practice, this Housing Element contains no income- or age-related housing mandates.

This Housing Element contains programs intended to affirmatively further fair housing for all persons, including a number of goals and policy objectives that specifically reference lower incomes (see Goals H-1, H-2, and H-3, and Policy Objectives H-1.1, H-1.2, H-1.4, and H-1.5). Policy Objective H-1.5 explicitly references "families," a term which includes persons of any age, and Policy Objective H-3.1 prioritizes efforts to increase access to housing without preconditions, including income or age.

Recognizing the impediments to housing production and choice associated with existing income and age restrictions, this Housing Element intentionally identifies only potential housing sites located outside of private communities that are currently income- and/or age-restricted. This is a change from previous Housing Elements for which all potential housing sites were located on property owned by entities affiliated with income- and age-restricted private communities. None of the potential housing sites identified in this Housing Element contain known income or age restrictions.

Program H-3.1.3 in this Housing Element provides for fee waivers or reductions, or other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics including, among other characteristics, familial status (meaning that housing projects seeking to obtain such incentives would not be eligible if they restrict occupant households from including children under the age of 18, or any other combination of family unit recognized by law). The incentives envisioned in Program H-3.1.3 are expected to promote housing production (and, thereby, housing choices) for all persons regardless of age.

6.12.2 Property Ownership Implications

As described in Sections 4.0 and 6.12.1 above, approximately 2.7 square miles of Laguna Woods' overall 3.3 square miles is occupied by Laguna Woods Village, a private gated community for people aged 55 and older. While there are properties within Laguna Woods Village that are perceived as vacant and might otherwise be potentially available for new housing development, all such property is held in trust by the Golden Rain Foundation for the benefit of the residents of Laguna Woods Village. Applicable governing documents restrict the Golden Rain Foundation's ability to build housing on, or unilaterally sell/lease, its property. Consequently, housing choice and the prospect of new housing construction is limited in most of Laguna Woods.

Property ownership of existing housing units within Laguna Woods Village is unique in that a large portion of the housing stock is comprised of co-ops wherein residents are members of a corporation which owns all real property, including the dwelling units, carports, and laundry facilities within the corporation's boundaries, and each member is entitled to occupy a specific dwelling unit under the terms of an occupancy agreement. Consequently, co-op residents do not enjoy the same latitude to modify and maintain their dwelling units as residents of more conventional single-family homes or condominiums, which may impede the development of accessory dwelling units and otherwise affect housing choice, as well as centralize many opportunities for the conservation and revitalization of housing stock with housing corporations rather than residents.

The City's legal obligation to support the development of accessory dwelling units is addressed in this Housing Element's Programs H-1.4.1, H-1.4.2, and H-1.4.3. To provide both housing corporations and residents with resources related to the conservation of dwelling units, this Housing Element includes Programs H-2.1.1, H-2.2.2, and H-2.2.3. Program H-2.3.1 complements those programs by formalizing a proactive code enforcement program focusing on housing-related rehabilitation needs, resulting in repairs, and seeking to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.

6.12.3 Environmental and Topography Constraints

The City has evaluated potential safety hazards that constrain future development within its boundaries and incorporated maps depicting the locations of those constraints within the Safety Element of its General Plan.

According to the Safety Element, fire hazards exist in the wildland-urban interface area in the western edge of Laguna Woods where urban development meets the open space areas west of the City. While some undeveloped land exists within the fire hazard severity zones (Laguna Coast Wilderness Park and Woods End Wilderness Preserve), this land is protected by irrevocable open space easements, which eliminates any possibility of their development. Therefore, the presence of fire hazards in these areas does not constrain housing development.

The Safety Element indicates that special flood hazard areas comprise approximately 26 acres of Laguna Woods. Flood hazards exist in the floodplain along Aliso Creek in the southern portion of Laguna Woods and the Golden Rain Foundation's nine-hole golf course near Paseo del Lago. Both of the areas affected by flooding hazards are within the boundaries of Laguna Woods Village and have been used as recreational amenities for community residents for more than 50 years. As such, the

development of new housing on these areas is just as likely to be constrained by the property's complex land ownership situation (common areas owned and maintained by the Golden Rain Foundation for the use and benefit of Laguna Woods Village residents) as it is by the presence of flood hazards.

According to the Safety Element, there are no mapped fault zones pursuant to the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act that transect Laguna Woods; however, there are several major faults and fault zones in the vicinity of Laguna Woods. Although fault rupture and seismic shaking do present a risk to development in Laguna Woods, these hazards can be addressed by incorporating the design recommendations of engineers and geotechnical professionals. Therefore, seismic hazards do not represent a constraint on new housing development in Laguna Woods.

The Safety Element notes that landslide hazard zones exist in several areas of Laguna Woods, mainly in areas where steep slopes occur. In total, the State considers approximately 77 acres as prone to earthquake-induced landslides. According to the Safety Element, approximately 256 acres of land within Laguna Woods are prone to liquefaction. These areas include a strip of land in the northwestern portion of Laguna Woods, land along El Toro Road west of Calle Sonora, the Aliso Creek corridor, and a broad swath of the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods. Similar to seismic hazards, landslide and liquefaction hazards can be addressed in the design process by incorporating the input of engineers and geotechnical professions. Therefore, these hazards do not represent a constraint on new housing development in Laguna Woods.

6.12.4 Relevant Factors Contributing to Fair Housing

Due to the developed nature of Laguna Woods and the fact that the majority of the City's existing housing stock is age- and income-restricted, the only way to add housing opportunities to younger residents or residents with lower incomes is to build more housing that is accessible to these populations. The sites that are identified for new housing production in the Housing Element present an opportunity for younger residents or residents with lower incomes to move to Laguna Woods since they exist on sites unaffected by existing age or income restrictions. The selection of these sites present opportunities for and contributes to the addition of fair housing in Laguna Woods.

6.13 CONCLUDING ANALYSIS

Each of the 17 potential housing sites were also analyzed against three additional AFFH data layer maps: (1) areas that are defined by either the TCAC or HCD Opportunity Maps as being an area of "High Segregation and Poverty" or by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a "Racially or Ethically Concentrated Area of Poverty"; (2) areas identified as State Bill (SB) 535-defined "Disadvantaged Communities"; and (3) locations of cases throughout Laguna Woods that were filed with HUD's Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach (FHEO) branch in 2020.

Analysis of these data sets showed that Laguna Woods does not contain any areas defined by either the TCAC or HCD Opportunity Maps as being an area of High Segregation and Poverty or by HUD as a Racially or Ethically Concentrated Area of Poverty. Although HCD's guidance for implementing AFFH states that affordable housing should aim to be developed in those areas in order to further fair

housing, no such areas exist within Laguna Woods. Furthermore, Laguna Woods does not contain any SB 535-defined Disadvantaged Communities, and, as such, none of Laguna Woods' potential housing sites are located in a SB 535-defined Disadvantaged Community. Additionally, the entirety of Laguna Woods is located in an area that had less than .01 percent of complaints filed with FHEO in 2020, which means that few to no housing discrimination complaints have been filed in Laguna Woods and that housing discrimination is not currently seen as a major issue within Laguna Woods.

Using the various data available from HCD's AFFH Data Viewer, each of the 17 sites is situated within a census tract that is desirable from an AFFH standpoint for at least one of the categories described above. Therefore, each of the sites would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

Further, all 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of disabled residents and a lower median household income than Orange County. In addition, seven of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County, and 11 of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a larger number of nonfamily households than married-couple family households. This indicates that the proposed housing sites are not concentrated in an area of elevated poverty, which suggests that the development of new affordable housing units on these sites would facilitate the integration of lower-income households into the community in a way that would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

PROPOSED

7.0 REALISTIC DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY

Government Code Section 65583.2(c) requires that the City demonstrate that the projected residential development capacity of the sites can realistically be achieved. The number of estimated units should be adjusted, as necessary, based on land use controls and site improvement requirements; the realistic development capacity for the site; typical densities of existing or approved residential developments at a similar affordability level in the surrounding area; and the current or planned availability and accessibility of sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities.

Each potential development site was independently analyzed to determine the extent to which the number of estimated units required adjustment to ensure that development capacity estimates are realistic. The adjustments identified as necessary are summarized below and have been incorporated into the realistic development capacity estimates in Table N.

- None of the sites are constrained by the availability or accessibility of sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities. Therefore, no adjustments were made on account of those factors.
- The net developable area on each site was adjusted to 95 percent of the parcel area to reflect the need to construct on-site improvements, including sidewalks and utility easements, and other land use controls.
- The developable area on Site 8 was adjusted to approximately 60 percent of the parcel due to slope hazard constraints on the western third of the site.
- The development capacity on three of the five sites that are currently developed with places of worship (Sites 10–12) and Sites 9 and 17 was limited to 15–20 units per acre to reflect the proximity of those sites to existing, adjacent, lower-density residential uses.
- The development capacity on one of the five sites that are currently developed with places of worship (Site 13) and Site 16 was limited to 8–10 units per acre to reflect the proximity of those sites to existing, adjacent, lower-density residential uses.
- The development capacity at each of the sites was adjusted to 95 percent of the maximum density, and the number of units was rounded down to the nearest whole number, to reflect that not every housing project is built out to maximum density.
- Sites identified as providing development capacity for accommodating very low or low income units (Sites 1–8 and 15) were assigned a density range of 30 to 50 dwelling units per acre (du/ac). This range is realistic, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Huntington Beach Seniors, Huntington Beach (Jamboree Housing) – 55.1 du/ac (0.78 acre)
 - Manchester-Orangewood, Anaheim (Jamboree Housing) – 35.7 du/ac (2.86 acres)
 - Miraflores, Anaheim (National Core) – 36.4 du/ac (2.36 acres)
 - Legacy Square, Santa Ana (National Core) – 53.5 du/ac (1.74 acres)
 - Mountain View, Lake Forest (National Core) – 36.2 du/ac (1.96 acres)

The only recent housing development project in Laguna Woods (San Sebastian Apartments) was also constructed at a comparable density of 42.1 du/ac (3.183 acres).

The City has not received any requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in this housing sites inventory, including below this 30 to 50 du/ac range.

- Sites identified as providing development capacity for accommodating moderate income units (Sites 10–12 and 14) were assigned a density range of 15 to 20 du/ac, or 20 to 30 du/ac. These ranges are realistic, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:

Residential Medium-Low Density (15 to 20 du/ac)

- Birch Hills, Anaheim (Jamboree Housing) – 19.8 du/ac (5.8 acres)
- Santa Angelina, Placentia (National Core) – 16.9 du/ac (3.85 acres)

Residential Medium Density (20 to 30 du/ac)

- Compass Rose, Fullerton (Jamboree Housing) – 26.1 du/ac (1.76 acres)
- Wesley Village, Garden Grove (Jamboree Housing) – 21.4 du/ac (2.2 acres)

The City has not received any requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in this housing sites inventory, including below these 15 to 20 du/ac, and 20 to 30 du/ac ranges.

- Sites 2 and 10–14 are currently developed with places of worship. It is realistic to assume that housing could be constructed on these sites, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects co-located with places of worship elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Legacy Square, Santa Ana (National Core) – 53.5 du/ac (1.74 acres, Santa Ana United Methodist Church)
 - Santa Angelina, Placentia (National Core) – 16.9 du/ac (3.85 acres, Church of the Blessed Sacrament)
 - Wesley Village, Garden Grove (Jamboree Housing) – 21.4 du/ac (2.2 acres, Garden Grove United Methodist Church)

As previously discussed, in 2017, the property owner and a housing developer expressed interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church property (Site 14).

Table N estimates the number of new housing units that can realistically be built on each of the 17 potential housing sites based on the adjustments described above. As shown in Table N, the total future housing construction potential on the 17 sites, based on realistic capacities, is 1,196 units. This includes an excess, or overzoning, of 199 units, or approximately 20 percent more than the 997 units included in the City’s housing needs allocation.

Overzoning helps to (1) ensure that sufficient adequate sites will remain available at all times to meet the remaining unmet housing needs for each income category, per Government Code Section 65863 (“No Net Loss Law”), and (2) compensate for urban land left vacant due to ownership and development constraints, per HCD’s “Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2” published June 10, 2020. HCD also notes that “a sufficient supply of land beyond the time frame of the housing element helps prevent land shortages from bidding up land costs.”

Table N: Potential Housing Unit Production

Site	Name	Vacant/ Non- vacant Site	Parcel Size (ac)	Proposed Zoning Overlay District	Densities (du/ac)	Development Capacity					Total	Suitable for Lower-Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding	Consolidation Potential due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site
						Income Level								
						Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate					
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot	V	1.8	Residential HD	30 to 50	10	11	0	60	81	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot	NV	0.696	Residential HD	30 to 50	2	2	0	27	31	Yes	No	No	
3	Rossmoor Electric	NV	1.232	Residential HD	30 to 50	5	6	0	44	55	Yes	Yes	No	
4	Saddleback Golf Cars	NV	1.235	Residential HD	30 to 50	5	6	0	44	55	Yes	Yes	No	
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage	NV	5.249	Residential HD	30 to 50	76	79	0	81	236	Yes	No	Yes	
6	Animal Hospital	NV	0.76	Residential HD	30 to 50	2	3	0	29	34	Yes	No	Yes	
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	NV	2.867	Residential HD	30 to 50	24	26	0	79	129	Yes	Yes	No	
8	Smart Parke	NV	2.373	Residential HD	30 to 50	6	7	0	50	63	Yes	Yes	No	
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary	NV	1.411	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	0	25	25	No	No	Yes	
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross	NV	3.028	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	35	19	54	No	Yes	No	
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church	NV	3.955	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	49	22	71	No	Yes	No	
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	NV	4.596	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	61	22	83	No	Yes	Yes	
13	Temple Judea	NV	1.757	Residential LD	8 to 10	0	0	0	15	15	No	No	Yes	
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church	NV	3.899	Residential MD	20 to 30	0	0	85	20	105	Yes	Yes	Yes	
15	Medical Building in Town Centre	NV	2.69	Residential HD	30 to 50	22	23	0	76	121	Yes	Yes	Yes	
16	Willow Tree Center East	NV	3.095	Residential LD	8 to 10	0	0	0	27	27	No	No	Yes	
17	Helm Center	NV	0.65	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	0	11	11	No	No	Yes	
<i>Subtotal (units on nonvacant sites only)/93% of Lower Income Units</i>						142	152	230	591	1,115	-	-	-	
<i>Subtotal (including all sites)</i>						152	163	230	651	1,196	935	817	738	
City's 2021–2029 RHNA Allocation						127	136	192	542	997				
Surplus/(Deficiency)						25	27	38	109	199				
Unmet Need (Only Deficiencies)						-	-	-	-	-				

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates, Inc. (October 2021).

ac = acre(s)

City = City of Laguna Woods

du/ac = dwelling units per acre

NV = nonvacant

Residential HD = Residential High Density

Residential LD = Residential Low Density

Residential MD = Residential Medium Density

Residential MLD = Residential Medium-Low Density

RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment

V = vacant

Table N also indicates that the 17 sites have the capacity to accommodate at least 312 lower-income units, which is 49 units, or 18.6 percent more than the 263 lower-income units included in the City's housing needs allocation. In addition, the sites have the capacity to accommodate at least 211 moderate-income units, which is 19 units, or approximately 9.9 percent more than the 192 moderate income units included in the City's housing needs allocation. Nine of the sites include maximum densities at or above HCD's standard threshold for accommodating lower-income units (30 units per acre) on properties 0.5 to 10 acres in size. In total, 11 of the sites could produce a sufficient number of units that would fall within the generally accepted range of financial feasibility for federal- or State-funded projects (50–150 units per project). 10 of the sites are adjacent to at least one other site, which provides opportunities for lot consolidation, thereby improving viability for development/redevelopment.

Of the 315 lower-income units identified in Table N, 22 units on Site 1 are viewed as realistic for development on vacant land, which represents approximately 8 percent of the City's housing needs allocation for lower-income households. Although the City intends to rely on nonvacant sites to accommodate more than 50 percent of its housing needs allocation for lower-income households, the City has determined that it would not be feasible to develop housing on any other vacant land that is within its jurisdiction. This is due to the fact that, as described in further detail in Section 4.0 of this Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, most of the limited amount of vacant land that exists within Laguna Woods consists of publicly owned open space properties that are subject to conservation easements or deed restrictions that prohibit future development.

8.0 QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES

Government Code Section 65583(b)(1) and (2) require that the City establish the number of housing units, by income level, that can be constructed, rehabilitated, and conserved over a five-year time frame. Table O summarizes the City’s quantified objectives with regard to construction, rehabilitation, and preservation of housing over a five-year time period. These objectives have been established based on the City’s available resources and ability to satisfy housing needs within the context of the General Plan requirements set forth in state law.

Table O: Summary of Quantified Objectives

Income Level	Number of Units	Rehabilitation	Conservation/Preservation ¹
Very Low* (<50% of AMI)	127	5	N/A
Low (50–80% of AMI)	136	5	N/A
Moderate (80–120% of AMI)	192	5	N/A
Above Moderate (>120% of AMI)	542	5	N/A
Total	997	20	N/A

¹ As described in the City’s Housing Needs Assessment, none of the 17 affordable units within Laguna Woods is at risk of conversion to market rate units in the next 10 years; therefore, none of the units is in need of conservation or preservation.

EXHIBIT D

**HOUSING ELEMENT PERFORMANCE
ASSESSMENT**

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

PROPOSED

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

EXHIBIT D

HOUSING ELEMENT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS.....	iii
1.0 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION.....	1
2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ELEMENT/SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS	6
3.0 APPROPRIATENESS OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND PROGRAMS.....	7
4.0 ASSEMBLY BILL 1233 – SHORTFALL OF SITES FROM THE 5TH CYCLE PLANNING PERIOD	11

TABLE

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element.....	2
--	---

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

1.0 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65588, each local government shall review its housing element as frequently as appropriate to evaluate all of the following: (1) the appropriateness of the housing goals, objectives, and policies in contributing to the attainment of the state housing goal, (2) the effectiveness of the housing element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives, (3) the progress of the city, county, or city and county in implementation of the housing element, and (4) the effectiveness of goals, policies, and related actions to meet the community's special housing needs.

State law requires that each Housing Element include a review of the progress made toward achieving the affordable housing goals of the previous Housing Element, and of the effectiveness and appropriateness of those previously adopted objectives. These results should be quantified where possible, but may be qualitative where necessary.

The City of Laguna Wood's (City) last Housing Element was adopted in 2014, and set forth a series of implementation measures with related objectives and policies for the following issue areas: maintain and improve residential neighborhoods and support quality housing for all residents; promote and encourage the development of housing opportunities to accommodate current and projected housing need; encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing for all economic segments of the community, especially extremely- and low-income residents; and facilitate housing, transportation, and physical accommodations to aid persons with disabilities. This section reviews the continued appropriateness of these programs, the effectiveness of the current 2014–2021 Housing Element, and the progress in its implementation since 2014.

Table A, below, summarizes the City's progress for each implementation measure identified in the current Housing Element.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Objective 1: Maintain and improve residential neighborhoods and support quality housing for all residents		
Policy 1.A: Work towards full implementation of the 2014–2021 Housing Element objectives, policies, and programs in the Housing element.		
Program 1.A.1: Provide a progress report on the 2014–2021 Housing Element programs and quantified objectives as part of the annual General Plan status reports to the State.	Ongoing: This is the City’s normal practice. The City submitted annual progress reports to the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) each year during the 2014–2021 Housing Element period.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Program H-4.1.2.
Policy 1.B: Promote, encourage, and facilitate efforts to preserve the City’s housing stock.		
Program 1.B.1: Continue to promote on-going building maintenance activities. To promote building maintenance, the City will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify available funds for building maintenance activities and energy efficiency upgrades. Disseminate public information on available housing programs, such as the energy efficient equipment retrofit program (CDBG) at public counters and the City’s website. Assist property owners and property managers in their efforts to identify and mitigate housing maintenance issues. 	Ongoing: In 2010, the City obtained a California Energy Commission grant using federal funds to retrofit City Hall with dual-pane, low-E windows and energy efficient lighting and heating, ventilation, and air conditioning equipment. The project modeled energy efficiency retrofits to property owners and property managers. Through June 2017, the City offered a CDBG funded Residential Energy Efficiency Improvement Program. The City provides resources and assistance daily regarding housing maintenance at the building counter and via building inspections.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-2.1.1, 2.2.1, and 2.2.3.
Objective 2: Promote and encourage the development of housing opportunities to accommodate current and projected housing need.		
Policy 2.A: Promote construction of units consistent with the new construction needs identified in the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA).		
Program 2.A.1: Work with property owners in the development of Specific Plans to guide the development of Urban Activities Center parcels to include residential development.	Abandoned: In 2017, after receiving an application from the property owner, the City amended the Land Use Element of the City’s General Plan to delete the Urban Activities Center land use designation. All properties formerly designated as Urban Activities Center have been re-designated and rezoned.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City has deleted the Urban Activities Center land use designation from the General Plan.
Program 2.A.2: Work with commercial property owners to establish residential/commercial mixed use development standards for the City’s Commercial land use district.	Not yet Implemented: Sufficient housing opportunities to accommodate the City’s 2014–2021 RHNA allocation exist without the establishment of mixed use development standards.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with a new rezoning program. See Program H-1.1.1.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Policy 2.B: Mitigate governmental constraints to the accommodation of special needs residents.		
Program 2.B.1: Participate in the County of Orange Consolidated Plan program and in the Continuum of Care to provide housing for special needs populations, particularly extremely and very low income persons. Participation will include assigning a representative to participate in meetings and events sponsored by these programs. In addition, the Resource Guide for Orange County Homeless and other publications that support the program goals will be distributed from City Hall.	Ongoing: The City participated in the development of the County of Orange’s Consolidated Plan for the years 2010 to 2015, and 2015 to 2019. In 2015, the City hosted one of the County of Orange’s Consolidated Plan Community Workshops at City Hall. Various homeless resources, including County of Orange-produced resources, are available from City Hall.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with other programs to address the needs of the specified populations.
Program 2.B.2: Revise the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance to identify zones that will allow the development of transitional housing [and] facilitate transitional housing and supportive housing developments that serve extremely and very low income households, consistent with Government Code Section 65583(c)(1). Because transitional and supportive housing can be configured in different ways – either as regular multi-family housing or as group quarters - the Zoning Ordinance amendment will ensure that transitional and supportive housing that function as a residential use will be treated as residential uses and only subject to those restrictions that apply to other residential uses of the same type in the same zone.	Implemented: Chapter 13.23 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2011. Laguna Woods Municipal Code amended in 2018, see Ordinance No. 18-05.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-1.3.1, 1.3.2, and 1.3.3.
Program 2.B.3: Review and revise the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance 13.23 in regards to emergency homeless shelters to ensure compliance with Government Code Section 65583(a)(4).	Implemented: Chapter 13.23 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2011, was amended in 2018.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Program 1.5.1.
Objective 3: Encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing for all economic segments of the community, especially extremely- and low-income residents.		
Policy 3.A: Recognize the City’s leadership role in the maintenance, preservation, improvement, and development of affordable housing.		
Program 3.A.1: Encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supporting non-profit organizations that address housing issues. Serving as an intermediary between non-profit organizations and interested residential developers. Assisting in application preparation and other efforts to secure funding sources for development of housing for extremely low-, very low-, low- and moderate-income residents. 	Ongoing: The City encourages the development of affordable housing as required by State law. Resources, information, and assistance for developers and other interested parties are available from City Hall. Seventeen (17) specifically designated affordable housing units are located in Laguna Woods. From 2014 to 2020, the City received no applications for any new housing development projects	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs to assist in the development of affordable housing.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making available regional and local housing program information to residents, and assisting residents in contacting housing assistance organizations. • Inform interested developers about the range of housing allowed in areas outside of Laguna Woods Village, including housing for families. • Inform interested developers about the State density bonus program incentives available for development of affordable housing. 	(affordable as well as market rate housing developments).	
<p>Program 3.A.2: Continue to encourage and facilitate the use of the City’s density bonus provisions to provide affordable housing opportunities. Revise the density bonus provisions as necessary in the City’s Municipal Code to be consistent with State density bonus law.</p>	<p>Ongoing: Section 13.26.040 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2013; Laguna Woods Municipal Code amended in 2018; see Ordinance No. 18-03 and amended in 2020; see Ordinance No. 20-02.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City’s residential density bonus standards are consistent with state law.</p>
<p>Program 3.A.3: Encourage residents to apply, when available, for the Section 8 rental assistance program (through County of Orange Housing Authority) for extremely and very low-income rental households. Inform local rental property owners and eligible residents of Section 8 assistance and Section 8 waitlist openings through flyers and the City’s website. Provide technical assistance during the application process to interested residents. While the City endeavors to maintain the current level of assistance through the Section 8 (72 vouchers) program, the City has no jurisdiction over the funding and operation of the Section 8 voucher program.</p>	<p>Ongoing: The City receives information and application forms for Section 8 housing through the County of Orange and makes them available to residents from City Hall.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City provides referrals to the OC Housing Authority as a normal business practice.</p>
<p>Program 3.A.4: Continue to use CDBG funds to fund projects that improve and maintain the quality of the City’s housing stock and residential infrastructure. While the City endeavors to maintain the current level of assistance through the CDBG program, the City has no jurisdiction over the funding and operation of the CDBG program.</p>	<p>Ongoing: Through June 2017, the City offered a CDBG-funded Residential Energy Efficiency Improvement Program. Uncertainty surrounding the future availability of CDBG funds, as well as the impact of increasing compliance requirements and law enforcement costs on City resources, resulted in the end of the program. Staff continues to evaluate other potential, future uses of CDBG housing rehabilitation funds.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program. See programs H-2.1.1, 2.2.1, and 2.2.3.</p>

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Program 3.A.5: The City has received no complaints regarding any discriminatory actions and will continue to enforce all fair housing laws. The City Manager is responsible for addressing and/or referring fair housing complaints and questions to the Fair Housing Council of Orange and/or HUD. The City will provide information on fair housing rights and responsibilities, and seek to remedy known acts of discrimination within the community. The City will disseminate fair housing information at City Hall and throughout Laguna Woods in a variety of community places.	Ongoing: Fair housing assistance, resources, and information is available from City Hall, through the City’s code enforcement operation, and upon request.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Priority Issue 3.
Program 3.A.6: Review city processes and procedures as they pertain to the establishment of new housing, including market rate and all forms of affordable housing to remove undue constraints which hinder the development of new housing opportunities.	Ongoing: City staff has identified no undue constraints which hinder the development of new housing opportunities.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs related to updating City processes and procedures.
Program 3.A.7: Research potential funding sources (grants, loans, and other funds) which can be used towards the planning and development of affordable housing.	Ongoing: City staff continues to explore sources of funding to assist with affordable housing development.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs to assist in the development of affordable housing.
Objective 4: Facilitate housing, transportation and physical accommodations to aid persons with disabilities.		
Policy 4.A: Maintain zoning/development standards that guide development of affordable housing near public transportation, and promote project designs that are accessible and accommodating to the disabled.		
Program 4.A.1: Partner with property owners to identify and accomplish the retrofit of dwelling units and common facilities for handicapped accessibility. The City will participate at board meetings of the housing mutuals and the Golden Rain Foundation, the principal property owner in the City, to encourage retrofitting.	Not yet Implemented: While City officials often meet with the representatives of major property owners, a mutual approach to promoting retrofitting within the community is yet to be developed.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-2.2.1 and 2.2.3.

CDBG = Community Development Block Grant
 City = City of Laguna Woods
 HCD = California Department of Housing and Community Development
 HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ELEMENT/SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS

The 2014–2021 Housing Element resulted in changes to the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance that resulted in compliance of emergency homeless shelters with State law, as well as identification of zones that allow the development of transitional housing and facilitation of transitional housing and supportive housing developments that serve extremely- and very-low income households in those zones. For the reasons set forth in Table A, the City was unable to complete certain programs included in the 2014–2021 Housing Element, such as the creation of the two new housing units set by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the 5th Housing Element Cycle; working with property owners in the development of Specific Plans to guide the development of Urban Activities Center parcels to include residential development; the establishment of residential/commercial mixed use development standards for the City’s Commercial land use district; and the retrofit of dwelling units and common facilities for handicapped accessibility. Overall, the 2014–2021 Housing Element was effective in providing fair housing assistance, resources, and information to residents participating in the County of Orange Consolidated Program to provide housing for special needs populations, particularly extremely and very-low income persons, and continuing to maintain and improve residential neighborhoods.

Refer to Table A in the previous section for a discussion of whether the programs included in the 2014–2021 Housing Element should be continued, modified, or deleted based on their effectiveness. Table A also addresses various programs related to the housing needs of special needs populations (Programs 2.B.1, 2.B.2, 2.B.3, 3.A.1, and 4.A.1).

3.0 APPROPRIATENESS OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND PROGRAMS

The 2014–2021 Housing Element established a comprehensive and firm basis for the City to move forward towards the achievement of the goals, policies, and programs in the document. As a result, Table A shows that many of the programs were achieved or implemented. Some major amendments included in the 2021–2029 Housing Element incorporate what has been learned from the results of the 2014–2021 Housing Element, including:

- Inclusion of a frequently asked questions (FAQs) section for the RHNA process to promote clarity and a common understanding of both the RHNA process and the City’s associated obligations.
- Inclusion of “goals” in addition to “policy objectives” to provide declarative statements that set forth the City’s approach to each of the priority issues.
- Objective 2 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.1 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which includes making sites available to accommodate current and projected housing needs for groups of all income levels in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(c)(1). For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.1, the following program was added:
 - Rezone the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation.
- Objective 3 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.2 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which states that the City should assist in developing adequate housing to meeting the needs of extremely low, very low- low, and moderate-income households. For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.2, the following programs were added:
 - Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, including a Geographic Information System (GIS) map layer with geospatial information.
 - Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.
 - Adopt an ordinance creating an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for consolidations involving any one or more of the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis for the purpose of developing housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

- Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.
- Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to promote regulatory clarity.
- Continue to maintain website information regarding fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City that are applicable to housing development projects, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65940.1.
- Objective 4 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.3 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which effectively changes the wording to provide reasonable accommodations for housing that is not only designed for persons with disabilities, but also intended for occupancy by or with supportive services for persons with disabilities. For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.3, the following programs were added:
 - Continue to consider transitional housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.
 - Continue to consider supportive housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.
 - Continue to allow supportive housing, as defined by California Government Code Section 65650, as a use permitted by right in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted, as provided in California Government Code Article 11 (commencing with Section 65650).
 - Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to conform to state law.
- The creation of a new objective, Policy Objective H-1.4, which incentivizes and promotes the creation of accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent for very low, low-, or moderate-income households.
- The creation of a new objective, Policy Objective H-1.5, which makes sites available to accommodate emergency housing needs for homeless persons and families.
- Objective 1 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-2.1, Policy Objective H-2.2, and Policy Objective H-2.3, which add promotion of accessible and accommodating housing options for persons with special needs and promotion of housing

conservation and revitalization. For implementation of Policy Objectives H-2.1, H-2.2, and H-2.3, the following programs were added:

- Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to extremely low, very low, and low-income households. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.
- Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.
- Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to persons with special needs. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.
- Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.
- Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.
- Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.
- Program 3.A.5 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element was expanded to Goal H-3 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which involves the administration of housing and community development programs and activities in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing. Goal H-3 includes policy objectives and implementation programs relating to the following:
 - Enhancing housing mobility and protecting existing residents from displacement.
- The creation of a new goal, Goal H-4, with its own set of policy objectives, which calls for analysis related to Housing Element implementation. This includes annual monitoring of the newly adopted Housing Element and coordination of the implementation of the newly adopted Housing Element with water and sewer agencies.

This update to the Housing Element revises existing programs and includes new programs, where appropriate, to ensure that the document reflects the City's priorities and that updated requirements of California State law are addressed. Refer to the General Plan for the goals, policies, and programs of this Housing Element.

PROPOSED

4.0 ASSEMBLY BILL 1233 – SHORTFALL OF SITES FROM THE 5TH CYCLE PLANNING PERIOD

According to the annual progress reports (APRs) provided to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), the RHNA quantified the need for housing within the City to be two new housing units during the 5th Cycle planning period. However, no new housing units were built in the City, indicating a shortfall of new housing units triggering the provisions of Government Code Section 65584.09.

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

EXHIBIT E

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION EFFORTS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0 SUMMARY OF EFFORTS.....	2
2.1 Public Meeting #1	2
2.2 Public Meeting #2	2
2.3 Public Meeting #3	3
2.4 Public Meeting #4	3
2.5 HCD Review Period.....	4
2.6 California Environmental Quality Act Public Comment Period.....	4
2.7 Revised Draft Public Review Period	6
2.8 Public Meeting #5	7
2.9 HCD Review Period.....	8
2.10 Revised Draft Public Posting and Notification	8
2.11 Public Meeting #6	8
2.12 Other Meetings	9

PROPOSED

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Government Code Section 65583(c)(9) requires housing elements to “include a diligent effort by the local government to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community in the development of the housing element, and the program shall describe this effort.” This report has been prepared to document efforts pursuant to Government Code Section 65583(c)(9).

PROPOSED

2.0 SUMMARY OF EFFORTS

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) approved its 6th Cycle Final Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Allocation Plan on March 4, 2021, at which point the City of Laguna Wood's (City) housing needs allocation was finalized.

2.1 PUBLIC MEETING #1

On May 5, 2021, the City Council held its first meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on April 30, 2021. In addition, on April 30, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of April 30, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 10 email addresses, including residents and representatives from the League of Women Voters of Orange Coast and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included an introduction to the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, required actions, and draft housing needs assessment. Frequently asked questions were also discussed. A draft housing needs assessment and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document was included in the agenda packet.

At this meeting, one resident spoke during public comments.

2.2 PUBLIC MEETING #2

On May 19, 2021, the City Council held its second meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on May 14, 2021. In addition, on May 14, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of May 14, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 11 email addresses, including residents and representatives from the League of Women Voters of Orange Coast and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, and draft housing needs assessment. Staff also discussed a number of sites that community members might consider vacant based on appearance and associated constraints that could affect housing development. The City's new project website was

also announced (www.cityoflagunawoods.org/projects). A draft housing sites inventory scenario and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document were included in the agenda packet.

At this meeting, five residents and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission, spoke during public comments. Written public comments were received from seven residents (including one resident on behalf of the Welcoming Neighbors Home Initiative of Tapestry, a Unitarian Universalist Congregation) and The Kennedy Commission.

2.3 PUBLIC MEETING #3

On June 2, 2021, the City Council held its third meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 11:30 a.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on May 28, 2021. In addition, on May 28, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of May 28, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 14 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation and revised draft housing needs assessment (revised following the May 19, 2021 City Council meeting). A revised draft housing sites inventory scenario, draft California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Housing Element Sites Inventory Form, and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document were included in the agenda packet. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on May 28, 2021.

At this meeting, three residents spoke during public comments.

The City Council reached consensus for City staff to proceed with the General Plan Housing Element Update incorporating the housing sites scenario presented at this meeting.

2.4 PUBLIC MEETING #4

On July 21, 2021, the City Council held its fourth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on July 16, 2021. In addition, on July 16, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of July 16, 2021,

the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, draft General Plan Housing Element, and HCD review process. Drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on July 16, 2021. No change was made to the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form since it was first made publicly available on May 28, 2021.

The agenda report noted that interested parties would have an opportunity to submit public comments directly to HCD during their review process and provided a link to additional information available on HCD's website.

At this meeting, one resident spoke during public comments. Written comments were received from one resident.

The City Council voted 4-1, with then-Mayor Pro Tem Moore voting no, to authorize the City Manager to submit drafts of the updated General Plan Housing Element and Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review, as required by Government Code Section 65585.

2.5 HCD REVIEW PERIOD

The City Manager submitted the draft General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review on July 21, 2021 (HCD records this submittal as July 22, 2021, presumably due to the submittal occurring after regular business hours).

During HCD's review period, the following entities and individuals submitted comments directly to HCD, which HCD considered in its review pursuant to Government Code Section 65585(c):

- The Kennedy Commission
- YIMBY Law
- Catherine R Van Camp, resident
- Ramesh C Joshi, resident

HCD's findings were reported to the City in a letter dated September 20, 2021.

2.6 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

The draft Initial Study/Negative Declaration (IS/ND) for the General Plan Housing Element Update was made available for a 31-day public comment period between August 17, 2021 and September 16, 2021. A Notice of Intent to Adopt (NOIA) announcing the public comment period and providing information on how to access the IS/ND was published in the *Laguna Woods Globe* newspaper on August 12, 2021 and concurrently posted at City Hall and on the City's website. The NOIA was also mailed to all property owners in Laguna Woods and within a 1,000-foot radius of the City's boundaries, as required by the City's Local California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Procedures.

The draft IS/ND was directly distributed to the following parties:

- California State Clearinghouse
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife South Coast Region
- California Department of Housing and Community Development
- California Department of Toxic Substances Control
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District #12
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region
- Capistrano Unified School District
- City of Aliso Viejo Planning Services Department
- City of Irvine Community Development Department
- City of Laguna Beach Community & Economic Development Department
- City of Laguna Hills Community & Economic Development Department
- City of Lake Forest Community Development Department
- El Toro Water District
- Laguna Beach Unified School District
- Native American Heritage Commission
- Orange County Development Services
- Orange County Fire Authority
- Orange County Flood Control District
- Orange County Health Care Agency
- Orange County Transportation Authority
- Orange County Waste & Recycling
- Saddleback Valley Unified School District
- Southern California Air Quality Management District
- Southern California Association of Governments
- Southern California Edison
- Southern California Gas Company
- State Water Resources Control Board
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

On August 17, 2021, the public comment period was extended by one day to September 17, 2021, due to the inadvertent distribution of an incorrect draft of the IS/ND to the parties listed above on August 16, 2021. The correct draft of the IS/ND was distributed on August 17, 2021.

Comment letters were received from the following parties:

- California Department of Housing and Community Development
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District 12
- City of Irvine
- Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians – Kizh Nation
- Gabrielino-Tongva Indian Tribe
- Juaneño Band of Mission Indians, Acjachemen Nation

- Orange County Fire Authority
- Saddleback Valley Unified School District
- Southern California Association of Governments

Individual responses were provided to each commenting party via mail and email on January 26, 2022. The responses included a copy of each party's comment letter and corresponding responses included as part of the Final IS/ND, as well as notice that the City Council was expected to consider adoption of the Final IS/ND at a public meeting on February 9, 2022.

While the primary purpose of the public comment period was to solicit input on the draft IS/ND as required by CEQA, the draft IS/ND included a project description with site-specific information consistent with the draft General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form acted upon by the City Council at the public meeting on July 21, 2021. A portion of the comments received during the public comment period applied to the project generally, as opposed to the draft IS/ND specifically.

2.7 REVISED DRAFT PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD

On January 13, 2022, revised drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were posted on the City's website and made available from the City Clerk's Office for a 15-day public review period concluding on January 27, 2022.

A public notice announcing the public review period and providing information on how to access the revised draft documents was published in the *Laguna Woods Globe* newspaper on January 13, 2022. The public notice was posted at City Hall and on the City's website, as well as emailed to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously, on January 7, 2022. As of January 7, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The public notice noted the availability of in-person or virtual meetings with City staff to discuss or ask questions regarding the documents, and provided instructions for individuals who require translation services to communicate with the City.

"Clean" and redline versions of the revised draft General Plan Housing Element, and an electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form, were posted on the City's website and made available from City Hall on January 13, 2022.

During the Revised Draft Public Review Period, the following parties submitted comment letters to the City with additional distribution by the authors as noted:

- Catherine "Kate" R. Van Camp [sent to the City, the City Council, and the City Manager, with copies to HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov, Paul McDougall, and Marisa Prasse), Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission]

This letter expressed general support for the revised draft General Plan Housing Element. The author wrote that, in her opinion, "the requests of the HCD have been met."

- Welcoming Neighbors Home [sent by Rona Henry on behalf of herself and Rev. Kent Doss, minister of Tapestry Unitarian Universalist Congregation to the City and the City Council, with copies to the City Manager, HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov, Paul McDougall, and Marisa Prasse), The Kennedy Commission (Cesar Covarrubias, Mildred Perez, Daisy Cruz, and Cynthia Guerra), Kate Van Camp, and Rev. Doss]

This letter expressed general support for the revised draft General Plan Housing Element. The author requested that the City “include a program to implement a Congregational Overlay Zone” and “host a roundtable event with faith organizations located in Laguna Woods to explore the opportunities that exist for them to build.”

Both requests can be considered as part of the rezoning process described in Program H-1.1.1. The rezoning process will include the creation of four new overlay zoning districts and the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each. City staff are available to meet with faith organizations or other interested parties, as requested.

2.8 PUBLIC MEETING #5

On February 9, 2022, the City Council held its fifth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City’s website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on February 4, 2022. In addition, on January 20, 2022, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of January 20, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

On February 4, 2022, a second email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. The distribution list remained unchanged from the distribution list used for the first notification on January 20, 2022. The second notification included links to the agenda materials and notice that proposed revisions to the January 13, 2022 draft of the General Plan Housing Element were included therein.

Consideration of adoption of the General Plan Housing Element Update and Negative Declaration was additionally noticed as a public hearing. A public notice was published in the *Orange County Register* newspaper on January 27, 2022. The public notice included a project description adapted from the draft IS/ND and information on how to access the draft General Plan Housing Element and draft ND.

Copies of both public comment letters received during the Revised Draft Public Review Period were including in the agenda packet.

Drafts of the proposed General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet, as well as redline versions of all revisions made since the drafts acted upon by the City Council at the public meeting on July 21, 2021. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on February 4, 2022.

The City Council voted 5-0 to adopt a resolution adopting the General Plan Housing Element Update and Negative Declaration.

2.9 HCD REVIEW PERIOD

The City Manager submitted the adopted General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review on February 9, 2021 (HCD records this submittal as February 10, 2021, presumably due to the submittal occurring after regular business hours).

During HCD's review period, the following entities and individuals submitted comments directly to HCD, which HCD considered in its review pursuant to Government Code Section 65585(c):

- Catherine R Van Camp, resident and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home
- Rona Henry, resident and chair of Welcome Neighbors Home

HCD's findings were reported to the City in a letter dated March 8, 2021. HCD reissued the letter to correct a typographical error dated April 8, 2021.

2.10 REVISED DRAFT PUBLIC POSTING AND NOTIFICATION

On August 5, 2022, revised drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were posted on the City's website and made available from the City Clerk's Office. The posted materials included both "clean" and redline versions of the General Plan Housing Element, as well as an electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form. The latter was unchanged from the version adopted by the City Council on February 9, 2022.

A public notice announcing the public review period and providing information on how to access the revised draft documents was posted at City Hall and on the City's website, as well as emailed to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously, on August 5, 2022. As of August 5, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The public notice noted the availability of in-person or virtual meetings with City staff to discuss or ask questions regarding the documents, and provided instructions for individuals who require translation services to communicate with the City.

2.11 PUBLIC MEETING #6

On August XX, 2022, the City Council held its sixth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at XX. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility

accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). XX of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on August XX, 2022. In addition, on August XX, 2022, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of January 20, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The notification included links to the agenda materials and notice that proposed revisions to the General Plan Housing Element adopted on February 9, 2022 were included therein.

Drafts of the proposed General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet, as well as redline versions of all revisions made since the versions adopted by the City Council on February 9, 2022. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on August XX, 2022.

The City Council voted X-X to XX a resolution adopting the General Plan Housing Element Update.

2.12 OTHER MEETINGS

On May 17, 2021, the City Manager met via GoToMeeting with Village Management Services, Inc. (Laguna Woods Village) staff (Jeff Parker, CEO and Siobhan Foster, COO) to discuss the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. Subsequent to the meeting, the City Manager forwarded Mr. Parker and Ms. Foster the agenda report for the May 19, 2021 City Council meeting and a RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document.

On May 25, 2021, the City Manager met by telephone with Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission, to discuss inclusionary housing and incentives for affordable housing development on non-vacant sites. Subsequent to the meeting, Mr. Covarrubias forwarded the City Manager information on the City of San Clemente's Inclusionary Housing In-Lieu Fee Program Study.

On November 19, 2021, the City Manager met with Kate Van Camp, a resident and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home, to discuss the General Plan Housing Element Update, review letter from HCD, Ms. Van Camp's email correspondence to the City Manager dated October 3, 2021 (copied to the City Council, Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Kimberly Adams with Orange County United Way), and various related topics. On November 20, 2021, Ms. Van Camp sent email correspondence as a follow-up to the meeting to the City Council with copies to the City Manager, HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov and Marisa Prasse), Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission.

This page intentionally left blank

PROPOSED

ITEM 5.1

Attachment B

HCD Findings Letter dated March 8, 2022

This page is intentionally blank.

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF HOUSING POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 263-2911 / FAX (916) 263-7453
www.hcd.ca.gov



March 8, 2022

Christopher Macon, City Manager
City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Dear Christopher Macon:

RE: City of Laguna Woods 6th Cycle (2021-2029) Adopted Housing Element

Thank you for submitting the City of Laguna Woods (City) housing element adopted and received for review on February 9, 2022. Pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (h), the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is reporting the results of its review. In addition, HCD considered comments from Catherine R "Kate" Van Camp, Owner of "58G" and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Rona Henry, resident and Chair of Welcoming Neighbors Home initiative pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (c).

The adopted housing element addresses some statutory requirements described in HCD's September 20, 2021 review; however, additional revisions are necessary to fully comply with State Housing Element Law (Article 10.6 of the Gov. Code), see enclosed Appendix.

For your information, pursuant to Assembly Bill 1398 (Chapter 358, Statutes of 2021), as the City failed to adopt a compliant housing element within 120 days of the statutory deadline (October 15, 2021), Program H-1.1.1. to rezone 1,196 units to accommodate the regional housing needs allocation (RHNA) must be completed no later than one year from the statutory deadline. Otherwise, the local government's housing element will no longer comply with State Housing Element Law, and HCD may revoke its finding of substantial compliance pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (i).

Public participation in the development, adoption and implementation of the housing element is essential to effective housing planning. During the housing element revision process, the City must continue to engage the community, including organizations that represent lower-income and special needs households, by making information regularly available while considering and incorporating comments where appropriate. Please be aware, any revisions to the element must be posted on the local government's website and to email a link to all individuals and organizations that have previously requested

notices relating to the local government's housing element at least seven days before submitting to HCD.

For your information, some general plan element updates are triggered by housing element adoption. HCD reminds the City to consider timing provisions and welcomes the opportunity to provide assistance. For information, please see the Technical Advisories issued by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research at: http://opr.ca.gov/docs/OPR_Appendix_C_final.pdf and http://opr.ca.gov/docs/Final_6.26.15.pdf.

Several federal, state, and regional funding programs consider housing element compliance as an eligibility or ranking criteria. For example, the CalTrans Senate Bill (SB) 1 Sustainable Communities grant; the Strategic Growth Council and HCD's Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities programs; and HCD's Permanent Local Housing Allocation consider housing element compliance and/or annual reporting requirements pursuant to Government Code section 65400. With a compliant housing element, the City meets housing element requirements for these and other funding sources.

HCD appreciates the effort the City provided throughout the course of the housing element review. We are committed to assisting the City in addressing all statutory requirements of State Housing Element Law. If you have any questions or need additional technical assistance, please contact Divya Sen, of our staff, at Divya.Sen@hcd.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Paul McDougall".

Paul McDougall
Senior Program Manager

Enclosure

**APPENDIX
CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS**

The following changes are necessary to bring the City's housing element into compliance with Article 10.6 of the Government Code. Accompanying each recommended change, we cite the supporting section of the Government Code.

Housing element technical assistance information is available on HCD's website at <http://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-element/housing-element-memos.shtml>. Among other resources, the housing element section contains HCD's latest technical assistance tool, *Building Blocks for Effective Housing Elements (Building Blocks)*, available at <http://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/building-blocks/index.shtml> and includes the Government Code addressing State Housing Element Law and other resources.

A. Housing Needs, Resources, and Constraints

1. *Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 8899.50) of Division 1 of Title 2...shall include an assessment of fair housing in the jurisdiction (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A))*

Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach: While the element analyzes City's housing complaints, the element did not address the City's ability to provide enforcement and outreach capacity which can consist of actions such as the City's ability to investigate complaints, obtain remedies, or the City's ability to engage in fair housing testing. The element must also describe compliance with existing fair housing laws and regulations and include summary of fair housing issues related to enforcement and outreach capacity.

Integration and Segregation: While the element includes local and regional analysis for disability and familial status, as stated in the previous review, the element must analyze and evaluate trends and patterns over time (i.e., has this increased or decreased) and include comparison to nearby jurisdictions (regional) for race/ethnicity, persons with disabilities, familial status, and income. In addition, the element states that the majority "of the City's housing stock is subject to income and age restrictions, which has impeded housing choices for lower-income and younger residents interested in relocating to Laguna Woods." The element should discuss plans or programs on housing opportunity for all ages and income groups to address to address limitations on housing choice.

Racial/Ethnic Areas of Concentration of Poverty (R/ECAP): While the element states there is no R/ECAP in the City, it should analyze and address R/ECAP in nearby jurisdictions. In addition, the City should analyze whether there are any Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs) within the jurisdiction and address if there is any RCAAs in the region.

Access to Opportunity: While the City includes some analysis and maps on disparities to access to opportunities, the element does not meet HCD's prior finding. See HCD's prior letter.

Disproportionate Housing Needs, Including Displacement: While the element includes displacement and disproportionate housing needs analysis for the City, the element must analyze local and regional data to evaluate trends and patterns, include local knowledge, and conclude with a summary of issues on overcrowded households, substandard housing conditions, homelessness, and cost-burdened households. In addition, the element states there is low risk of displacement, but certain locations of the City is experiencing ongoing displacement, the element should describe City's past efforts or plans on addressing this issue.

Local Data and Knowledge, and Other Relevant Factors: While the element includes that the City's housing stock is subject to age restrictions which as impeded housing choices for younger residents interested in relocating to the City, the element generally does not address HCD's finding. Please refer to HCD's prior review for more information.

Contributing Factors: The element did not address this finding. Please refer to HCD's prior review.

Site Inventory: While the element concludes that housing sites are not concentrated in areas of elevated poverty and in relationship to familial status, it did not address the other components of the assessment of fair housing (e.g., disability status, access to opportunity, disproportionate housing need including displacement). In addition, the analysis should address the number of anticipated units by income group and how the sites identified improve conditions (or if sites exacerbate conditions, how a program can mitigate the impact). Please refer to HCD's prior review for more information.

Goals, Priorities, Metrics, and Milestones: While the element was revised to include Program H-3.1.2 to seek funding to provide housing mobility counseling services, the inclusion of this program is not sufficient to demonstrate that goals and actions significant and meaningful enough to overcome identified patterns and trends. As stated in the previous review, goals and actions must specifically respond to the analysis and to the identified and prioritized contributing factors to fair housing issues and must be. Actions must have metrics and milestones as appropriate and should address housing mobility enhancement, new housing choices and affordability in high opportunity areas, place-based strategies for community preservation and revitalization and displacement protection. Given the history and nature of development patterns in the City, the element could focus on programs that enhance housing mobility and encourage development of more housing choices and affordable housing throughout the community. Please refer to HCD's prior review.

- An inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level, and an*

analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)

The City has a regional housing need allocation (RHNA) of 997 housing units, of which 263 are for lower-income households. To address this need, the element relies on vacant and nonvacant sites. To demonstrate the adequacy of these sites and strategies to accommodate the City's RHNA, the element must include complete analyses:

Realistic Capacity: While the element describes the capacity for sites within the inventory were based upon proximity of lower-density residential uses (p. C-54), it generally did not address this requirement. Specifically, the estimate of the number of units for each site must be adjusted as necessary, based on the land use controls, site improvements and typical densities of existing or approved residential developments at a similar affordability level. In addition, the element did the element must analyze the likelihood that the identified units will be developed as noted in the inventory in zones that allow nonresidential uses (e.g., mixed-use or overlays). See HCD's prior review.

Suitability of Nonvacant Sites: While the element includes a statement that the list of nonvacant properties that met the criteria described above were further screened to exclude any properties that host businesses that provide essential goods or services to the community, the element was not revised to provide any further analysis describing the methodology used to determine the additional development potential within the planning period. To address this finding, the element could further describe the site characteristics that lead to residential development and relate these characteristics to the identified sites. The inventory could describe whether the use is operating, marginal or discontinued, the condition of the structure, land improvement value ratio, age of structure, any expressed interest in redevelopment, the presence of any existing leases or other contracts that would perpetuate the existing use or prevent redevelopment of the site for additional residential development, and any specific incentives to encourage or facilitate development on these sites. Please note, any future re-adoption of the housing element must include continue to include appropriate findings that existing uses are not an impediment to additional residential development and will likely discontinue in the planning period. (Gov. Code, § 65583.2, subd. (g)(2).)

Zoning for a Variety of Housing Types (Emergency Shelters): While the element includes development standards for CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts that allow emergency shelters by-right, the element was not revised to include the capacity of these zones to accommodate the identified housing need for emergency shelters. See HCD's prior review.

- 3. An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the types of housing identified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons with disabilities as identified in the analysis pursuant to paragraph (7), including land use controls, building codes and their enforcement, site improvements, fees and other exactions required of developers, and local processing and permit procedures. The analysis shall also*

demonstrate local efforts to remove governmental constraints that hinder the locality from meeting its share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584 and from meeting the need for housing for persons with disabilities, supportive housing, transitional housing, and emergency shelters identified pursuant to paragraph (7).

Fees and Exaction: While the element includes an analysis of fees that have been removed and generally concludes that fees do not appear to represent a constraint on housing element, the element generally did not address this finding. As stated in the previous review, the element must describe all required fees including impact fees. The analysis could also identify the total amount of fees and their proportion to the development costs for both single family and multifamily housing. See HCD prior review.

Local Processing and Permit Procedures: While the element describes the permit processing timelines for residential projects (p. B-23), it must evaluate the processing and permit procedures' impacts as potential constraints on housing supply, affordability, timing, and approval certainty. For example, the analysis should consider processing and approval procedures for typical single family and multifamily developments, including type of permit, level of review, number of public hearings, approval findings and any other discretionary approval procedures. The element should include programs to address or remove the identified constraints.

Constraints on Housing for Persons with Disabilities: While the element includes general findings for approval of Reasonable Accommodations, it still must describe the process for requesting accommodations.

4. *An analysis of potential and actual nongovernmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the availability of financing, the price of land, the cost of construction, the requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in the analysis required by subdivision (c) of Government Code section 65583.2, and the length of time between receiving approval for a housing development and submittal of an application for building permits for that housing development that hinder the construction of a locality's share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584. The analysis shall also demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(6).)*

Land Prices, Developed Densities and Permit Times: The element does not address this finding. While the City stated that the last housing development application that the City received was for the San Sebastian Apartments in 2007 and therefore, there is no recent data responsive to this request, the element should include this response and address prior finding relevant to all residential development. See HCD's prior review.

B. Housing Programs

1. *Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning period with appropriate zoning and development standards and with services and facilities to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated on sites identified in the inventory completed pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) without rezoning, and to comply with the requirements of Government Code section 65584.09. Sites shall be identified as needed to facilitate and encourage the development of a variety of types of housing for all income levels, including multifamily rental housing, factory-built housing, mobilehomes, housing for agricultural employees, supportive housing, single-room occupancy units, emergency shelters, and transitional housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(1).)*

As noted in Finding A1 the element does not include a complete site analysis, therefore, the adequacy of sites and zoning were not established. Based on the results of a complete sites inventory and analysis, the City may need to add or revise programs to address a shortfall of sites or zoning available to encourage a variety of housing types. Programs to be revised include the following:

Program 1.1.1: While the program addresses some of the Government Code, § 65583.2, subd. (h) and (i.), the program must specify how the City is meeting the requirement that at least 50 percent of the lower-income need be accommodated on sites designated for residential use only or when more than 50 percent of the very low and low-income need is accommodated on zoning designated for mixed-use that those sites allow 100 percent residential use and require residential use occupy 50 percent of the total floor area of a mixed-use project. To meet this requirement, the element could further describe the proposed overlays to describe the types of uses that will be allowed.

2. *Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing, including housing for all income levels and housing for persons with disabilities. The program shall remove constraints to, and provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)*

As noted in Finding(s) A3 and A4, the element requires a complete analysis of potential governmental and nongovernmental constraints. Depending upon the results of that analysis, the City may need to revise or add programs and address and remove or mitigate any identified constraints.

3. *Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote housing throughout the community or communities for all persons regardless of race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familial status, or disability, and other characteristics protected by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Part 2.8 (commencing with Section 12900) of Division 3 of Title 2), Section 65008, and any other state and federal fair housing and planning law. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(5).)*

As noted in Finding A1, the element must include a complete analysis of affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH). Based on the outcomes of that analysis, the element must add or modify programs to have specific commitment, milestones and metrics and to enhance housing mobility strategies, encourage development of new affordable housing in high resource areas, improve place-based strategies, and protect residents from displacement.

ITEM 5.1

Attachment C

HCD Findings Letter dated April 8, 2022

This page is intentionally blank.

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF HOUSING POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 263-2911 / FAX (916) 263-7453
www.hcd.ca.gov



April 8, 2022

*****corrected*****

Christopher Macon, City Manager
City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Dear Christopher Macon:

RE: City of Laguna Woods 6th Cycle (2021-2029) Adopted Housing Element

Thank you for submitting the City of Laguna Woods (City) housing element adopted and received for review on February 9, 2022. Pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (h), the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is reporting the results of its review. In addition, HCD considered comments from Catherine R "Kate" Van Camp, Owner of "58G" and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Rona Henry, resident and Chair of Welcoming Neighbors Home initiative pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (c).

The adopted housing element addresses some statutory requirements described in HCD's September 20, 2021 review; however, additional revisions are necessary to fully comply with State Housing Element Law (Article 10.6 of the Gov. Code), see enclosed Appendix.

For your information, pursuant to Assembly Bill 1398 (Chapter 358, Statutes of 2021), as the City failed to adopt a compliant housing element within 120 days of the statutory deadline (October 15, 2021), Program H-1.1.1. to rezone 1,196 units to accommodate the regional housing needs allocation (RHNA) must be completed no later than one year from the statutory deadline. Otherwise, the local government's housing element will no longer comply with State Housing Element Law, and HCD may revoke its finding of substantial compliance pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (i).

Public participation in the development, adoption and implementation of the housing element is essential to effective housing planning. During the housing element revision process, the City must continue to engage the community, including organizations that represent lower-income and special needs households, by making information regularly available while considering and incorporating comments where appropriate. Please be aware, any revisions to the element must be posted on the local government's website and to email a link to all individuals and organizations that have previously requested

notices relating to the local government's housing element at least seven days before submitting to HCD.

For your information, some general plan element updates are triggered by housing element adoption. HCD reminds the City to consider timing provisions and welcomes the opportunity to provide assistance. For information, please see the Technical Advisories issued by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research at: http://opr.ca.gov/docs/OPR_Appendix_C_final.pdf and http://opr.ca.gov/docs/Final_6.26.15.pdf.

Several federal, state, and regional funding programs consider housing element compliance as an eligibility or ranking criteria. For example, the CalTrans Senate Bill (SB) 1 Sustainable Communities grant; the Strategic Growth Council and HCD's Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities programs; and HCD's Permanent Local Housing Allocation consider housing element compliance and/or annual reporting requirements pursuant to Government Code section 65400. With a compliant housing element, the City meets housing element requirements for these and other funding sources.

HCD appreciates the effort the City provided throughout the course of the housing element review. We are committed to assisting the City in addressing all statutory requirements of State Housing Element Law. If you have any questions or need additional technical assistance, please contact Divya Sen, of our staff, at Divya.Sen@hcd.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Paul McDougall".

Paul McDougall
Senior Program Manager

Enclosure

**APPENDIX
CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS**

The following changes are necessary to bring the City's housing element into compliance with Article 10.6 of the Government Code. Accompanying each recommended change, we cite the supporting section of the Government Code.

Housing element technical assistance information is available on HCD's website at <http://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-element/housing-element-memos.shtml>. Among other resources, the housing element section contains HCD's latest technical assistance tool, *Building Blocks for Effective Housing Elements (Building Blocks)*, available at <http://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/building-blocks/index.shtml> and includes the Government Code addressing State Housing Element Law and other resources.

A. Housing Needs, Resources, and Constraints

1. *Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 8899.50) of Division 1 of Title 2...shall include an assessment of fair housing in the jurisdiction (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A))*

Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach: While the element analyzes City's housing complaints, the element did not address the City's ability to provide enforcement and outreach capacity which can consist of actions such as the City's ability to investigate complaints, obtain remedies, or the City's ability to engage in fair housing testing. The element must also describe compliance with existing fair housing laws and regulations and include summary of fair housing issues related to enforcement and outreach capacity.

Integration and Segregation: While the element includes local and regional analysis for disability and familial status, as stated in the previous review, the element must analyze and evaluate trends and patterns over time (i.e., has this increased or decreased) and include comparison to nearby jurisdictions (regional) for race/ethnicity, persons with disabilities, familial status, and income. In addition, the element states that the majority "of the City's housing stock is subject to income and age restrictions, which has impeded housing choices for lower-income and younger residents interested in relocating to Laguna Woods." The element should discuss plans or programs on housing opportunity for all ages and income groups to address to address limitations on housing choice.

Racial/Ethnic Areas of Concentration of Poverty (R/ECAP): While the element states there is no R/ECAP in the City, it should analyze and address R/ECAP in nearby jurisdictions. In addition, the City should analyze whether there are any Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs) within the jurisdiction and address if there is any RCAAs in the region.

Access to Opportunity: While the City includes some analysis and maps on disparities to access to opportunities, the element does not meet HCD's prior finding. See HCD's prior letter.

Disproportionate Housing Needs, Including Displacement: While the element includes displacement and disproportionate housing needs analysis for the City, the element must analyze local and regional data to evaluate trends and patterns, include local knowledge, and conclude with a summary of issues on overcrowded households, substandard housing conditions, homelessness, and cost-burdened households. In addition, the element states there is low risk of displacement, but certain locations of the City is experiencing ongoing displacement, the element should describe City's past efforts or plans on addressing this issue.

Local Data and Knowledge, and Other Relevant Factors: While the element includes that the City's housing stock is subject to age restrictions which as impeded housing choices for younger residents interested in relocating to the City, the element generally does not address HCD's finding. Please refer to HCD's prior review for more information.

Contributing Factors: The element did not address this finding. Please refer to HCD's prior review.

Site Inventory: While the element concludes that housing sites are not concentrated in areas of elevated poverty and in relationship to familial status, it did not address the other components of the assessment of fair housing (e.g., disability status, access to opportunity, disproportionate housing need including displacement). In addition, the analysis should address the number of anticipated units by income group and how the sites identified improve conditions (or if sites exacerbate conditions, how a program can mitigate the impact). Please refer to HCD's prior review for more information.

Goals, Priorities, Metrics, and Milestones: While the element was revised to include Program H-3.1.2 to seek funding to provide housing mobility counseling services, the inclusion of this program is not sufficient to demonstrate that goals and actions significant and meaningful enough to overcome identified patterns and trends. As stated in the previous review, goals and actions must specifically respond to the analysis and to the identified and prioritized contributing factors to fair housing issues and must be. Actions must have metrics and milestones as appropriate and should address housing mobility enhancement, new housing choices and affordability in high opportunity areas, place-based strategies for community preservation and revitalization and displacement protection. Given the history and nature of development patterns in the City, the element could focus on programs that enhance housing mobility and encourage development of more housing choices and affordable housing throughout the community. Please refer to HCD's prior review.

- 2. An inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level, and an*

analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)

The City has a regional housing need allocation (RHNA) of 997 housing units, of which 263 are for lower-income households. To address this need, the element relies on vacant and nonvacant sites. To demonstrate the adequacy of these sites and strategies to accommodate the City's RHNA, the element must include complete analyses:

Realistic Capacity: While the element describes the capacity for sites within the inventory were based upon proximity of lower-density residential uses (p. C-54), it generally did not address this requirement. Specifically, the estimate of the number of units for each site must be adjusted as necessary, based on the land use controls, site improvements and typical densities of existing or approved residential developments at a similar affordability level. In addition, the element did the element must analyze the likelihood that the identified units will be developed as noted in the inventory in zones that allow nonresidential uses (e.g., mixed-use or overlays). See HCD's prior review.

Suitability of Nonvacant Sites: While the element includes a statement that the list of nonvacant properties that met the criteria described above were further screened to exclude any properties that host businesses that provide essential goods or services to the community, the element was not revised to provide any further analysis describing the methodology used to determine the additional development potential within the planning period. To address this finding, the element could further describe the site characteristics that lead to residential development and relate these characteristics to the identified sites. The inventory could describe whether the use is operating, marginal or discontinued, the condition of the structure, land improvement value ratio, age of structure, any expressed interest in redevelopment, the presence of any existing leases or other contracts that would perpetuate the existing use or prevent redevelopment of the site for additional residential development, and any specific incentives to encourage or facilitate development on these sites. Please note, any future re-adoption of the housing element must include continue to include appropriate findings that existing uses are not an impediment to additional residential development and will likely discontinue in the planning period. (Gov. Code, § 65583.2, subd. (g)(2).)

Zoning for a Variety of Housing Types (Emergency Shelters): While the element includes development standards for CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts that allow emergency shelters by-right, the element was not revised to include the capacity of these zones to accommodate the identified housing need for emergency shelters. See HCD's prior review.

- 3. An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the types of housing identified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons with disabilities as identified in the analysis pursuant to paragraph (7), including land use controls, building codes and their enforcement, site improvements, fees and other exactions required of developers, and local processing and permit procedures. The analysis shall also*

demonstrate local efforts to remove governmental constraints that hinder the locality from meeting its share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584 and from meeting the need for housing for persons with disabilities, supportive housing, transitional housing, and emergency shelters identified pursuant to paragraph (7).

Fees and Exaction: While the element includes an analysis of fees that have been removed and generally concludes that fees do not appear to represent a constraint on housing element, the element generally did not address this finding. As stated in the previous review, the element must describe all required fees including impact fees. The analysis could also identify the total amount of fees and their proportion to the development costs for both single family and multifamily housing. See HCD prior review.

Local Processing and Permit Procedures: While the element describes the permit processing timelines for residential projects (p. B-23), it must evaluate the processing and permit procedures' impacts as potential constraints on housing supply, affordability, timing, and approval certainty. For example, the analysis should consider processing and approval procedures for typical single family and multifamily developments, including type of permit, level of review, number of public hearings, approval findings and any other discretionary approval procedures. The element should include programs to address or remove the identified constraints.

Constraints on Housing for Persons with Disabilities: While the element includes general findings for approval of Reasonable Accommodations, it still must describe the process for requesting accommodations.

4. *An analysis of potential and actual nongovernmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the availability of financing, the price of land, the cost of construction, the requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in the analysis required by subdivision (c) of Government Code section 65583.2, and the length of time between receiving approval for a housing development and submittal of an application for building permits for that housing development that hinder the construction of a locality's share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584. The analysis shall also demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(6).)*

Land Prices, Developed Densities and Permit Times: The element does not address this finding. While the City stated that the last housing development application that the City received was for the San Sebastian Apartments in 2007 and therefore, there is no recent data responsive to this request, the element should include this response and address prior finding relevant to all residential development. See HCD's prior review.

B. Housing Programs

1. *Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning period with appropriate zoning and development standards and with services and facilities to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated on sites identified in the inventory completed pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) without rezoning, and to comply with the requirements of Government Code section 65584.09. Sites shall be identified as needed to facilitate and encourage the development of a variety of types of housing for all income levels, including multifamily rental housing, factory-built housing, mobilehomes, housing for agricultural employees, supportive housing, single-room occupancy units, emergency shelters, and transitional housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(1).)*

As noted in Finding A1 the element does not include a complete site analysis, therefore, the adequacy of sites and zoning were not established. Based on the results of a complete sites inventory and analysis, the City may need to add or revise programs to address a shortfall of sites or zoning available to encourage a variety of housing types. Programs to be revised include the following:

Program 1.1.1: While the program addresses some of the Government Code, § 65583.2, subd. (h) and (i).), the program must specify how the City is meeting the requirement that at least 50 percent of the lower-income need be accommodated on sites designated for residential use only or when more than 50 percent of the very low and low-income need is accommodated on zoning designated for mixed-use that those sites allow 100 percent residential use and require residential use occupy 50 percent of the total floor area of a mixed-use project. To meet this requirement, the element could further describe the proposed overlays to describe the types of uses that will be allowed.

2. *Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing, including housing for all income levels and housing for persons with disabilities. The program shall remove constraints to, and provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)*

As noted in Finding(s) A3 and A4, the element requires a complete analysis of potential governmental and nongovernmental constraints. Depending upon the results of that analysis, the City may need to revise or add programs and address and remove or mitigate any identified constraints.

3. *Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote housing throughout the community or communities for all persons regardless of race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familial status, or disability, and other characteristics protected by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Part 2.8 (commencing with Section 12900) of Division 3 of Title 2), Section 65008, and any other state and federal fair housing and planning law. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(5).)*

As noted in Finding A1, the element must include a complete analysis of affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH). Based on the outcomes of that analysis, the element must add or modify programs to have specific commitment, milestones and metrics and to enhance housing mobility strategies, encourage development of new affordable housing in high resource areas, improve place-based strategies, and protect residents from displacement.

ITEM 5.1

Attachment D

Draft City Response to HCD Findings Letters dated March/April 8, 2022

This page is intentionally blank.

CITY RESPONSE TO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINDINGS LETTERS DATED MARCH/APRIL 8, 2022

According to the letter from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to the City of Laguna Woods (City) dated March 8, 2022 (reissued on April 8, 2022 to correct a typographical error), several revisions to the Housing Element are necessary to comply with State Housing Element Law (Article 10.6 of the Gov. Code). Responses to the findings included in HCD's letter are provided below.

A. HOUSING NEEDS, RESOURCES, AND CONSTRAINTS

1. *Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 8899.50) of Division 1 of Title 2...shall include an assessment of fair housing in the jurisdiction (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A))*

Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach

Programs H-3.1.2 and 3.2.2 in the main Housing Element have been revised.

Section 1.4.1.1 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, has been revised.

Section 1.4.1.4 has been added to Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment.

Integration and Segregation

Please refer to Sections 6.0 and 6.2-6.6, as well as Figures 2-6, in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Goal H-3 in the main Housing Element has been revised.

Section 6.8 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

Sections 6.9-6.11, 6.12.1, and 6.12.4 have been added to Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Racially/Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP)

Section 1.4.1.2 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, has been revised.

Access to Opportunity

Please refer to Sections 6.0, 6.7, and 6.13, as well as Figures 2-6, in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Section 1.4.1.3 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, has been revised.

Disproportionate Housing Needs, Including Displacement

Please refer to Sections 1.2.3, 1.3.4, and 1.2.3.6 and 1.3.7.2-1.3.7.3 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment.

Please refer to Policy Objective H-2.3 and Programs H-2.1.2, H-2.3.1, H-3.2.1, H-3.2.2, and H-3.2.3 in the main Housing Element.

Section 1.3.7.1 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, was revised.

Section 1.4.1.5 has been added to Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment.

Local Data and Knowledge, and Other Relevant Factors

Section 6.12 has been added to Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Contributing Factors

Section 1.4.1.4 has been added to Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment.

Site Inventory

Sections 1.3.7.1 and 1.4.1.3 in Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, have been revised.

Sections 6.1 and 6.9 have been added to Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Section 6.8 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

Goals, Priorities, Metrics, and Milestones

Sections 1.4.1.4 and 1.4.1.5 have been added to Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment.

2. An inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)

Realistic Capacity

Section 6.1 has been added to Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis.

Section 7.0 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

Suitability of Nonvacant Sites

Section 4.0 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

Zoning for a Variety of Housing Types (Emergency Shelters)

Section 2.3.9 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, has been revised.

3. *An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the types of housing identified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons with disabilities as identified in the analysis pursuant to paragraph (7), including land use controls, building codes and their enforcement, site improvements, fees and other exactions required of developers, and local processing and permit procedures. The analysis shall also demonstrate local efforts to remove governmental constraints that hinder the locality from meeting its share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584 and from meeting the need for housing for persons with disabilities, supportive housing, transitional housing, and emergency shelters identified pursuant to paragraph (7).*

Fees and Exactions

Sections 2.6-2.8 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, have been revised.

Local Processing and Permit Procedures

Sections 2.7 and 2.7.2 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, have been revised.

Section 2.7.1 has been added to Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis.

Constraints on Housing for Persons with Disabilities

Section 2.4.1.4 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, has been revised.

4. *An analysis of potential and actual nongovernmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing for all income levels, including the availability of financing, the price of land, the cost of construction, the requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in the analysis required by subdivision (c) of Government Code section 65583.2, and the length of time between receiving approval for a housing development and submittal of an application for building permits for that housing development that hinder the construction of a locality's share of the regional housing need in accordance with Government Code section 65584. The analysis shall also demonstrate local efforts to remove nongovernmental constraints that create a gap between the locality's planning for the development of housing for all income levels and the construction of that housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(6).)*

Land Prices, Developed Densities and Permit Times

Please refer to Sections 3.3.1-3.3.2 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis.

Section 2.7 in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, has been revised.

Section 7.0 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

B. HOUSING PROGRAMS

1. *Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning period with appropriate zoning and development standards and with services and facilities to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could*

not be accommodated on sites identified in the inventory completed pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) without rezoning, and to comply with the requirements of Government Code section 65584.09. Sites shall be identified as needed to facilitate and encourage the development of a variety of types of housing for all income levels, including multifamily rental housing, factory-built housing, mobilehomes, housing for agricultural employees, supportive housing, single-room occupancy units, emergency shelters, and transitional housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(1).)

Program H-1.1.1 in the main Housing Element has been revised.

Section 5.3 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, has been revised.

2. Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing, including housing for all income levels and housing for persons with disabilities. The program shall remove constraints to, and provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)

After completing the analysis referenced in this finding, no revisions to existing programs or additional programs were found to be necessary.

3. Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote housing throughout the community or communities for all persons regardless of race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familial status, or disability, and other characteristics protected by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Part 2.8 (commencing with Section 12900) of Division 3 of Title 2), Section 65008, and any other state and federal fair housing and planning law. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(5).)

Program H-3.1.3 has been added to the main Housing Element.

ITEM 5.1

Attachment E

Revisions for Proposed General Plan Housing Element (redline)

This page is intentionally blank.



INTRODUCTION

California Government Code Section 65300 requires each city to adopt a comprehensive, long-term general plan to guide physical development. The Laguna Woods General Plan reflects the City of Laguna Woods' intentions about land use and its relationship to circulation, conservation, housing, noise, open space, and safety. This element identifies priority housing issues in Laguna Woods and sets forth goals and policies to achieve balance between the needs of the community and future development.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

State law requires that general plans include a housing element, as follows:

California Government Code Section 65302(c): [The general plan must include] a housing element as provided in [California Government Code] Article 10.6 (commencing with Section 65580).

California Government Code Section 65583: The housing element shall consist of an identification and analysis of existing and projected housing needs and a statement of goals, policies, quantified objectives, financial resources, and scheduled programs for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing. The housing element shall identify adequate sites for housing, including rental housing, factory-built housing, mobile-homes, and emergency shelters, and shall make adequate provision for the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community.

California Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) specifically requires that housing elements include "an inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites." For the purpose of that requirement, "housing needs for a designated income level" are quantified through the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), which is discussed further in this element.

Additional statutory requirements related to the development and adoption



of housing elements are contained in California Government Code sections 65580 through 65589.

This element addresses the following priority issues:

- Housing development
- Housing conservation
- Affirmatively furthering fair housing
- Housing element implementation

REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) is a process mandated by the State of California's housing laws to determine existing and future housing needs for each city and unincorporated area. Once housing needs are quantified through the RHNA process, cities and counties are required to take certain land use planning actions to allow for the construction of new housing units to meet those needs, including updating of housing elements.

The RHNA process is foundational to this element and, as such, the following information is provided to promote clarity and a common understanding of both the RHNA process and the City's associated obligations.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Is the RHNA process new?

No. The RHNA process began in 1969 and has occurred on regular "cycles" since then. From 1969 until the City's incorporation on March 24, 1999, the County of Orange was responsible for RHNA compliance and land use planning in Laguna Woods. Beginning on March 24, 1999, those responsibilities transferred locally to the City.

How does the RHNA process determine housing needs?

The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is responsible for determining housing needs for each region's "council of



governments,” which for Laguna Woods is the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The SCAG region encompasses six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura) and 191 cities.

HCD starts with demographic population information from the California Department of Finance and then applies a formula intended to increase housing supply and further other housing-related objectives established by the California State Legislature (see California Government Code Section 65584).

After receiving HCD’s housing needs determination, SCAG allocates HCD’s determination between individual cities and unincorporated areas. For the current 6th Cycle RHNA process (10/2021 - 10/2029 planning period), SCAG’s allocation methodology considered factors including, but not limited to, anticipated household growth, job and transit accessibility, and social equity (the distribution of housing by income categories).

Table H-1 summarizes the City’s current housing needs allocation.

Table H-1: 6th Cycle RHNA Housing Needs Allocation

Income Level	Number of Units
Very-low income	127
Low income	136
Moderate income	192
Above-moderate income	542
Total	997

What does the RHNA process require of the City?

The City is required to zone sufficient land to allow for the construction of new housing units to meet its housing needs allocation. Such land must be “suitable for residential development,” which state law generally defines as including vacant land, residential land upon which additional housing units could be constructed, and nonresidential land that could be redeveloped to include new housing units (California Government Code Section 65583.2(a)). Such zoning must be “by right,” which means that the City is required to allow new



housing construction without requiring conditional use permits or other discretionary approvals that would constitute a “project” under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

How does the City’s General Plan Housing Element relate to the RHNA process?

State law requires that all cities update their general plan housing elements on either a five- or eight-year cycle (California Government Code Section 65588). The City is on an eight-year cycle with its most recent update due on October 15, 2021. Prior to adopting an updated General Plan Housing Element, the City is required to demonstrate to HCD that the update adequately plans for the City’s housing needs allocation and otherwise complies with applicable state law (California Government Code sections 65583 and 65585).

Does the City have the ability to zone private property?

Yes. State law requires the City to designate “the proposed general distribution and general location and extent of the uses of the land” within city limits, including both “public and private uses of land” (California Government Code Section 65302(a)). All public and private property in Laguna Woods, with the exception of public roads, is presently zoned and has been since the community was first constructed.

Does the RHNA process require the City to construct housing?

No. State law is explicit that the City is not required to “expend local revenues for the construction of housing, housing subsidies, or land acquisition” (California Government Code Section 65589(a)(1)).

Does the RHNA process require private property owners to construct housing?

No. While state law requires zoning sufficient to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation, it does not require the use of that property to be limited to the construction of new housing units nor does it require property owners to construct housing or discontinue any existing non-housing uses. If a property owner does not wish to construct housing, zoning that allows such construction only presents an option for the future.



Who makes the decision to construct housing?

Housing construction requires interested property owners and interested developers. No party is obligated to construct housing or discontinue any existing non-housing uses, regardless of how property is zoned.

Does the RHNA process allow the City to permit only senior housing?

No. State law specifies that the RHNA process is intended to address the “housing need of persons at all income levels” with goals including “increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability” (California Government Code Section 65584). While property owners may choose to construct age-restricted housing, state law does not allow the City to place such a restriction on properties for the purpose of accommodating the City’s housing needs allocation.

Does the City receive credit for existing affordable housing units?

No. The RHNA process is focused on future housing needs. For the 6th Cycle RHNA process, credit was only provided for housing units approved, permitted, or built on or after June 30, 2021.

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

California Assembly Bill 686 (2018) chaptered into law an obligation for cities and other public agencies to “administer [...] programs and activities relating to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing, and take no action that is materially inconsistent with its obligation to affirmatively further fair housing.” California Government Code Section 8899.50(a)(1) defines “affirmatively furthering fair housing” as “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining



compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. The duty to affirmatively further fair housing extends to all of a public agency's activities and programs relating to housing and community development."

GOALS, POLICY OBJECTIVES, AND PROGRAMS

This element is organized to be consistent with the other elements of the Laguna Woods General Plan. Goals and policy objectives provide declarative statements that set forth the City's approach to each of the priority issues.

Goals: General statements of desired outcomes.

Policy Objectives: Specific commitments to support decisions and actions consistent with a stated goal. Policy objectives provide guidance to the City Council, City advisory committees, and City staff when reviewing development applications and making other decisions that affect growth, conservation, and development.

As required by California Government Code Section 65583(c), this element also identifies programs that the City is undertaking or intends to undertake to implement the policy objectives and achieve the goals and policy objectives of the housing element during the planning period (10/2021 - 10/2029).

Priority Issue 1. HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.

Goal H-1. Enable the development of housing necessary to accommodate current and projected housing needs, including for lower-income households and other special needs populations.

Policy Objective H-1.1. Make sites available to accommodate current and projected housing needs for groups at all income levels, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(c)(1).

Program H-1.1.1. Rezone the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C) to accommodate the City's housing needs allocation (Table H-1).

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
February-August 2022 Draft



Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: California Senate Bill 2 (2017) Planning Grant
Quantified Objectives: See Table G-H in Exhibit C

Note: The rezoning process will include the creation of four new overlay zoning districts and the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each. Specific commitments in the rezoning process include, but are not limited to, (1) complying with applicable provisions of California Government Code Section 65583.2 (h), (i), et al., including accommodating at least 50% of the lower-income housing needs allocation on sites designated for residential use only (for non-mixed use projects) and by requiring residential use to occupy 50% of the total floor area of mixed-use projects, (2) permitting housing projects by right, as required by state law, (3) permitting owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right for housing projects in which 20% or more of the housing units are affordable for lower-income households, (4) establishing densities for potential housing sites as specified in Table G-H in Exhibit C, and (5) allowing the development of housing projects as standalone uses on each potential housing site.

Policy Objective H-1.2. Assist in the development of adequate housing to meet the needs of extremely low, very low, low-, and moderate-income households.

Program H-1.2.1. Continue to maintain website information regarding fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City that are applicable to housing development projects, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65940.1.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Website Information

Program H-1.2.2. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C), including a Geographic Information System (GIS) map layer with geospatial information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department



Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and GIS Map Layer

Program H-1.2.3. Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Market Study and Conforming Ordinance

Note: In accordance with HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" memorandum dated June 10, 2020, amendment of the ordinance will follow consultation with both for-profit and nonprofit developers to determine an appropriate mix of incomes that make development feasible in Laguna Woods. The City will also consult with housing advocates. When applied to rental housing, the ordinance will include options for the developer to meet the inclusionary requirements other than exclusively requiring building affordable units on site.

Program H-1.2.4. Adopt an ordinance creating an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for consolidations involving any one or more of the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis (Exhibit C) for the purpose of developing housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance



Program H-1.2.5. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.2.6. Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to promote regulatory clarity.

- Section 13.23.030(a)(2)c. Delete “private living space.”

Note: The language identified for deletion is duplicative within Laguna Woods Municipal Code Chapter 13.23 and is not intended to constrain the development of emergency shelters in a manner that would conflict with Assembly Bill 139 (2019).

- Section TBD. Explicitly identify that low barrier navigation centers are permitted by right in zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted, including nonresidential zoning districts permitting multifamily uses pursuant to California Government Code Section 65660.

Note: The City is required to comply with California Government Code Section 65660 irrespective of whether explicit language is adopted as part of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code.

- Section TBD. Explicitly identify that zoning is consistent with the Employee Housing Act (California Health and Safety Code Section 17000 et seq.), specifically sections 17021.5 and 17021.6.

Note: The City is required to comply with the Employee Housing Act irrespective of whether explicit language is adopted as part of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department



Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.2.7. Formalize written procedures for the application and processing of housing projects eligible for streamlined, ministerial approval pursuant to California Government Code Section 65913.4.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Policy

Note: The City is required to comply with California Government Code Section 65913.4 irrespective of whether procedures are formalized in writing.

Program H-1.2.8. Investigate potential incentives for the development of housing projects with features that exceed the minimum requirements set forth in the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Within Six Months of Each Triennial California Building Standards Code Effective Date for Investigation
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation;
Adopted Incentives (if applicable)

Policy Objective H-1.3. Provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities.

Program H-1.3.1. Continue to consider transitional housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing



Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.2. Continue to consider supportive housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.3. Continue to permit supportive housing by right in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.3.4. Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to conform to state law.

- Section 13.26.070. Remove the conditional use permit requirement for community care facilities serving seven to 12 persons located in areas where residential uses are permitted.
- Section 13.06.010(d)(395). Revise the zoning definition of “family” to address inconsistencies with state law related to the occupants of community care facilities.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Policy Objective H-1.4. Incentivize and promote the creation of accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent for very low-, low-, or



moderate-income households.

Program H-1.4.1. Continue to maintain an ordinance that conforms to the provisions of California Government Code Section 65852.2 related to accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units, including amending the ordinance as necessary.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Program H-1.4.2. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to Laguna Woods Municipal Code requirements, processing procedures, and incentives pertaining to the development of accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Flyer

Program H-1.4.3. Designate and maintain a “specialist” to assist with the processing and approval of applications to develop accessory dwelling units and junior accessory dwelling units.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Designated Specialist

Policy Objective H-1.5. Make sites available to accommodate emergency housing needs for homeless persons and families, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(a)(7).



Program H-1.5.1. Continue to maintain an ordinance that conforms to the provisions of California Government Code Section 65583 related to emergency shelters, including amending the ordinance as necessary.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Priority Issue 2. HOUSING CONSERVATION.

Goal H-2. Support the conservation of housing necessary to accommodate current and projected housing needs, including for lower-income households and other special needs populations.

Policy Objective H-2.1. Conserve and improve the condition of the existing affordable housing stock.

Program H-2.1.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to extremely low, very low, and low-income households. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-2.1.2. Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2024 for Investigation
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation;
Adopted Incentives (if applicable)



Policy Objective H-2.2. Promote accessible and accommodating housing options for persons with special needs.

Program H-2.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to persons with special needs. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-2.2.2. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022
Anticipated Funding: General Fund
Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance and Flyer

Program H-2.2.3. Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.

Primary Responsibility: Engineering & Infrastructure Services Department
Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2022 (as funding permits)
Anticipated Funding: State Permanent Local Housing Allocation monies
Quantified Objectives: Financial Assistance Opportunities



Policy Objective H-2.3. Promote housing conservation and revitalization.

Program H-2.3.1. Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2025

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Code Enforcement Policies

Priority Issue 3. AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING.

Goal H-3. Administer housing and community development programs and activities in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing for all persons.

Policy Objective H-3.1. Enhance access to housing.

Program H-3.1.1. Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.

Primary Responsibility: Engineering & Infrastructure Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Ongoing (as funding permits)

Anticipated Funding: Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)

Quantified Objectives: Ongoing Effort

Program H-3.1.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Biennial Process to Identify Interested and Qualified Service Providers; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Service Provider Identification Processes; Implemented Services (if applicable)



HOUSING ELEMENT

February-August 2022 Draft

Note: The services called for in this program are intended to reach a broad audience. Strategies for doing so may include utilizing a variety of methods; marketing services in a broad, proactive, accessible, and multilingual manner, including targeting specific areas and needs; providing remote participation opportunities for ease of access for the general public, including persons with disabilities; and, consulting with relevant organizations. Translation services and reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities will be provided in accordance with applicable law and City policy.

Program H-3.1.3. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Ordinance

Policy Objective H-3.2. Protect existing residents from displacement.

Program H-3.2.1. Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Webpage and Evidence of Training

Program H-3.2.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

City of Laguna Woods General Plan
HOUSING ELEMENT
February-August 2022 Draft



Anticipated Timeline: Biennial Process to Identify Interested and Qualified Service Providers; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Service Provider Identification Processes; Implemented Services (if applicable)

Note: The services called for in this program are intended to reach a broad audience. Strategies for doing so may include utilizing a variety of methods; marketing services in a broad, proactive, accessible, and multilingual manner, including targeting specific areas and needs; providing remote participation opportunities for ease of access for the general public, including persons with disabilities; and, consulting with relevant organizations. Translation services and reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities will be provided in accordance with applicable law and City policy.

Program H-3.2.3. Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.

Primary Responsibility: Public Safety Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Calendar Year 2024 for Investigation

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Report Regarding Investigation; Implemented Measures (if applicable)

Note: The investigation called for in this program is a necessary precursor to the potential identification of implementable measures and associated timelines. The report regarding investigation will provide greater specificity including, as applicable, clear commitments and milestones, and measurable outcomes.

Note: Programs H-1.2.3 (pertaining to inclusionary housing), H-2.2.2 (pertaining to fee waivers or reductions for disability-related improvements), and H-2.2.3 (pertaining to financial assistance for home accessibility improvements) relate to affirmatively furthering fair housing by promoting housing supply, choices, and affordability, but are included under other priority issues in this element due to the manner in which this element is structured. Similarly, Program H-2.1.2 (pertaining to potential incentives for extended affordability covenants) relates to affirmatively furthering fair housing by protecting existing residents from displacement and Program H-2.3.1 (pertaining to proactive code



enforcement) relates to affirmatively furthering fair housing by using place-based strategies to encourage community conservation and revitalization.
Priority Issue 4. HOUSING ELEMENT IMPLEMENTATION.

Goal H-4. Provide for analysis related to housing element implementation.

Policy Objective H-4.1. Conduct annual monitoring of the housing element.

Program H-4.1.1. Host an annual public forum to:

- Provide public education related to the purpose and scope of the housing element, as well as progress in its implementation; and
- Solicit public input on the implementation of the housing element, existing and projected housing needs for all economic segments, and resources and constraints relevant to meeting housing needs.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Annually; Beginning in Calendar Year 2023

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Public Forums

Program H-4.1.2. Prepare and submit an annual report to the City Council, California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and HCD with:

- The information required by California Government Code Section 65400(a)(2), in the form and manner specified; and
- A statement regarding the general plan's internal consistency and, if necessary, the identification of corrective actions that will be taken to resolve any internal inconsistency.

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Annually; Prior to April 1

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Reports and Public Meetings

Note: Pursuant to California Government Code Section 65400(a)(2)(B)(ii), the annual report information required by California Government Code Section



65400(a)(2) shall be considered at an annual public meeting before the City Council where members of the public are allowed to provide oral testimony and written comments. This program requires certain other information to be included in the annual report, which will also then be subject to consideration at the annual public meeting.

Policy Objective H-4.2. Coordinate implementation of this housing element with the water and sewer agency.

Program H-4.2.1. Deliver each adopted housing element (including amendments thereto) to the El Toro Water District, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65589.7(a).

Primary Responsibility: Planning & Environmental Services Department

Anticipated Timeline: Immediately Following Each Adoption

Anticipated Funding: General Fund

Quantified Objectives: Conforming Deliveries

Note: California Government Code Section 65589.7(a) generally requires the El Toro Water District to grant a priority for the provision of water and sewer services to housing projects that include housing units affordable to lower-income households.

EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are attached hereto and incorporated by reference:

- Exhibit A – Housing Needs Assessment
- Exhibit B – Constraints Analysis
- Exhibit C – Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis
- Exhibit D – Housing Element Performance Assessment
- Exhibit E – Public Participation Efforts

EXHIBIT A

HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

REDLINE

LSA

August~~January~~ 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

~~August~~ January 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	iii
1.0 HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT	1
1.1 Demographic Profile	1
1.1.1 Population Growth and Trends	1
1.1.2 Age Characteristics	2
1.1.3 Race and Ethnicity	3
1.1.4 Employment	3
1.2 Household Profile	5
1.2.1 Household Type	5
1.2.2 Household Income	6
1.2.3 Special-Needs Populations	9
1.3 Housing Stock Characteristics	17
1.3.1 Housing Growth	17
1.3.2 Housing Type and Tenure	18
1.3.3 Vacancy Rate	18
1.3.4 Housing Age and Condition	19
1.3.5 Housing Costs and Affordability	20
1.3.6 Assisted Housing at Risk of Conversion	23
1.3.7 Existing Housing Needs	24
1.4 Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	27
1.4.1 Fair Housing Issues	28
1.5 Regional Housing Needs	31.5 <u>Regional Ho</u>

REDLINE

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURE

Figure 1: Median Household Income (2018)7

TABLES

Table A: Regional Population Growth Trends.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table B: Age Distribution	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table C: Racial and Ethnic Composition (2010 and 2018)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table D: Occupation of Residents (2018).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table E: Household Characteristics (2010 and 2018)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table F: HCD Income Categories.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table G: Household Income Levels (2010–2018).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table H: Household Income Levels by Tenure (2017)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table I: Poverty by Household Type	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table J: Special Needs Groups	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table K: Disability Status.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table L: Local Facilities for Persons Experiencing Homelessness	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table M: Regional Housing Growth Trends	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table N: Housing Units by Type (2000–2020).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table O: Housing Tenure (2010 and 2018)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table P: Age of Housing Stock (2019)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table Q: Median and Average Market Rents by Number of Bedrooms (April 2021)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table R: Annual Median Home Prices (2020–2021)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table S: Estimated Affordable Housing Price by Income and Household Size (2021)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table T: Inventory of Subsidized Rental Housing for Lower Income Households	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table U: Cost Burden by Tenure	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table V: Cost Burden by Income Level, Tenure, and Household Type	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table W: Overcrowding by Tenure	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table X: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>Table Y: Racial/Ethnic Concentrations and Income Levels</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Table Z: White Median Household Income and Population</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Table AA: Opportunity Map Scores and Categorization (2020).....</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020).....</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Table AC: CIP Projects in Laguna Woods</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Table AD: School Districts Serving Laguna Woods.....</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Table AE: CalEnviroScreen Scores by Census Tract (2020)</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance.....</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix.....</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Table AH: Regional Housing Needs Assessment, 2021–2029.....</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Table Y: Racial/Ethnic Concentrations and Income Levels</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Table Z: Opportunity Map Scores and Categorization (2020)</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Table AA: CalEnviroScreen Scores by Census Tract (2020).....</u>	<u>30</u>

~~Table AB: Regional Housing Needs Assessment, 2021–2029..... 32~~

REDLINE

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
ACS	American Community Survey
<u>ADA</u>	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act</u>
AFFH	Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
AMI	area median income
CalEnviroScreen	California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool
CBC	California Building Code
CC	Community Commercial
<u>CIP</u>	<u>Capital Improvement Program</u>
City	City of Laguna Woods
CoC	Continuum of Care
County	County of Orange
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
DDS	California Department of Developmental Services
<u>FHA</u>	<u>Fair Housing Act</u>
FHCOC	Fair Housing Council of Orange County
<u>FHEO</u>	<u>Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach</u>
HCD	Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
LIHTC	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
<u>R/ECAP</u>	<u>racially/ethnically concentrated area of poverty</u>
<u>RC</u>	<u>Residential Community</u>
<u>RC</u>	<u>Residential Community</u>
<u>RCAA</u>	<u>Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence</u>
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Assessment
RMF	Residential Multifamily
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
<u>TCAC</u>	<u>California Tax Credit Allocation Committee</u>

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

REDLINE

1.0 HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

State Housing Element law requires local governments to adequately plan to meet their existing and projected housing needs, including their share of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation. Specifically, Government Code Section 65583(a) requires an assessment of housing needs and an inventory of resources and constraints relevant to the meeting of these needs. This section of the Housing Element examines the characteristics of Laguna Woods' population and housing stock as a means of better understanding the nature and extent of unmet housing needs. The Housing Needs Assessment is comprised of the following components: (1) Demographic Profile; (2) Household Profile; (3) Housing Stock Characteristics; (4) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing; and (5) Regional Housing Needs.

1.1 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

Demographic changes such as population growth or changes in age can affect the type and amount of housing needed in a community. This section addresses the population, age, and race and ethnicity of Laguna Woods residents.

1.1.1 Population Growth and Trends

Table A presents population growth trends in Laguna Woods from 1990 to 2020 and compares this growth to neighboring jurisdictions and Orange County. As shown, Orange County experienced the highest level of growth during the 1990s (18 percent). Neighboring jurisdictions experienced their highest level of growth during the 2000 to 2010 period (48 percent in Irvine and almost 32 percent in Lake Forest). However, during the 2000 to 2010 period, Laguna Woods experienced a decrease in its population. As will be discussed in further detail below, Laguna Woods residents are significantly older, on average, than the rest of Orange County. This means that Laguna Woods' population growth due to natural increase (births minus deaths) is slower than other parts of Orange County.

Table A: Regional Population Growth Trends

Jurisdiction	1990	2000	2010	2020	Percent Change		
					1990–2000	2000–2010	2010–2020
Laguna Woods	N/A	17,794	16,273	16,243	N/A	-8.55%	-0.18%
Aliso Viejo	N/A	N/A	47,816	50,044	N/A	N/A	4.66%
Irvine	110,330	143,072	212,375	281,707	29.68%	48.44%	32.65%
Laguna Beach	23,170	23,727	22,723	22,343	2.40%	-4.23%	-1.67%
Laguna Hills	N/A	29,891	30,270	31,508	N/A	1.27%	4.09%
Lake Forest	N/A	58,707	77,395	84,711	N/A	31.83%	9.45%
Orange County	2,410,668	2,846,289	3,010,232	3,194,332	18.07%	5.76%	6.12%

Sources: (1) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Report E-5;

(2) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Report E-8 City/County/State Population and Housing Estimates;

(3) California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, E-4 Revised Historical.

N/A = Not Applicable

Census data from 2000 to 2010 show that a reduction in the population growth rate for Orange County occurred during the decade. Countywide, population growth dropped to approximately 6 percent, while some local communities, such as Irvine and Lake Forest, experienced robust growth due to the development of new housing. Laguna Woods experienced a population decrease of approximately 9 percent during this period.

While most of the neighboring communities grew modestly between 2010 and 2020, the population of Laguna Woods in 2020 was estimated to be 16,243, approximately less than 1 percent lower than in 2010. The growth rate of Orange County as a whole was 6 percent, more than six times that of Laguna Woods. Of the surrounding communities shown, only Aliso Viejo had a growth rate that was close to that of Orange County. Laguna Woods saw a 0.18 percent population decrease from 2010 to 2020. However, this is much less of a decrease compared to the previous decade.

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) projects that the population of Laguna Woods will grow to 16,500 by 2045. This represents a growth rate of approximately 1.6 percent over the next 25 years. It should be noted that SCAG’s growth projection does not reflect potential population growth due to Laguna Woods’ RHNA allocation, which is discussed in further detail in Section 1.5, Regional Housing Needs.

1.1.2 Age Characteristics

Table B shows the age distribution and median age of Laguna Woods’ population in 2010 and 2018 and compares this with Orange County as a whole. Table B indicates that seniors aged 65 and older were the largest population group in Laguna Woods in 2010 (80 percent) and 2018 (83 percent). The proportion of the population within the younger age groups (under 5 years, 5 to 17 years, and 18 to 24 years) decreased since 2010, while there was an increase in the older adult population. The proportion of seniors (ages 65 and older) increased from 80 percent to 83 percent. However, Laguna Woods’ median age decreased from 78 in 2010 to 75 in 2018.

Table B: Age Distribution

Age Group	2010		2018		
	Population	Percent	Population	Percent	Orange County Percent
Under 5 years	33	0.2%	0	0.0%	6.0%
5-17 years	65	0.4%	10	0.1%	17.0%
18-24 years	49	0.3%	23	0.1%	9.5%
25-44 years	179	1.1%	100	0.6%	27.4%
45-64 years	2,982	18.3%	2,666	16.5%	26.6%
65+ years	12,987	79.7%	13,429	82.8%	13.9%
Total	16,295	100.0%	16,228	100.0%	100.0%
Median Age	78		75		38

Sources: (1) 2010 ACS 5-Year Estimates (Table S0101);
(2) 2018 ACS 5-Year Estimates (Table S0101).

Overall, the age distribution for Laguna Woods is skewed toward higher percentages of senior populations compared with Orange County as a whole. As shown in Table B, the percentage of the Laguna Woods’ population that is 65 years of age and older (83 percent) is substantially higher than that of Orange County (14 percent). Laguna Woods’ median age (75) is also substantially higher than

Orange County's median age (38). This reflects the fact that a large percentage of Laguna Woods residents live in Laguna Woods Village, an age-restricted retirement community for residents who are 55 years of age and older that occupies a majority of Laguna Woods' area. The proportion of children (ages 17 and under) and adults aged 18 to 64 are also notably lower in Laguna Woods compared to Orange County.

1.1.3 Race and Ethnicity

Historically, White residents have been the majority racial group within Laguna Woods. Table C displays the racial and ethnic composition of Laguna Woods' population in 2010 and 2018 and compares this with the countywide distribution. While changes since 2010 have generally been slight, Laguna Woods is trending toward a more diverse population. In 2018, White residents made up 78 percent of Laguna Woods' population, representing an 11 percent decrease since 2010. The proportion of White residents in Laguna Woods is also notably higher than that of Orange County as a whole (61 percent countywide).

Table C: Racial and Ethnic Composition (2010 and 2018)

Racial/Ethnic Group	2010		2018		
	Persons	Percent	Persons	Percent	Orange County Percent
White	14,499	89.0%	12,678	78.1%	61.7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,357	8.3%	3,142	19.4%	20.4%
Black or African American	126	0.8%	73	0.4%	1.7%
American Indian	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.5%
Other Race	208	1.3%	172	1.1%	11.7%
Two or More Races	105	0.6%	155	1.0%	4.1%
Total	16,295		16,228		
Hispanic	723	4.4%	821	5.1%	34.1%
Non-Hispanic	15,572	95.6%	15,407	94.9%	65.9%
Total	16,295		16,228		

Sources: (1) 2010 ACS 5-year estimates (Tables C02003 and B03003);
(2) 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Tables C02003 and B03002).

While only representing 19 percent of the total population in 2018, the number of Asian/Pacific Islander residents has increased by 11 percent since 2010. Laguna Woods' Asian/Pacific Islander population is now on par with Orange County (19 percent compared to 20 percent). Chinese is the predominant Asian ethnicity represented in Laguna Woods, making up approximately 9 percent of Laguna Woods' total population. There are also large populations of Korean and Filipino residents within Laguna Woods.

The Hispanic population increased by approximately 1 percent from 2010 to 2018. The estimated proportion of Hispanic residents in 2018 was 5 percent, still markedly lower than Orange County, which has an estimated 34 percent Hispanic population.

1.1.4 Employment

An evaluation of the types of jobs held by community residents provides insight into potential earning power and the segment of the housing market into which they fall. Information on how a

community’s employment base is growing and changing can help identify potential housing demand changes in the future.

The State Employment Development Department estimates that 2,900 Laguna Woods residents (or approximately 18 percent of Laguna Woods’ population) were in the labor force in January 2021. This reflects the fact that Laguna Woods’ housing stock is predominantly age-restricted. Laguna Woods has seen a drastic increase in unemployment since March 2020 due to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. In January 2020, Laguna Woods’ unemployment rate was at 2.2 percent; however, it rose sharply in the spring and peaked at 11.6 percent in May 2020. As of January 2021, the unemployment rate within Laguna Woods was 5.6 percent. The unemployment rate of Orange County was at 2.9 percent in January 2020 and has seen a similar spike. As of January 2021, unemployment in Orange County as a whole was 7.4 percent. While the region’s economy appears to show signs of recovery, the long-term impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on employment within Laguna Woods and Orange County remain unknown.

Table D presents the occupations of Laguna Woods residents, based on the 2014–2018 American Community Survey (ACS) estimates. The largest proportion of Laguna Woods residents are employed in educational services and health care and social assistance occupations at 22 percent, followed by finance and insurance and real estate, rental, and leasing occupations at 15.6 percent. Approximately 61 percent of employed residents in Laguna Woods commute less than 25 minutes to work, indicating that a majority of working residents hold jobs within Laguna Woods or in immediately adjacent communities.

Table D: Occupation of Residents (2018)

Occupation	Population	Percent
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0	0.0%
Construction	52	1.7%
Manufacturing	285	9.2%
Wholesale trade	123	4.0%
Retail trade	347	11.2%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	78	2.5%
Information	42	1.4%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	484	15.6%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	483	15.5%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	695	22.4%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	249	8.0%
Other services, except public administration	188	6.0%
Public administration	83	2.7%
Total Civilians Employed	3,109	100.00%

Source: 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S2405).

Laguna Woods itself has a limited employment base. As of 2019, the five largest employers in Laguna Woods were Laguna Woods Village, Professional Community Management of California, Stater Brothers, Rainbow Realty Corporation, and OCB Restaurant Company.

In 2019, the Orange County Business Council updated the results of its Workforce Housing Scorecard. This report provides a comprehensive evaluation of the current and future state of Orange County's housing supply and demand and its impact on the business community. Based on the following criteria, the scorecard rates each jurisdiction's record over the 2016 to 2030 time period in addressing workforce housing needs:

- Total job growth
- Housing as a percent of total Orange County housing
- Jobs-to-housing ratio
- Change in housing density

Based on the above factors, Laguna Woods ranks 33rd of the 34 cities in Orange County. Rankings are weighted toward larger cities and cities experiencing new housing development (Irvine was ranked 1st); therefore, a ranking of 33rd is expected for Laguna Woods, as it is the 31st largest city within Orange County and has not experienced any new housing growth since the development of the San Sebastian Apartments in 2008. It should be noted that Laguna Woods ranked 26th in job growth, indicating a modest need for new housing within Laguna Woods.

1.2 HOUSEHOLD PROFILE

Household type and size, income levels, and the presence of special-needs populations all affect the type of housing needed by residents and are important indicators of where intervention and/or housing programs may be needed. Household income levels are indicators of housing affordability, just as the ratio of owners to renters may impact the stability of the housing market. This section details the various household characteristics affecting housing needs in Laguna Woods.

1.2.1 Household Type

A household is defined as the total number of persons living in a housing unit, whether related or unrelated. The Census Bureau definition of a "family" is a group of two people or more (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together; all such people (including related subfamily members) are considered as members of one family. A single person living alone is also a household. "Other" households are unrelated people residing in the same dwelling unit. Group quarters, such as dormitories or convalescent homes, are not considered households.

As shown in Table E, the 2014–2018 ACS estimates there are 11,156 households in Laguna Woods, with an average household size of 1.45 persons and an average family size of 2.07 persons. While the average household size in Laguna Woods saw a 2.8 percent increase from 2010, the average family size decreased by 2.4 percent. Laguna Woods' average household and family size is lower compared to that of Orange County as a whole (3.02 and 3.51, respectively).

Table E: Household Characteristics (2010 and 2018)

Household & Family Type	2010		2018		Percent Change
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total Population	16,295	100.0%	16,228	100.0%	-0.4%
In Group Quarters	167	1.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Households	11,470	100.0%	11,156	100.0%	-2.7%
Family Households	3,733	32.5%	4,107	36.8%	10.0%
Married Couple Families	3,215	28.0%	3,645	32.7%	13.4%
Single Parent Households	29	0.3%	0	0.0%	-100.0%
Non-family Households	7,737	67.5%	7,049	63.2%	-8.9%
Householder Living Alone	7,272	63.4%	6,593	59.1%	-9.3%
Householder 65+ (Alone)	6,343	55.3%	5,723	51.3%	-9.8%
Average Household Size	1.41		1.45		2.8%
Average Family Size	2.12		2.07		-2.4%

Sources: (1) 2010 and 2018 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S1101);

(2) 2010 Census (Table PCT38).

N/A = Not Applicable

Nonfamilies constitute the majority of households in Laguna Woods (63 percent). The proportion of single-parent households has also remained steady at approximately 4 percent of all households. Family households constituted about 37 percent of all households in 2018, an increase of 10 percent since 2010. Approximately 90 percent of family households are married-couple households, and this has increased since 2010. The proportion of householders living alone also decreased between 2010 and 2018.

1.2.2 Household Income

Household income is one of the most important factors affecting housing opportunity and determining a household’s ability to balance housing costs with other basic necessities of life while avoiding housing problems such as cost burden and overcrowding.

1.2.2.1 Income Definitions

The State and federal governments classify household income into several groupings based upon the relationship to the county area median income (AMI), adjusted for household size. The Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California (HCD) utilizes the income groups presented in Table F. Federal housing programs utilize slightly different income groupings and definitions, with the highest income category generally ending at >95 percent AMI. For purposes of the Housing Element, the State HCD income definitions are used throughout, with the exception of data compiled by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which is specifically noted.

Table F: HCD Income Categories

Income Category	Percent Annual Median Income (AMI)
Extremely Low	0-30% AMI
Very Low	0-50% AMI
Low	51-80% AMI
Moderate	81-120% AMI
Above Moderate	120%+ AMI

Source: California Department of Housing and Community Development.

1.2.2.2 Income Characteristics

The 2014–2018 ACS estimated the median annual income of households in Laguna Woods to be \$41,928. This is an increase of approximately 13 percent from 2010, when the median annual income was \$36,818. Figure 1 illustrates the 2018 median household income for Laguna Woods and surrounding communities and compares them to the median income for Orange County. Of the jurisdictions included, Laguna Woods had a significantly lower median household income than the Orange County median of \$85,398.

Figure 1: Median Household Income (2018)




Table G shows the number of Laguna Woods households living within the HCD income categories described above for 2010 and 2017. The number of households within the very low- and low-income categories has decreased overall since 2010. As of 2017, approximately 69 percent of Laguna Woods households fell within one of the lower income categories. The proportion of households with moderate or above-moderate incomes increased from 30.1 percent in 2010 to 31.1 percent in 2017.

Table G: Household Income Levels (2010–2018)

Income Level	2010		2017		2010–2017 Percent Change
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	
Extremely Low Income (<30% AMI)	2,870	25.2%	2,880	25.6%	0.3%
Very Low Income (31-50% AMI)	2,650	23.3%	2,455	21.8%	-7.4%
Low Income (51-80% AMI)	2,435	21.4%	2,415	21.5%	-0.8%
Moderate/Above Moderate Income (>80% AMI)	3,420	30.1%	3,500	31.1%	2.3%
Total Households	11,375	100.0%	11,250	100.0%	-1.1%

Source: CHAS Data, 2010 and 2017.
AMI = Annual Median Income

Table H shows the income level of Laguna Woods households by tenure. A total of 76 percent of renter households were lower income (<80 percent AMI), compared to 67 percent of owner households. 31 percent of renter households were categorized as extremely low income (<30 percent AMI), and 26 percent were very low income households (31 to 50 percent AMI).

Table H: Household Income Levels by Tenure (2017)

Income Level	Renter		Owner	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Extremely Low Income (<30% AMI)	840	31.2%	2,040	23.8%
Very Low Income (31-50% AMI)	685	25.5%	1,770	20.7%
Low Income (51-80% AMI)	505	18.8%	1,910	22.3%
Moderate Income & Above (> 80% AMI)	660	24.5%	2,840	33.2%
Total	2,690	100.0%	8,560	100.0%

Source: CHAS Data, 2017.
AMI = Annual Median Income

1.2.2.3 Households in Poverty

The federal government publishes national poverty thresholds that define the minimum income level necessary to obtain the necessities of life. Table I shows the number of households within Laguna Woods living in poverty by household type. A total of 242 households within Laguna Woods are living below the federal poverty threshold, representing approximately 6 percent of all households. Approximately 1 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are female-headed households living below the poverty level. Of these female-headed households living below the poverty level, 9 of the households (or 0.2 percent of all households in Laguna Woods) have children under the age of 18. Another significant group living in poverty are seniors. As shown in Table I, approximately 6 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are senior-headed households living below the poverty level.

Table I: Poverty by Household Type

Household Type	Below Poverty Level	
	Number	Percent
Family Households	242	6.1%
Female-Headed Households	36	0.9%
With Children	9	0.2%
Senior-Headed Households (65+)	230	5.8%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates.

1.2.3 Special-Needs Populations

State law recognizes that certain households have more difficulty in finding adequate and affordable housing due to special circumstances and may also have lower incomes as a result of these circumstances. Special-needs populations include seniors, persons with disabilities, female-headed households, large households, farmworkers, and the homeless. Table J summarizes the special-needs populations in Laguna Woods. Each of these population groups, as well as their housing needs, is described below.

Table J: Special Needs Groups

Special Needs Group	Persons or Households	Renter	Owner	Percent of Total
Households with a Senior Member	9,701	--	--	88.2%
Senior-headed Households	3,495	--	--	26.3%
Seniors Living Alone	5,622	--	--	42.3%
Single-Parent Households	0	--	--	0.0%
Female Single-Parent Households	0	--	--	0.0%
Large Households (5+ members)	13	0	13	0.3%
Agricultural Workers	0	--	--	0.0%
Persons with Disabilities	4,364	--	--	27.3%
Homeless	5	--	--	0.04%

Sources: (1) 2019 ACS 5-year estimates;
(2) 2019 homelessness point-in-time counts processed by SCAG.
-- = Data not available.

1.2.3.1 Large Households

Large households consist of five or more persons and are considered a special-needs group due to the limited availability of affordable and adequately sized housing. Large households often live in overcrowded conditions due to both the lack of large-enough units and insufficient income to afford available units of adequate size. In 2018, Laguna Woods had a total of 13 large households, representing 0.3 percent of total households in Laguna Woods. These large households consisted entirely of owner households (Table J). Laguna Woods has a small number of larger homes compared to many communities in the region, with only 100 housing units (0.9 percent of all occupied housing units) containing four or more bedrooms, according to the 2014–2018 ACS. This suggests that Laguna Woods does not have any unmet housing need for large households.

1.2.3.2 Senior Households

Approximately 83 percent of Laguna Woods residents are age 65 or older. This is an increase from 2010, when 80 percent of the population were seniors. Senior-headed households make up a significant proportion (26 percent) of all households in Laguna Woods. Additionally, 42 percent of all households in Laguna Woods are seniors living alone.

Seniors may have a number of special needs including, housing, transportation, health care, and other services. Approximately 30 percent of Laguna Woods' senior population has one or more disability that may need to be taken into consideration when finding appropriate housing. Rising rents are a particular concern due to the fact that most seniors are on fixed incomes.

Laguna Woods is unique in that it predominantly comprises private communities that are age-restricted for adults 55 years and older. Of the housing units in Laguna Woods, the majority are located in the private gated community of Laguna Woods Village, with the remaining units in four separate communities. The various housing options, levels of amenities, and services provided at each of these communities are described below. There is a discrepancy of the total number of housing units located in Laguna Woods between City of Laguna Woods (City) records and the United States Census. It should be noted that the City's records, which reflect the numbers identified below, total 13,386, which is 988 more than what is identified by the United States Census (12,398 housing units).

- **Laguna Woods Village:** Laguna Woods Village contains 12,736 owned condominium and cooperative units. Owners within Laguna Woods Village pay a homeowners' association fee that is used to provide residents with public transportation, patrolled private security, clubhouses with special programs, and recreational activities. Also included within the Laguna Woods Village community are two golf courses for the private use of Laguna Woods Village residents.
- **Las Palmas:** Las Palmas is a licensed residential care community with 184 rental units. Services include the dispensing of medication and assistance with bathing, dressing, and other activities of daily living determined by a care plan tailored to specifically meet the individual needs of the residents. Amenities include meal service, transportation, housekeeping, laundry services, and access to recreational facilities, including a putting green, shuffleboard court, pool, and spa. Activity programs include regular trips to shopping centers and other destinations.
- **The Regency:** The Regency is a licensed residential care community that consists of 192 rental units for seniors and offers both independent and assisted living on a month-to-month basis. Services and amenities include daily meals, housekeeping, laundry services for bed and bath linens, scheduled transportation, group excursions, and social events. The Regency is the only residential community that, under unique circumstances, will accommodate individuals younger than 55 within its assisted living facility.
- **San Sebastian:** San Sebastian contains 134 rental units, including 17 affordable units for lower-income residents. San Sebastian is also an age-restricted independent living apartment facility; therefore, all of the affordable housing units at San Sebastian are reserved for seniors.
- **Whispering Fountains:** Whispering Fountains contains 140 rental units. Although age-restricted, the Fountains operates as an apartment community and does not provide assisted living services, special programs, or amenities.

Several resources for seniors exist in and near Laguna Woods and include the following:

- **Age Well Senior Services:** This nonprofit organization provides health and wellness programs, home delivery of meals, and nonemergency medical transit service to seniors in Laguna Woods. The organization operates the Florence Sylvester Memorial Senior Center in neighboring Laguna Hills, where it provides congregate meals, social services case management, senior activities and classes, information, and referral services.

- **Foundation of Laguna Woods Village:** This nonprofit organization partners with the Laguna Woods Village Social Services Department to provide temporary emergency financial assistance with supermarket cash cards, prescription payments, bill payments for utility services, medical and dental, caregiver and respite care services, taxi vouchers for travel to health care providers in Orange County, and gas cards to qualifying residents of Laguna Woods Village.
- **Helping Hands Senior Foundation:** This nonprofit organization provides social workers who can connect seniors with free or low-cost in-home health care, palliative care, adult day care, medical equipment, food assistance, and financial assistance resources, as well as provide support with navigating health insurance claims and payments.
- **Laguna Woods Village Social Services Department:** This private organization offers social workers who can help Laguna Woods Village residents with short-term counseling, crisis intervention, support groups, educational programs, long-term care planning, and resource referrals.
- **Orange County Housing Authority:** This government agency is responsible for administering federally funded programs that provide monthly rental assistance to qualified tenants, including low-income seniors, in privately owned rental housing in Orange County. The largest such program is referred to as the Housing Choice Voucher Program. Participants who receive a Housing Voucher can use this rental assistance in a variety of rental dwellings and locations with almost any property owner who is willing to participate in the program.

Based on the available resources in the community, there is no unmet need for senior housing.

1.2.3.3 Single-Parent Households

Single-parent households typically have a special need for such services as childcare and health care, among others, and often live with only one income. According to the 2014–2018 ACS, Laguna Woods did not have any single-parent households with young children (under the age of 18) (Table J). Therefore, Laguna Woods does not have any unmet housing need for single-parent households, including female-headed households.

1.2.3.4 Persons with Disabilities

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), an individual with a disability is defined as a person who has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, a person who has a history or record of such an impairment, or a person who is perceived by others as having such an impairment.¹ Major life activities include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, seeing, hearing, eating, sleeping, walking, standing, lifting, bending,

¹ United States Department of Justice. 2020. A Guide to Disability Rights Laws. February. Website: <https://www.ada.gov/cguide.htm#anchor62335> (accessed April 23, 2021).

speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating, thinking, communicating, and working.² Disabled persons often have special housing needs related to limited earning capacity, a lack of accessible and affordable housing, and higher health costs associated with a disability. In recognition of the fact that disabilities can affect a range of major life activities, the ACS questionnaire gathers data about six key disability types: hearing difficulty, vision difficulty, cognitive difficulty, ambulatory difficulty, self-care disability, and independent living difficulty. Table K shows the number of Laguna Woods residents with a disability as well as the number of Laguna Woods residents 65 years of age or older that have a disability. According to the 2014–2018 ACS, an estimated 27 percent of Laguna Woods residents (4,364 persons) have one or more disabilities. Approximately 30 percent of the senior population have one or more disabilities.

Table K: Disability Status

Disability Type	Persons with Disability	Percent of Total	Persons with Disability, Age 65+	Percent of Total
With a hearing difficulty	1,844	11.5%	1,733	13.1%
With a vision difficulty	705	4.4%	658	5.0%
With a cognitive difficulty	1,058	6.6%	925	7.0%
With an ambulatory difficulty	2,651	16.6%	2,473	18.7%
With a self-care difficulty	1,180	7.4%	1,123	8.5%
With an independent living difficulty	1,774	11.1%	1,650	12.5%
Total Persons with Disabilities	4,364	100.00%	3,972	100.00%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates (Table S1810).

Disabled individuals have unique housing needs because they may be limited in mobility or in their ability to care for themselves. In addition, the earning power of disabled persons may be limited. Their housing need is also often compounded by design and location requirements, which can drive up housing costs. For example, wheelchair-bound or semi-ambulatory individuals may require ramps, holding bars, special bathroom designs, wider doorways, lower cabinets, and other interior and exterior design features. Affordable housing and housing programs that address accessibility can assist these individuals with their specific housing needs.

There are a number of housing types appropriate for people living with a disability: rent-subsidized homes, licensed and unlicensed single-family homes, inclusionary housing, Housing Choice vouchers, special programs for home purchase, HUD housing, and group homes. The design of housing accessibility modifications, the proximity to services and transit, and the availability of group living opportunities represent some of the types of considerations that are important in serving this needs group. Incorporating barrier-free design in all new multifamily housing (as required by California and federal fair housing laws) is especially important to provide the widest range of choices for disabled residents. Special consideration should also be given to the affordability of housing, as people with disabilities may be living on a fixed income.

² United States Department of Labor. 2009. ADA Amendments Act of 2008 Frequently Asked Questions. January 1. Website: <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ofccp/faqs/americans-with-disabilities-act-amendments#:~:text=Major%20life%20activities%20include%2C%20but,thinking%2C%20communicating%2C%20and%20working> (accessed April 23, 2021).

Both the federal Fair Housing Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act impose an affirmative duty on local governments to make reasonable accommodations (that is, modifications or exceptions) in their zoning and other land use regulations when such accommodations may be necessary to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. For example, it may be a reasonable accommodation to allow covered ramps in the setbacks of properties that have already been developed to accommodate residents with mobility impairments.

The City does not require special building codes or onerous project review to construct, improve, or convert housing for persons with disabilities. Community care facilities with six or fewer persons are permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. Community care facilities with seven or more persons are permitted in all residential zoning districts, subject to Conditional Use Permit (CUP) approval. In addition, several housing types for persons with disabilities require a CUP that is no more stringent than those for other conditional uses:

- Congregate care facilities are permitted with an approved use permit in all residential zones and the Community Commercial (CC) zone.
- Family Day Care for 14 or fewer persons is also permitted in the Residential Multifamily (RMF) and Residential Community (RC) zones.

The City has adopted the 2019 California Building Code (CBC). Standards within the CBC include provisions to ensure accessibility for persons with disabilities. These standards are consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act. No local amendments that would constrain accessibility or increase the cost of housing for persons with disabilities have been adopted.

As discussed previously, Laguna Woods has two residential care facilities that are licensed by the State Community Care Licensing Division of the California Department of Social Services: Las Palmas and the Regency.

1.2.3.5 Developmentally Disabled

According to California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 4512, a developmental disability means “a disability that originates before an individual attains age 18 years, continues, or can be expected to continue, indefinitely, and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual. As defined by the Director of Developmental Services, in consultation with the Superintendent of Public Instruction, this term shall include intellectual disability, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and autism. This term shall also include disabling conditions found to be closely related to intellectual disability or to require treatment similar to that required for individuals with an intellectual disability, but shall not include other handicapping conditions that are solely physical in nature.”

Many developmentally disabled persons can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. More severely disabled individuals require a group living environment where supervision is provided. The most severely affected individuals may require an institutional environment where medical attention and physical therapy are provided. Because developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in supportive housing for the developmentally

disabled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult.

The California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) estimates that 30 persons with developmental disabilities were residing in Laguna Woods as of December 2020. All of the individuals with developmental disabilities residing in Laguna Woods were over the age of 18, and the majority of the developmentally disabled individuals in Laguna Woods live in the home of their parent, family, or guardian.

The Regional Center of Orange County is one of 21 regional centers in the State that provides point of entry to services for people with developmental disabilities. The center is a private, nonprofit community agency that contracts with local businesses to offer a wide range of services to individuals with developmental disabilities and their families.

The most obvious housing need for persons with disabilities is housing that is adapted to their needs. Most single-family homes are inaccessible to people with mobility and sensory limitations. Housing may not be adaptable to widened doorways and hallways, access ramps, larger bathrooms, lowered countertops, and other features necessary for accessibility. Location of housing is also an important factor for many persons with disabilities, as they often rely upon public transportation to travel to necessary services and shops. "Barrier free design" housing, accessibility modifications, proximity to services and transit, and group living opportunities are important in serving this group.

Within Laguna Woods, there are services currently in place tailored to mobility-impaired individuals including private bus services and subsidized taxi service that supplement public transportation options. The City has also adopted a reasonable-accommodations ordinance designed to reduce barriers for special-needs populations.

1.2.3.6 Homelessness

In collaboration with other nonprofit organizations, the Orange County Department of Community Resources is responsible for the countywide biennial point-in-time homeless count. For the purpose of the point-in-time count, the definition of homelessness includes unsheltered individuals and families "with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground." The count of sheltered homeless individuals and families includes those "living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, State, or local government programs for low-income individuals)" on the night designated for the count.

Based upon the 2019 point-in-time count, there were a total of 6,860 homeless individuals residing within Orange County, with 5 individuals counted in Laguna Woods. All of the homeless individuals within Laguna Woods were unsheltered, as there are no homeless shelters located within Laguna Woods. Since 2013, the homeless population in Orange County has steadily increased, with the largest increase occurring between 2017 and 2019 (43 percent increase). The 2019 Count indicated

that all five of those counted within Laguna Woods were individuals and not part of a family unit experiencing homelessness.

People experiencing homelessness include families and individuals representing every race, age group, and community in Orange County. As the cost of living in Orange County and in all of Southern California continues to rise, and as unemployment remains high, homelessness has become more prevalent.

In the past, several factors have contributed to homelessness, including difficulty in obtaining employment, lack of ongoing care for the mentally ill, substance abuse, and inadequate education. In recent years, however, a shortage of affordable housing opportunities coincident with a growing population of low-income renters has added to the problem.

Residential care facilities, which are facilities for individuals who require 24-hour supervision but who do not generally need medical care beyond routine health checks and medication monitoring, are permitted in Laguna Woods in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential uses. The State of California is the authority for licensing, inspection, and enforcement for community care facilities throughout California. The State has preempted local controls over certain types of residential care facilities.

The County of Orange coordinates a comprehensive regional Continuum of Care (CoC) system to address homelessness in Orange County. The CoC is a housing and service delivery system for the homeless and is designed to do the following:

- Promote communitywide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness through regional coordination and collaboration.
- Advocate for funding and resources to end homelessness and provide funding for proven efforts by nonprofit providers, states, and local governments to quickly rehouse people experiencing homelessness, while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused to homeless individuals, families, and communities by homelessness.
- Promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families.
- Promote implementation of best practices and evidence-based approaches to homeless programming and services.

Other organizations and facilities in nearby or surrounding communities that may provide shelter and services to people experiencing homelessness in Laguna Woods are listed in Table L. It should be noted that some of these facilities prioritize their services to people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to the cities in which they are located.

Table L: Local Facilities for Persons Experiencing Homelessness

Organization/Facility	Beds and/or Services Provided
Alternative Sleeping Location Emergency Shelter (operated by Friendship Shelter) 20652 Laguna Canyon Rd Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (949) 494-6928	Provides emergency night shelter for up to 45 people, a 30-day rapid housing program, as well as a drop-in day program between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. This organization prioritizes services to those people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to Laguna Beach.
Bridge Housing Program (operated by Friendship Shelter) PO Box 4252 Laguna Beach, CA 92652 (949) 494-6928	Provides dormitory-style housing for up to 32 people at a time, with all meals, case management, and an array of support services provided. Serves 120-140 individuals annually. This organization also provides a permanent supportive housing program intended for clients who are chronically homeless and are unable to work due to a disability, including physical or mental health conditions. Clients are housed in scattered-site apartments and receive ongoing supportive services from Friendship Shelter staff. This organization prioritizes services to those people experiencing homelessness who have a relationship to Laguna Beach.
Human Options 5540 Trabuco Road Irvine, CA 92620 (949) 737-5242	Provides emergency shelter for individuals or families in domestic violence situations seeking shelter. Serves approximately 350 adults and children annually. Services include individual and group counseling, case management, legal advocacy, and children’s programs. Also provides a transitional housing program.
Laura’s House 999 Corporate Drive, Suite 225 Ladera Ranch, CA 92694 (949) 361-3775	Provides emergency shelter (52 beds) for up to 45 days for individuals or families in domestic violence situations seeking shelter. Services include individual and group counseling, life skills classes, case management, legal advocacy, and children’s programs. Also provides transitional housing for 5–7 families at a time for 3–6 months.
Orange County Rescue Mission One Hope Drive Tustin, CA 92782 (714) 247-4379	Provides transitional, recuperative, and emergency housing for up to 262 homeless men, women, and children. Services include medical and dental care, meals, job training, case management, substance abuse treatment, parent training, anger management training, mental health care assessment and treatment, housing assistance and placement, and life skills training.

Note: Compiled by LSA, 2021. B based on information from <https://www.homelessshelterdirectory.org/> and the individual websites for each service provider.

1.2.3.7 Farmworkers

Farmworkers are traditionally defined as persons whose primary incomes are earned through seasonal agricultural work. Farmworkers have special housing needs because they earn lower incomes than many other workers and move throughout the season from one harvest to the next. The 2015–2019 ACS estimates that there are no Laguna Woods residents who hold farming, fishing, or forestry occupations. There is no agriculturally designated land within Laguna Woods.

The United States Department of Agriculture National Agriculture Statistics provide state- and county-level data on hired farm labor across the United States. Within Orange County, a total of 99 farms reportedly hired 1,772 workers in 2017. Permanent workers, those who work 150 days or more, represent the largest category of workers with 1,106 workers (62 percent). A total of 666 workers (38 percent) are considered seasonal and work less than 150 days. Orange County

reported 340 migrant workers (19 percent) with full-time hired labor and 176 unpaid workers in 2017.

Although there are no farmworkers identified within Laguna Woods, the median annual salary for the agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining industry in Orange County in 2019 was \$24,592, which is 29 percent of the Orange County median income (\$85,398) and is considered a very low income (2019). Because farmworkers make up no percentage of Laguna Woods' total population, no specific programs for this special-needs group are necessary. Given the limited need to provide for farmworker housing in Orange County, the City's efforts to provide housing at affordable prices to very-low income households should address the needs of permanent and seasonal farmworker populations.

1.3 HOUSING STOCK CHARACTERISTICS

This section identifies the characteristics of Laguna Woods' physical housing stock. This includes an analysis of housing growth trends, housing conditions, housing prices and rents, and housing affordability.

1.3.1 Housing Growth

Table M shows housing production in Laguna Woods, compared to neighboring cities and Orange County as a whole. According to the California Department of Finance, Laguna Woods experienced a slight reduction in housing units between 2000 and 2010. This is in contrast to Orange County, which saw an almost 8 percent increase in housing stock over the same decade. The surrounding communities of Irvine and Lake Forest saw large amounts of new housing construction in the early 2000s.

Table M: Regional Housing Growth Trends

Jurisdiction	Total Housing Units			Percent Change	
	2000	2010	2020	2000–2010	2010–2020
Laguna Woods	13,629	13,079	13,079	-4.0%	0.0%
Aliso Viejo	N/A	18,861	20,192	N/A	7.1%
Irvine	53,711	81,110	108,822	51.0%	34.2%
Laguna Beach	12,965	12,923	13,027	-0.3%	0.8%
Laguna Hills	10,324	10,989	11,298	6.4%	2.8%
Lake Forest	20,486	27,161	30,244	32.6%	11.4%
Orange County	969,484	1,046,118	1,111,421	7.9%	6.2%

Sources: (1) CA Dept. of Finance E-8 Historical Population and Housing Estimates, 2010.

(2) CA Dept. of Finance E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates, 2020.

N/A = Not Applicable

The California Department of Finance estimates that in 2020 there were 13,079 housing units within Laguna Woods. This has remained consistent since 2010. The increase in housing stock in Orange County was notably higher at 6 percent. As Laguna Woods is a maturing suburban community with primarily small site and infill development, it is expected that the increase in the housing stock in Laguna Woods would be modest and lower than the countywide rate.

1.3.2 Housing Type and Tenure

Table N presents the mix of housing types in Laguna Woods. The California Department of Finance estimates that of the 13,079 units in Laguna Woods, 4,639 are single-family units (36 percent). Approximately 65 percent of Laguna Woods’ housing stock is multifamily units. Laguna Woods also has no mobile home parks within its local housing stock. The composition of Laguna Woods’ housing stock has remained relatively unchanged over the last two decades.

Table N: Housing Units by Type (2000–2020)

Unit Type	2000		2010		2020	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
Single-Family (SF) Detached	675	5.3%	918	7.0%	918	7.0%
SF Attached	3,726	29.4%	3,721	28.5%	3,721	28.5%
Total SF	4,401	34.8%	4,639	35.5%	4,639	35.5%
2 to 4 Units	2,298	18.2%	2,237	17.1%	2,237	17.1%
5 or more units	5,934	46.9%	6,203	47.4%	6,203	47.4%
Total Multi-Family	8,232	65.0%	8,440	64.5%	8,440	64.5%
Mobile Homes & Other	15	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total Housing Units	12,657	100.0%	13,079	100.0%	13,079	100.0%
Vacancy Rate	7.52%	--	11.7%	--	10.8%	--

Sources: (1) U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Census.
(2) CA Dept. of Finance E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates, 2020.

Housing tenure refers to whether a housing unit is owned, is rented, or is vacant. Tenure is an important indicator of the housing climate of a community, reflecting the relative cost of housing opportunities and the ability of residents to afford housing. Tenure also influences residential mobility, with owner units generally evidencing lower turnover rates than rental housing. Table O indicates the total number of renter-occupied and owner-occupied housing units in Laguna Woods in 2010 and 2018. The ownership rate within Laguna Woods has declined slightly from 78 percent in 2010 to 74 percent in 2018. However, the homeownership rate continues to be higher than the countywide homeownership rate of 57 percent.

Table O: Housing Tenure (2010 and 2018)

Occupied Housing Units	2010		2018	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Renter	2,523	22.0%	2,851	25.6%
Owner	8,947	78.0%	8,305	74.4%
TOTAL	11,470	100%	11,156	100%

Source: 2010 and 2018 ACS 5-year estimates.

1.3.3 Vacancy Rate

A vacancy rate measures the overall housing availability in a community and is often a good indicator of how efficiently for-sale and rental housing units are meeting the current demand for housing. A vacancy rate of 5 percent for rental housing and 2 percent for ownership housing is generally considered healthy and suggests that there is a balance between the demand and supply of housing. A lower vacancy rate may indicate that households are having difficulty in finding

housing that is affordable, leading to overcrowding or households having to pay more than they can afford. A low vacancy rate or a particularly tight housing market may also lead to high competition for units, raising rental and housing prices substantially.

The 2014–2018 ACS estimated that the vacancy rate for owner-occupied units was 2.3 percent, and the rental vacancy rate was 5.9 percent. These vacancy rates suggest a relatively healthy housing market for both residents looking to purchase a home and renters.

1.3.4 Housing Age and Condition

The age of a community’s housing stock can provide an indicator of overall housing conditions. Typically, housing over 30 years in age is likely to have rehabilitation needs that may include new plumbing, roof repairs, foundation work, and other repairs. Table P displays the age of occupied housing stock by tenure as of 2018. Over two-thirds of Laguna Woods’ housing stock was built between 1960 and 1979. Of Laguna Woods’ current housing stock, approximately 98 percent will be over 30 years old by the end of the 2021 to 2029 planning cycle. As a built-out community, Laguna Woods has a low proportion of newer units, with less than 0.8 percent built since 2000.

Table P: Age of Housing Stock (2019)

Year Structure Built	Renter-Occupied Units		Owner-Occupied Units		Total Occupied Units	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
2010 or later	12	0.4%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%
2000-2009	58	2.0%	14	0.2%	72	0.7%
1980-1999	377	12.9%	391	4.8%	768	7.0%
1960-1979	2,229	76.1%	6,874	85.1%	9,103	82.7%
1940-1959	254	8.7%	718	8.9%	972	8.8%
1939 or earlier	0	0.0%	76	0.9%	76	0.7%
Total	2,930	100.0%	8,073	100.0%	11,003	100.0%

Source: 2019 ACS 5-year estimates.

A greater proportion of rental housing (12.9 percent) was constructed between 1980 and 1999, when compared to owner-occupied housing (4.8 percent). Conversely, a greater proportion of owner-occupied housing was constructed between 1960 and 1979 (85 percent), compared to renter-occupied housing (82 percent).

The City’s code enforcement services provider indicated that the City opened 88 cases in 2019 and 123 cases in 2020, the majority of which related to commercial properties (87.5 percent in 2019 and 86.9 percent in 2020). The City’s code enforcement services provider conducted a windshield survey in early October 2021 of all residential units within Laguna Woods to identify housing units with visible signs of damage or disrepair (e.g., deteriorated roofs, stucco damage, and broken or deteriorated windows) in an effort to estimate the number of housing units that are in need of rehabilitation and replacement. The windshield survey identified only five locations with minor maintenance issues, including minor wood rot, minor water damage on an exterior wall, and a crack at the top of a window. Given the small number of code enforcement cases and limited extent of the issues identified in the windshield survey, it is reasonable to conclude that Laguna Woods is a generally well-maintained community. Most of the City’s housing stock is located within actively

managed communities with boards or associations and on-site property management that ensure adequate maintenance. Consequently, there are few violations or complaints related to residential properties that require City intervention.

Due to Laguna Woods’ relative lack of substandard housing and health and safety code violations, the City does not require any specialized code enforcement programs (e.g., occupancy inspection, rental inspection, or neighborhood enhancement). Nevertheless, the Housing Element includes a program for the City to formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents. As the name suggests, this effort is meant to be proactive to help promote the good condition and upkeep of Laguna Woods’ housing stock.

1.3.5 Housing Costs and Affordability

The cost of housing is directly related to the extent of housing problems in a community. If housing costs are relatively high in comparison to household income, there will be a higher prevalence of overpayment and overcrowding. This section summarizes the cost and affordability of the housing stock to Laguna Woods residents.

1.3.5.1 Rental Housing Market

According to the 2014–2018 ACS, the rental vacancy rate in Laguna Woods was 5.9 percent, indicating a tight rental market in Laguna Woods. A point-in-time survey of available rental units within Laguna Woods listed on Zillow and Apartments.com was conducted in early April 2021. While not comprehensive, it provides a snapshot of the types of units available, as well as typical market rents. Table Q includes the results of the survey by number of bedrooms.

**Table Q: Median and Average Market Rents by Number of Bedrooms
(April 2021)**

Number of Bedrooms	Units Advertised	Rent Range	Average Rent	Median Rent
0	2	\$2,320-\$2,520	\$2,420	\$2,420
1	16	\$1,550-\$2,700	\$2,039	\$1,825
2	58	\$2,150-3,950	\$2,378	\$2,200
3	5	\$2,400-\$3,950	\$2,964	\$2,895
All Units	81	\$1,550-3,950	\$2,349	\$2,200

Source: Zillow.com and Apartments.com, accessed April 9, 2021.

A total of 81 units were listed for rent, with a median rent of \$2,200. Two-bedroom units were the most prevalently available in Laguna Woods, with rents ranging from \$2,150 to \$3,950 and a median rent of \$2,200.

1.3.5.2 Homeownership Market

Table R shows median home sale prices over 2 years for Laguna Woods and nearby communities. The February 2021 median home sales price in Laguna Woods represented a 14.5 percent decrease from February 2020. In contrast, Orange County as a whole experienced an increase in median sales prices during that time period, and many neighboring jurisdictions also saw only slight to moderate

Table R: Annual Median Home Prices (2020–2021)

Jurisdiction	February 2020 Median Sales Price	February 2021 Median Sales Price	Percent Change 2020-2021
Laguna Woods	\$380,000	\$325,000	-14.5%
Aliso Viejo	\$545,000	\$675,000	23.9%
Irvine	\$871,500	\$900,000	3.3%
Laguna Beach	\$1,972,500	\$2,230,000	13.1%
Laguna Hills	\$785,000	\$813,000	3.6%
Lake Forest	\$742,000	\$789,000	6.3%
Orange County	\$748,500	\$820,000	9.6%

Source: Corelogic.com, California Home Sale Activity by City, February 2021.

increases. With the exception of Laguna Woods, all of the communities listed experienced an increase in home sale prices between February 2020 and February 2021, with Aliso Viejo and Laguna Beach seeing double-digit increases. In February 2021, the median home sales price for Laguna Woods was \$325,000, representing a decrease of over 14 percent from February 2020. Given that this price decline is somewhat anomalous in comparison to other nearby cities, it may be tied to the severe impacts that the COVID-19 pandemic has had on the senior population.

1.3.5.3 Housing Affordability

The affordability of housing in Laguna Woods can be assessed by comparing market rents and sales prices with the amount that households of different income levels can afford to pay for housing. Compared together, this information can reveal who can afford what size and type of housing as well as indicate the types of households that would most likely experience overcrowding or overpayment.

Table S provides estimates of affordable rents and home prices based on HCD's 2021 income limits for Orange County; current mortgage rates (i.e., 3.0 percent for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage); and cost assumptions for utilities, taxes, and insurance.

Based on the housing costs presented earlier in Table R, Laguna Woods residents with lower incomes are unable to afford purchasing a home in Laguna Woods. Additionally, based on the overall median rent presented in Table Q, affordable rentals for lower-income households are difficult to find in Laguna Woods.

Extremely Low-Income Households. Extremely low-income households earn 30 percent or less of the AMI. Based on the assumptions utilized for Table S, the affordable home price for an extremely low-income household ranges from \$66,158 for a five-person household to \$79,721 for a two-person household; therefore, homeownership is out of reach for Laguna Woods residents within this income category. Affordable rents for extremely low-income households range from \$507 to \$637 per month. Based on the median rents presented in Table Q, even one-bedroom units are unaffordable for all extremely low-income households. Severe overpayment or overcrowding may occur as a result of the gap between market costs and affordability.

Table S: Estimated Affordable Housing Price by Income and Household Size (2021)

	Annual Income Limits	Affordable Monthly Housing Cost	Utility Allowance (2020)	Taxes, Insurance and HOA	Affordable Home Price	Affordable Rent
Extremely Low Income (0–30% AMI)						
1-Person (studio)	\$28,250	\$706	\$199	\$247	\$68,591	\$507
2-Person (1 bedroom)	\$32,300	\$808	\$222	\$283	\$79,721	\$586
3-Person (2 bedroom)	\$36,350	\$909	\$293	\$318	\$78,471	\$616
4 Person (3 bedroom)	\$40,350	\$1,009	\$372	\$353	\$74,783	\$637
5 Person (4 bedroom)	\$43,600	\$1,090	\$457	\$382	\$66,158	\$633
Very Low Income (30–50% AMI)						
1-Person	\$47,100	\$1,178	\$199	\$412	\$149,297	\$979
2-Person	\$53,800	\$1,345	\$222	\$471	\$171,829	\$1,123
3-Person	\$60,550	\$1,514	\$293	\$530	\$182,043	\$1,221
4 Person	\$67,250	\$1,681	\$372	\$588	\$190,080	\$1,309
5 Person	\$72,650	\$1,816	\$457	\$636	\$190,607	\$1,359
Low Income (50–80% AMI)						
1-Person	\$75,300	\$1,883	\$199	\$659	\$270,000	\$1,684
2-Person	\$86,050	\$2,151	\$222	\$753	\$309,992	\$1,929
3-Person	\$96,800	\$2,420	\$293	\$847	\$337,335	\$2,127
4 Person	\$107,550	\$2,689	\$372	\$941	\$362,569	\$2,317
5 Person	\$116,200	\$2,905	\$457	\$1,017	\$377,130	\$2,448
Median Income (80–100% AMI)						
1-Person	\$74,700	\$1,868	\$199	\$654	\$267,365	\$1,669
2-Person	\$85,350	\$2,134	\$222	\$747	\$306,962	\$1,912
3-Person	\$96,050	\$2,401	\$293	\$840	\$334,238	\$2,108
4 Person	\$106,700	\$2,668	\$372	\$934	\$358,814	\$2,296
5 Person	\$115,250	\$2,881	\$457	\$1,008	\$373,243	\$2,424
Moderate Income (100–120% AMI)						
1-Person	\$89,650	\$2,241	\$199	\$784	\$331,604	\$2,042
2-Person	\$102,450	\$2,561	\$222	\$896	\$380,359	\$2,339
3-Person	\$115,250	\$2,881	\$293	\$1,008	\$416,465	\$2,588
4 Person	\$128,050	\$3,201	\$372	\$1,120	\$450,462	\$2,829
5 Person	\$138,300	\$3,458	\$457	\$1,210	\$471,875	\$3,001

Sources: (1) HCD, 2021;
(2) Orange County Housing Authority Utility Allowance Schedule, December 2020;
(3) LSA Associates, Inc., 2021.

Assumptions: 2021 HCD income limits; 30.0% gross household income as affordable housing cost; 35.0% of monthly affordable cost for taxes and insurance, 10% down payment; and 3.0% interest rate for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loan. Utilities based on Orange County Housing and Community Development Utility Allowance Schedule.

AMI = Annual Median Income
HOA = Homeowners Association

Very Low-Income Households. Very low-income households earn between 30 percent and 50 percent of the AMI. Very low-income households can afford between \$979 and \$1,359 on monthly rent, depending on household size. However, based on the market rents presented in Table Q, rental units of any size in Laguna Woods would require a very low-income household to pay over the affordability threshold of 30 percent. Home ownership is also unaffordable for very low-income households in Laguna Woods, with affordable home prices for this income category ranging from \$149,297 to \$190,607, well below Laguna Woods’ median home sales price of \$325,000 in February 2021.

Low-Income Households. Low-income households earn between 50 and 80 percent of the AMI. Depending on household size, a low-income household can afford monthly rent between \$1,684 and \$2,448. Based on the market rents in listed Table Q, low-income households would generally be able to afford some one-bedroom units in Laguna Woods. However, larger rental units are still unaffordable for this income category, which may result in overpayment or overcrowding due to larger families renting smaller units. Based on Table S, low-income households can afford home sale prices between \$270,000 and \$377,130. Therefore, homeownership remains largely out of reach for this income group when compared with Laguna Woods' median home sales price as low-income households may only be able to afford the purchase of a small home.

Median-Income Households. Median-income households earn between 80 and 100 percent of the AMI. Median-income households can afford to purchase a home with a purchase price ranging from \$267,365 to \$373,243. With Laguna Woods' median home sales price at \$325,000 in February 2021, homeownership is affordable for median-income households seeking a smaller home. Median-income households can afford a monthly rent payment ranging from \$1,669 for a one-person household to \$2,424 for a five-person household. Based on median market rents within Laguna Woods, median-income households can generally afford one- and two-bedroom rental units, and larger households may be able to afford some lower-priced three-bedroom units. However, four- and five-person households may be overcrowded into smaller units or overpay to afford an appropriately sized unit.

Moderate-Income Households. Moderate-income households earn 100 to 120 percent of the AMI. Moderate-income households can afford a home sales price ranging from \$331,604 to \$471,875. Therefore, with the median home sales price in Laguna Woods at \$325,000 in February 2021, homeownership is affordable to households with moderate incomes. Moderate-income households can afford monthly rent from \$2,042 for a one-person household to \$3,001 for a five-person household. Based on the market rents presented in Table Q, moderate-income households can generally afford one-, two-, and three-bedroom units within Laguna Woods.

1.3.6 Assisted Housing at Risk of Conversion

State Housing Element law requires an analysis of the potential for currently rent-restricted low-income housing units to convert to market rate housing and to propose programs to preserve or replace any units "at risk" of conversion. This section presents an inventory of all assisted rental housing in Laguna Woods and evaluates those units at risk of conversion during the 8-year 2021 to 2029 planning period.

1.3.6.1 Assisted Housing Inventory

Laguna Woods contains one development of assisted multifamily rental housing with 17 affordable units, as presented in Table T. This inventory includes all multifamily rental units assisted under federal, State, and local programs, including HUD, State/local bond programs, density bonus, inclusionary, and local direct assistance programs. As no units within Laguna Woods are at risk of conversion to market rate units in 10 years or less, an analysis has not been conducted to evaluate the replacement value, qualified entities to manage such a project, or potential funding sources for at-risk units.

Table T: Inventory of Subsidized Rental Housing for Lower Income Households

Project Name	Tenant Type	Total Units	Affordable Units	Applicable Programs	Potential Conversion Date
Not At-Risk					
San Sebastian	Senior	134	17	Density Bonus	October 16, 2054
Total		134	17		

1.3.7 Existing Housing Needs

1.3.7.1 Overpayment

Overpayment remains a critical issue for many Laguna Woods residents, particularly renters and lower-income households. Affordability problems occur when housing costs become so high in relation to income that households have to pay an excessive proportion of their income for housing. According to the metric utilized by HUD, a household is cost burdened if housing costs (including utilities) exceed 30 percent of gross household income. Severe cost burden occurs when housing costs exceed 50 percent of gross income.

Table U indicates the number of cost-burdened households within Laguna Woods and Orange County by tenure. As shown in Table U, overpayment impacts 70 percent and 53 percent of renter households in the City Laguna Woods and the Orange County, respectively. ~~and Overpayment impacts~~ nearly 41 percent and 31 percent of owner households in the City Laguna Woods and the Orange County, respectively. More renter households are also impacted by severe cost burden ~~(45 percent)~~, compared to owner households ~~(22 percent)~~ in both the City and the County. Laguna Woods has a higher percentage of cost burdened and severely cost burdened households among both; renter and owner households.

Table U: Cost Burden by Tenure

	Renter Households		Owner Households		Total Households	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Laguna Woods						
With Cost Burden >30%	1,885	70.1%	3,475	40.6%	5,360	47.6%
With Cost Burden >50%	1,210	45.0%	1,875	21.9%	3,085	27.4%
Total	2,690	100.0%	8,560	100.0%	11,250	100.0%
Orange County						
<u>With Cost Burden >30%</u>	<u>230,720</u>	<u>52.9%</u>	<u>184,825</u>	<u>31.4%</u>	<u>415,545</u>	<u>40.5%</u>
<u>With Cost Burden >50%</u>	<u>118,650</u>	<u>27.2%</u>	<u>79,255</u>	<u>13.5%</u>	<u>197,905</u>	<u>19.3%</u>
Total	436,425	100.0%	588,550	100.0%	1,024,975	100.0%

Source: HUD Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS), 2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

ACS = American Community Survey

HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

Table V provides more detailed information on cost burden by income group, tenure, and household type. Overall, cost burden tends to impact proportionately more senior renters than senior homeowners, with the exception of low-income senior renters. Rates of overpayment for small families who rent were 100 percent in the very low and low-income categories. Extremely low-income small-family households who own their own homes are also heavily impacted, with 97 percent experiencing a cost burden and 83 percent experiencing a severe cost burden. As described earlier, Laguna Woods has very few large-family households. Of the few large-family households in Laguna Woods, none are affected by a high cost burden.

Table V: Cost Burden by Income Level, Tenure, and Household Type

Income Group	Cost Burden	Seniors			Small Family			Large Family		
		>30%	>50%	Total	>30%	>50%	Total	>30%	>50%	Total
Extremely Low (<= 30% HAMFI ²)	Owner	79%	69%	1865	97%	83%	30	0%	0%	0
	Renter	87%	86%	800	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Very Low (31–50% HAMFI)	Owner	52%	16%	1750	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
	Renter	77%	57%	635	100%	100%	4	0%	0%	0
Low (51–80% HAMFI)	Owner	25%	6%	1780	0%	0%	50	0%	0%	0
	Renter	85%	19%	455	100%	0%	20	0%	0%	0
Moderate (81–100% HAMFI)	Owner	15%	3%	965	13%	0%	75	0%	0%	0
	Renter	65%	0%	200	0%	0%	15	0%	0%	0
Above Moderate (>100% HAMFI)	Owner	3%	0%	1580	0%	0%	105	0%	0%	0
	Renter	3%	0%	375	0%	0%	15	0%	0%	0

Source: HUD Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS), 2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

¹ Data presented in this table are based on special tabulations from the ACS data. Due to the small sample size, the margins for error can be significant. Interpretations of these data should focus on the proportion of households in need of assistance rather than on precise numbers.

² HAMFI = HUD Area Median Family Income

ACS = American Community Survey

HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

1.3.7.2 Overcrowding

The United States Census defines overcrowding as an average of more than one person per room in a housing unit, including the living and dining room but excluding kitchens, bathrooms, and hallways. Severe overcrowding occurs when a unit is occupied by more than 1.5 persons per room. The incidence of overcrowded housing is a general measure of whether there is an available supply of adequately sized housing units. Overcrowding can also occur when housing costs are high in relation to income and families are forced to live together in order to pool income to pay the rent or mortgage. Table W shows the incidence of overcrowding in Laguna Woods by tenure, as estimated by the 2014–2018 ACS.

Table W: Overcrowding by Tenure

Overcrowding	Laguna Woods		Orange County	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Owner Occupied Units	8,305	100.0%	592,269	100.0%
Not Overcrowded (1.00 or Less Occupants/Room)	8,292	99.8%	570,469	96.3%
Overcrowded				
1.01 to 1.50 Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	15,731	2.7%
1.51 or More Occupants/Room	13	0.2%	6,069	1.0%
Renter Occupied Units	2,851	100.0%	440,104	100.0%
Not Overcrowded (1.00 or Less Occupants/Room)	2,851	100.0%	370,391	84.2%
Overcrowded				
1.01 to 1.50 Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	43,900	10.0%
1.51 or More Occupants/Room	0	0.0%	25,813	5.9%
Total Overcrowded	13	0.1%	91,513	8.9%

Source: 2018 ACS 5-year estimates.

As shown in Table W, an estimated total of 13 households experienced overcrowding in Laguna Woods in 2018, representing just under 0.1 percent of all households in Laguna Woods. Countywide, approximately 9 percent of households experienced overcrowded conditions. All 13 of the households in Laguna Woods that experience overcrowding are in the severe overcrowding category.

1.3.7.3 Displacement and Disproportionate Housing Needs

Using the Urban Displacement Project’s Gentrification and Displacement maps, the westernmost areas of Laguna Woods fall into the following displacement typologies:³

- Stable/advanced exclusive (with risk factors of being susceptible to rent change);
- Stable moderate/mixed-income (with risk factors of being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market); and
- Low-income/susceptible to displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market).

The eastern and southernmost areas fall into the following displacement typologies:

- At risk of becoming exclusive (with risk factors of being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change and that has a rent gap, and is a hot market);
- Low-income/susceptible to displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market); and
- Ongoing displacement (with risk factors of being mostly low income, being located in an area that is susceptible to rent change, has a rent gap, and is a hot market).

³ Los Angeles – Gentrification and Displacement. *Urban Displacement Project*. Website: <https://www.urbandisplacement.org/maps/los-angeles-gentrification-and-displacement/> (accessed October 1, 2021).

Job displacement risk varies throughout Laguna Woods, with the lowest rate being 5.2 percent in central Laguna Woods, and the highest rate being 23.8 percent in the easternmost part of Laguna Woods.

In summary, although the majority of Laguna Woods has a low risk of displacement, certain sections of Laguna Woods, particularly the eastern and southernmost areas, and specifically Census Tract 626.46, are either susceptible to displacement or experiencing ongoing displacement, as well as at risk for job displacement.

1.4 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

In January 2019, Assembly Bill (AB) 686 introduced an obligation to affirmatively further fair housing (AFFH) into California State law. AB 686 defined “affirmatively further fair housing” to mean “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity” for persons of color, persons with disabilities, and other protected classes. AB 686 added an assessment of fair housing to the Housing Element that includes the following components: a summary of fair housing issues and assessment of local fair housing enforcement and outreach capacity, an analysis of segregation patterns and disparities in access to opportunities, an assessment of contributing factors, and an identification of fair housing goals and actions.

To assist in this analysis, HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to “provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related State agencies/ departments to further the fair housing goals (as defined by HCD).” The California Fair Housing Task Force has created opportunity maps to identify resource levels across the State “to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9% Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs).” These opportunity maps are made from composite scores of four different domains made up of a set of indicators. Table X shows the full list of indicators.

Table X: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps

Domain	Indicator
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Poverty ● Adult education ● Employment ● Job proximity ● Median home value
Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● CalEnviroScreen 3.0 pollution Indicators and values
Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Math proficiency ● Reading proficiency ● High School graduation rates ● Student poverty rates
Poverty and Racial Segregation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Poverty: tracts with at least 30% of population under federal poverty line ● Racial Segregation: Tracts with location quotient higher than 1.25 for Blacks, Hispanics, Asians, or all people of color in comparison to the County

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force, Methodology for the 2020 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, June 2020.

1.4.1 Fair Housing Issues

1.4.1.1 Discrimination, Enforcement, and Outreach

The Fair Housing Council of Orange County (FHCOC) works to ensure equal access to housing opportunities and elimination of housing discrimination by providing services throughout Orange County (including Laguna Woods) including community education, individual counseling, mediation, and low-cost advocacy. The FHCOC investigates claims of housing discrimination and assists with referrals to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, the State agency that investigates complaints of employment and housing discrimination. Questions received by the City regarding potential housing discrimination are referred to the FHCOC. The City does not have a record of the number of issues or complaints within Laguna Woods that the FHCOC has addressed.

The Orange County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (dated May 27, 2020) (Orange County AI), prepared by the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, examines "structural barriers to fair housing choice and access to opportunity for members of historically marginalized groups protected from discrimination by the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA)." According to the Orange County AI, the FHCOC received 363 allegations of housing discrimination between 2015 and 2019 within the Urban County (which includes Laguna Woods). Of those allegations, 179 cases were opened within the Urban County "where the allegations seemed sufficiently meritorious to warrant further investigation and/or action" and testing included "362 systemic onsite tests, either paired or 'sandwich', 51 tests occurring in the jurisdiction and 215 other testing activities." The number of fair housing cases and tenant/landlord issues addressed by FHCOC represents approximately 0.03 percent of the population and four percent of households in the Urban County area.

According to HUD's Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach (FHEO) Inquiries by City data (found in the Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach Capacity data layer on HCD's AFFH Data Viewer), Laguna Woods had 0.24 inquiries per 1,000 people between 2013 and 2021. This ratio is slightly higher than the adjacent cities of Laguna Beach (0.17), and Laguna Hills (0.16), but lower than the cities of Irvine (0.28) and Aliso Viejo (0.37). Of the four complaints during this period, two were found to have no valid basis or issue and two were not resolved due to a failure to respond. None of the complaints appear to be associated with discrimination due to disability, race, familial status, national origin, religion, sex, or color.

The City complies with existing fair housing laws and regulations, including by explicitly prohibiting discrimination against persons receiving housing assistance (Section 13.25.110(h) of the City's Zoning Code). A review of the City's Zoning Code and other policies and regulations conducted as part of the preparation of this Housing Element found no instances of inconsistency with existing fair housing laws and regulations, nor any regulatory impediments to the City's ability to investigate complaints, obtain remedies, or engage in fair housing testing.

Chapter 1.06 of the City's Municipal Code, which enables the use of administrative citations and civil fines for code enforcement, defines "code" as including "the Building Code, and all Uniform Codes or other codes or regulations of the State of California or the County of Orange or otherwise applicable to the City of Laguna Woods," in addition to City-adopted ordinances. The City Manager possess broad authority pursuant to Section 1.06.020(25) of the City's Zoning Code to designate any officer, agent or employee of the City to enforce provisions of the code.

Housing-related code enforcement is currently provided under contract by two professional firms with extensive qualifications and experience – Interwest Consulting Group (focusing on building issues) and Willdan Engineering (focusing on zoning and quality of life issues, as well as providing support for building issues). Both contracts allow for an expansion of base services on an “as-needed” basis (e.g., to handle increased or unusually complex cases), as well as access to technical experts (e.g., Certified Access Specialists) when necessary. The City’s Development Programs Analyst manages both contracts and provides staff-level oversight of code enforcement services. When necessary, legal and litigation services for housing-related code enforcement is provided by Rutan & Tucker, LLP in that firm’s role as City Attorney.

After considering the AFFH analysis contained in this Housing Needs Assessment, the City has concluded that enhanced fair housing-related outreach could benefit existing and prospective residents of Laguna Woods. To that end, programs H-3.1.2, H-3.2.1, and H-3.2.2 are included and consistent with HCD’s AFFH guidance memorandum dated April 2021 in that they involve a variety of methods, proactive marketing, language access, accessibility for persons with disabilities, and consultation with relevant organizations. The alignment of those programs with HCD guidance and assessment of contributing factors is further described in Tables AB and AC.

1.4.1.2 Patterns of Segregation and Minority Concentrations

Ethnic and racial composition of a region is useful in analyzing housing demand and any related fair housing concerns, as it tends to demonstrate a relationship with other characteristics such as household size, locational preferences, and mobility. According to the California Fair Housing Task Force Opportunity Maps, none of the census tracts within Laguna Woods are designated as areas with high segregation and poverty.

According to HUD, the definition of a racially/ethnically concentrated area of poverty (R/ECAP) involves a racial/ethnic concentration threshold and a poverty test. The racial/ethnic concentration threshold states that R/ECAPs must have a non-white population of 50 percent or more, and the poverty threshold states that a neighborhood can be identified as a R/ECAP if it has a poverty rate that exceeds 40 percent or is three or more times the average poverty rate for the various census tracts in the metropolitan/micropolitan area, whichever threshold is lower.

As depicted in Table NHF in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory, depicts, Laguna Woods does not include any neighborhoods that meet the poverty test because the percentage of residents living below the federal poverty threshold is 15.7 percent or lower in each of Laguna Woods’ census tracts. Table Y provides data regarding race, ethnicity, and median household income in Orange County, Laguna Woods, the neighboring cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and each of the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

As Table Y indicates, the White population is the largest race/ethnic group in each of the census tracts in Laguna Woods as well as within Orange County and all neighboring cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. There is also a large Asian population that is uniformly present in each of Laguna Woods’ eight census tracts, and within the cities surrounding Laguna Woods with a slightly larger percentage of Asians (33.3 percent) present in Census Tract 626.21.

Table Y: Racial/Ethnic Concentrations and Income Levels

Jurisdiction	White ¹	Black or African American ¹	American Indian and Alaska Native ¹	Asian ¹	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander ¹	Some other Race or Two or More Races ¹	Hispanic or Latino (of any race) ¹	Median Household Income ²
Orange County	61.0% 64.5%	1.8% 2.4%	0.5% 1.1%	20.5% 22.8%	0.3% 0.7%	16.0% 12.8%	34.1% 34.1%	\$90,234
Laguna Woods	76.3% 77.4%	0.7% 0.7%	0.1% 0.5%	19.7% 20.6%	0.0% 0.1%	3.2% 2.0%	5.8% 5.8%	\$44,020
Aliso Viejo	80.0%	2.4%	0.5%	15.6%	0.1%	11.4%	18.1%	\$112,689
Laguna Hills	70.9%	1.5%	0.1%	14.7%	0.1%	12.9%	22.0%	\$100,985
Laguna Beach	89.5%	0.8%	0.1%	3.8%	0.0%	5.7%	8.2%	\$129,983
Lake Forest	65.9%	2.2%	1.0%	18.8%	0.1%	11.9%	21.9%	\$109,492
Census Tract 626.21	61.5% 66.7%	1.6% 3.1%	0.1% 0.3%	9.6% 33.3%	0.0% 0.0%	7.2% 2.2%	12.6% 12.6%	\$111,425
Census Tract 626.22	78.2% 79.0%	0.4% 0.4%	0.0% 0.0%	19.0% 20.0%	0.0% 0.4%	2.4% 1.6%	7.9% 7.9%	\$44,119
Census Tract 626.25	64.1% 67.4%	0.3% 1.1%	0.0% 0.3%	12.9% 16.4%	0.0% 0.5%	22.8% 9.2%	32.5% 32.5%	\$45,214
Census Tract 626.41	65.4% 68.1%	1.6% 1.7%	0.0% 2.6%	18.1% 20.7%	0.0% 0.0%	14.8% 2.1%	22.0% 22.0%	\$88,986
Census Tract 626.46	72.9% 74.3%	2.9% 2.9%	0.0% 0.5%	19.2% 20.1%	0.0% 0.0%	5.0% 3.6%	7.0% 7.0%	\$41,875
Census Tract 626.47	67.4% 67.7%	3.7% 3.7%	0.3% 0.3%	17.3% 17.3%	0.0% 0.0%	11.2% 11.2%	21.8% 21.8%	\$54,327
Census Tract 626.48	75.6% 76.8%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 1.6%	19.3% 20.4%	0.0% 0.0%	5.0% 3.1%	4.2% 4.2%	\$45,000
Census Tract 626.49	79.3% 81.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	17.2% 18.9%	0.0% 0.0%	3.4% 1.7%	11.8% 11.8%	\$60,254

¹ American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

² American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

The only census tract with a slightly outlying ethnic composition is Census Tract 626.25, where 32.5 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino (of any race). The surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest all have average percentages of Hispanic population. As shown in Table Y, the median household income in Census Tract 626.21 (\$111,425) is substantially higher than Laguna Woods overall. The median household incomes in all cities surrounding Laguna Woods are also substantially larger than the median household income in Laguna Woods and most of its census tracts, which is indicative of the nature of Laguna Woods as being a community mostly consisting of retired people. The only census tracts with slightly outlying ethnic compositions are Census Tracts 626.41 and 626.47, where 22.0 percent and 21.8 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino (of any race), respectively. However, it should be noted that the median household income in these two census tracts is higher than Laguna Woods overall, suggesting that these areas are slightly more affluent than others. This information points to the absence of R/ECAPs in Laguna Woods, the surrounding jurisdictions of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the region.

Table Z provides a breakdown of the percentage of White population and median household income (for all households and White households), which may be used as a determinant of areas of affluence, consistent with State guidance. This information is then used to determine whether there are any Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs) within Laguna Woods and address whether there are any RCAAs in the region.

Table Z: White Median Household Income and Population

	<u>Orange County</u>	<u>Laguna Woods</u>	<u>Aliso Viejo</u>	<u>Laguna Hills</u>	<u>Laguna Beach</u>	<u>Lake Forest</u>
All Households Median Household (HH) Income ¹	\$90,234	\$44,020	\$112,689	\$100,985	\$129,983	\$109,492
White Median HH Income ²	\$94,082	\$43,524	\$113,104	\$102,776	\$132,063	\$111,823
Black Median HH Income ³	\$76,136	\$48,750	\$109,135	\$191,845	-	\$101,250
Hispanic Median HH Income ⁴	\$68,971	\$24,250	\$104,140	\$77,107	\$76,518	\$83,002
Asian Median HH Income ⁵	\$93,777	\$54,440	\$125,000	\$90,337	\$118,854	\$112,048
Percent White Population ⁶	61.0%	76.3%	80.0%	70.9%	89.5%	65.9%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

² American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013A.

³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013B.

⁴ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013I.

⁵ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B19013D.

⁶ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

The median income of all households as well as White households in the City of Laguna Woods is lower than the median income of all households and White households for Orange County. The 2015–2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates show that in Laguna Woods, the median household income for Hispanic residents is \$24,250, for White residents is \$43,524, for Black residents is \$48,750, and for Asian residents is \$54,440. Based on this information, Laguna Woods is not an area of affluence. Additionally, based on the information in Table Z related to household incomes of minority populations within the region, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest are areas of affluence due to their median household incomes being higher than Orange County and due to their high percentage of White population. Additionally, there are disparities in the income levels among the four cities' minority groups, especially among all minorities versus the ethnically Hispanic population.

1.4.1.3 Access to Opportunities

HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related State agencies/departments to further fair housing goals (as defined by HCD). The California Fair Housing Task Force has compiled data to identify resource levels across the State to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9 percent Low Income Housing

Tax Credits (LIHTCs). The data reflect composite scores of four different domains (environmental, economic, education, and poverty and racial segregation) which are made up of their own sets of indicators. Figure 6 in Exhibit C, Housing Sites Inventory, depicts the Laguna Woods census tracts and their TCAC Opportunity Area categorization based on their composite scores. Table AAZ shows the California Fair Housing Task Force Opportunity Map composite scores, domain scores, and categorization for all eight of the census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table AAZ: Opportunity Map Scores and Categorization (2020)

Census Tract	Economic Domain Score	Environmental Domain Score	Education Domain Score	Composite Index Score	Final Category
626.21	0.74	0.516	0.38	0.029	Moderate Resource (Rapidly Changing)
626.22	0.005	0.542	0.203	-0.63	Low Resource
626.25	0.132	0.787	0.503	-0.064	Moderate Resource
626.41	0.598	0.821	0.442	0.144	Moderate Resource (Rapidly Changing)
626.46	0.021	0.799	0.291	-0.423	Low Resource
626.47	0.127	0.511	0.343	-0.335	Low Resource
626.48	0.002	0.925	0.388	-0.439	Low Resource
626.49	0.34	0.882	0.7	0.283	High Resource

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force, TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, 2020 Statewide Summary Table.

The majority of the census tracts within Laguna Woods are categorized as Low Resource areas. There are three census tracts within Laguna Woods that are categorized as Moderate Resource areas (of these, two are “Rapidly Changing”) and one census tract (Census Tract 626.49) is categorized as a High Resource area. Census Tract 626.49 is located in the westernmost portion of Laguna Woods (west of Avenida Sosiega) adjacent to the Woods End Wilderness Preserve. Table AB takes an in-depth look at all census tracts that are not categorized as high resource areas.

Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020)

Census Tracts							
	<u>626.21</u>	<u>626.22</u>	<u>626.25</u>	<u>626.41</u>	<u>626.46</u>	<u>626.47</u>	<u>626.48</u>
<u>TCAC Resource Level¹</u>	<u>Moderate (Rapidly Changing)</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	<u>Moderate (Rapidly Changing)</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Low</u>
<u>TCAC Economic Score¹</u>	<u>0.74</u>	<u>0.005</u>	<u>0.132</u>	<u>0.598</u>	<u>0.021</u>	<u>0.127</u>	<u>0.002</u>
<u>TCAC Education Score¹</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.203</u>	<u>0.503</u>	<u>0.442</u>	<u>0.291</u>	<u>0.343</u>	<u>0.388</u>
<u>TCAC Environmental Score¹</u>	<u>0.516</u>	<u>0.542</u>	<u>0.787</u>	<u>0.821</u>	<u>0.799</u>	<u>0.511</u>	<u>0.925</u>
Race/Ethnicity ²							
<u>White</u>	<u>61.5%</u>	<u>78.2%</u>	<u>64.1%</u>	<u>65.4%</u>	<u>72.9%</u>	<u>67.4%</u>	<u>75.6%</u>
<u>Black</u>	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>2.9%</u>	<u>3.7%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>
<u>Asian/Pacific Islander</u>	<u>9.6%</u>	<u>19.0%</u>	<u>12.9%</u>	<u>18.1%</u>	<u>19.2%</u>	<u>17.3%</u>	<u>19.3%</u>
<u>Some Other Race or Two or More Races</u>	<u>7.2%</u>	<u>2.4%</u>	<u>22.8%</u>	<u>14.8%</u>	<u>5.0%</u>	<u>11.2%</u>	<u>5.0%</u>

Table AB: Low and Moderate TCAC Resource Level Census Tracts in Laguna Woods (2020)

Census Tracts							
	<u>626.21</u>	<u>626.22</u>	<u>626.25</u>	<u>626.41</u>	<u>626.46</u>	<u>626.47</u>	<u>626.48</u>
TCAC Resource Level ¹	<u>Moderate (Rapidly Changing)</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Moderate</u>	<u>Moderate (Rapidly Changing)</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Low</u>
Hispanic (of any race)	<u>7.9%</u>	<u>7.9%</u>	<u>32.5%</u>	<u>22.0%</u>	<u>7.0%</u>	<u>21.8%</u>	<u>4.2%</u>
% of Population in Poverty ³	<u>7.7%</u>	<u>13.7%</u>	<u>12.4%</u>	<u>3.3%</u>	<u>10.6%</u>	<u>15.7%</u>	<u>12.9%</u>
% of Population that is Disabled ⁴	<u>7.2%</u>	<u>22.6%</u>	<u>14.1%</u>	<u>13.8%</u>	<u>25.4%</u>	<u>16.9%</u>	<u>26.4%</u>
Total #/Type of Housing ⁵	<u>4,623 units</u>	<u>2,535 units</u>	<u>1,960 units</u>	<u>2,024 units</u>	<u>2,425 units</u>	<u>2,351 units</u>	<u>1,862 units</u>
Single Family Home	<u>63.0%</u>	<u>21.7%</u>	<u>43.4%</u>	<u>61.2%</u>	<u>40.8%</u>	<u>33.5%</u>	<u>45.9%</u>
Multi-family Home	<u>37.0%</u>	<u>71.4%</u>	<u>56.6%</u>	<u>38.8%</u>	<u>59.2%</u>	<u>66.5%</u>	<u>54.1%</u>
Age of Housing Stock ⁶							
< 30 yrs old	<u>3,024</u>	<u>149</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>865</u>	<u>159</u>	<u>314</u>	<u>23</u>
> 30 yrs old	<u>1,935</u>	<u>2,670</u>	<u>2,006</u>	<u>1,356</u>	<u>2,544</u>	<u>2,315</u>	<u>2,088</u>
% Owner/% Renter HH ⁵	<u>51.0% Owner 79.0% Renter</u>	<u>70.5% Owner 29.5% Renter</u>	<u>72.1% Owner 27.9% Renter</u>	<u>61.5% Owner 38.5% Renter</u>	<u>83.2% Owner 16.8% Renter</u>	<u>45.2% Owner 54.8% Renter</u>	<u>68.1% Owner 31.9% Renter</u>
% HH with Burden ⁷	<u>36.4%</u>	<u>52.9%</u>	<u>46.1%</u>	<u>50.7%</u>	<u>38.3%</u>	<u>55.5%</u>	<u>48.8%</u>

¹ California Fair Housing Task Force, TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps, 2020 Statewide Summary Table.

² American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05

³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.

⁴ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1810.

⁵ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.

⁶ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table B25034.

⁷ HUD AFFH Mapping and Data Tool. Map 6 – Housing Problems. Online Website: <https://egis.hud.gov/affht/> <https://egis.hud.gov/affht/> (accessed May 6, 2022).

Seven of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods have a moderate or low TCAC resource level. The surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest have comparatively higher TCAC resource levels than Laguna Woods.⁴

In order to assist with the long-term development of funding for major capital improvement projects to tackle the moderate to low resources in various parts of the City Laguna Woods, Laguna Woods the City has adopted an 11-year Capital Improvement Program (“CIP”), which is updated and readopted on an annual basis. Table AC contains a list of CIP projects that have been approved for the City for fiscal years 2023–2032 and the census tract(s) where they are located.

⁴ California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC). 2020 Opportunity Maps. Online Website: <https://belonging.berkeley.edu/tcac-opportunity-map-2020> (accessed May 6, 2022).

Table AC: CIP Projects in Laguna Woods

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Project</u>	<u>Fund Name</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>Census Tract(s)</u>
<u>2023--2024</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$309,800</u>	<u>626.46 and 626.41</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 7</u>	<u>Community Development Grant (CDBG) Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2024--2025</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$270,600</u>	<u>626.46 and 626.41</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 8</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2025--2026</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$244,200</u>	<u>626.48 and 626.49</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 9</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2026--2027</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$253,100</u>	<u>626.48 and 626.49</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 10</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2027--2028</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$240,900</u>	<u>626.41 and 626.48</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 11</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2028--2029</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$238,200</u>	<u>626.41 and 626.48</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 12</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2029--2030</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>\$320,800</u>	<u>626.48</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 13</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2030--2031</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>TBD</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 14</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>
<u>2031--2032</u>	<u>Pavement Management Plan Project</u>	<u>Road Maintenance & Rehabilitation Program Fund</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>TBD</u>
	<u>Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Pedestrian Accessibility Improvement Project: Phase 15</u>	<u>CDBG Fund</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>Various</u>

Source: City of Laguna Woods Fiscal Years 2021-2023 Budget & Work Plan. Website: <https://www.cityoflagunawoods.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/2021-06-23-Adopted-Fiscal-Years-2021-23-Budget-Work-Plan-Website.pdf>. (accessed May 6, 2022).

Education. The Orange County Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (County AI) details the various structural barriers to fair housing choice and access to opportunity for members of

historically marginalized groups protected from discrimination by the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA). The Orange County AI uses the educational opportunity index, a value derived from data assembled by the California Fair Housing Task Force, to assess educational opportunity. The following disparities in access to education exist in Orange County:

1. Across all census tracts in Orange County, non-Hispanic Whites enjoy the best access to educational opportunity (index score of about 59) and non-Hispanic Asians have the second-highest access to educational opportunity (index score of 53). Hispanics have the lowest access to these opportunities (index score of 31), with non-Hispanic Blacks in between (index score of 46).
2. The cities of Aliso Viejo, Huntington Beach, Irvine, Laguna Niguel, La Palma, Mission Viejo, and Rancho Santa Margarita score highly (index score of 60 or above) on educational opportunity across all racial categories.
3. San Juan Capistrano has relatively low access to educational opportunity, scoring below 10 on the index for all racial categories. San Clemente, Anaheim, and Santa Ana fare similarly poorly, although non-Hispanic Whites score higher (index score of 39) than other race/ethnic groups in Santa Ana. Buena Park, Costa Mesa, Garden Grove, Orange, La Habra, and Westminster are other cities that struggle with educational opportunity, all with scores in the 30s to 40s on the composite education index.
4. A few cities have educational opportunity patterns that mirror those of Orange County overall. Non-Hispanic Whites in Fountain Valley enjoy good access to educational opportunity (index scores of about 60), whereas Hispanics in the city do not (index score of about 30). In both Fullerton and Tustin, non-Hispanic Whites and Asians have much better access to educational opportunity than Blacks and Hispanics.

The census tracts in Laguna Woods score relatively low in terms of access to educational opportunity, with indices ranging from 20 to 50. These low scores may reflect the nature of the city as one in which most of its residents live in retirement communities. The lowest educational opportunity scores are in Census Tract 626.22 (20) and the highest educational opportunity scores are in Census Tract 626.25 (50). Census Tract 626.22 is located in the northeastern portion of Laguna Woods.

Further, as shown in the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Local Profiles 2021 dataset, none of the City's residents were enrolled in public school from 2000 to 2020; therefore, Laguna Woods has not seen a change in public school enrollment since 2000.⁵ These data reflect the City's status as a community mostly consisting of retired people. Laguna Woods does not have its own school district; it is served by the Laguna Beach Unified School District, Saddleback Valley Unified School District, and Capistrano Unified School District. Table AD below summarizes the

⁵ Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). 2021 Local Profiles Dataset. Website: https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/2021_local_profiles_dataset.xlsx; (accessed May 9, 2022).

demographic characteristics of the three school districts according to the California Department of Education’s School Dashboard website.

Table AD: School Districts Serving Laguna Woods

	<u>Laguna Beach Unified School District¹</u>	<u>Saddleback Valley Unified School District²</u>	<u>Capistrano Unified School District³</u>
Race/Ethnicity			
<u>White</u>	<u>71.5%</u>	<u>40.4%</u>	<u>54.1%</u>
<u>Asian</u>	<u>4.9%</u>	<u>8.7%</u>	<u>6.6%</u>
<u>Two or More Races</u>	<u>8.2%</u>	<u>7.4%</u>	<u>6.6%</u>
<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>12.0%</u>	<u>37.3%</u>	<u>27.1%</u>
<u>Filipino</u>	<u>1%</u>	<u>3.5%</u>	<u>1.8%</u>
<u>African American</u>	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>1.2%</u>	<u>0.8%</u>
<u>English Learners</u>	<u>2.4%</u>	<u>17.8%</u>	<u>9.6%</u>
<u>Homeless</u>	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>5.0%</u>	<u>5.8%</u>
<u>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</u>	<u>12.0%</u>	<u>30.2%</u>	<u>25.4%</u>
<u>Students with Disabilities</u>	<u>11.1%</u>	<u>12.9%</u>	<u>12.2%</u>
<u>Graduation Rate⁴</u>	<u>97.9%</u>	<u>89.3%</u>	<u>96.8%</u>

¹ California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3066555000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

² California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3073635000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

³ California Department of Education. California School Dashboard. Website: <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/reports/3066464000000/2021> (accessed May 9, 2022).

⁴ California Department of Education. Dashboard Additional Report – Graduation Rate. Website: <https://www6.cde.ca.gov/californiamodel/gradreport?&year=2021&cdcode=3066555&scode=&reporttype=schools> (accessed May 9, 2022).

According to the California Department of Education, the statewide graduation rate is 86.8 percent. As shown in Table AD, the Laguna Beach Unified School District, Saddleback Valley School District, and Capistrano Unified School District all have graduation rates that exceed the statewide graduation rate.

Economic. The Orange County AI uses the Educational Opportunity index, a value derived from data assembled by the California Fair Housing Task Force, to assess economic opportunity. The following disparities in access to economic opportunity exist in Orange County:

1. Non-Hispanic White residents have the greatest access to economic opportunity. Asian and Pacific Islander residents (index score of 49), Native Americans (index score of 46), and Black residents (index score of 46) have lower index scores in the high to mid-40s. Hispanic residents (index score of 32) have the lowest access to economic opportunity of all racial and ethnic groups in Orange County.
2. Among residents living below the poverty line, White residents have the highest economic opportunity score (index score of 30) followed by Black residents (index score of 27) and Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (index scores of 23). Low-income Native Americans and Hispanic residents have the lowest economic opportunity scores (index scores of 19).

3. There are major disparities in economic opportunity scores across racial/ethnic groups in cities in Orange County.
4. Economic opportunity index scores are generally lower in North Orange County than in South Orange County. Scores are especially low in Westminster, Garden Grove, and much of Santa Ana and Anaheim. Scores are generally high in much of Irvine, La Palma, and Tustin and along the coast from Newport Beach to Laguna Niguel as well as in unincorporated areas near the eastern border with Riverside County.
5. Areas in Orange County with the highest index scores tend to have large concentrations of non-Hispanic and Asian residents. By contrast, areas with the highest concentration of Hispanic residents tend to have lower economic opportunity index scores.

The census tracts in Laguna Woods have varied scores in economic opportunity, with indices ranging from 0.2 to 75. The census tracts with the lowest economic opportunity indices are Census Tract 626.22 (index score of 0.5), 626.25 (index score of 13.2), 626.46 (index score of 2.1), 626.47 (index score of 12.7), and 626.48 (index score of 0.2). These census tracts are located mostly in the eastern and southeastern portions of Laguna Woods and have resource levels of “Low” or “Moderate.”

According to the Orange County AI, the economic opportunity index is a composite of four indicators depicting elements of neighborhood socio-economic character. These indicators are poverty, adult education, employment, and proximity to jobs. The SCAG 2019 Local Profiles indicate that the total number of jobs in Laguna Woods numbered 5,491, which is a 3.7 percent decrease from 2007.⁶ The report also stated that the mean travel time to work for Laguna Woods was 26 minutes, whereas the mean travel time to work for Orange County as a whole was 27.9 minutes. According to the HUD AFFH Data Viewer, Laguna Woods has a lower labor market index than the surrounding communities including Aliso Viejo, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, and Lake Forest. Census Tract 626.46 has the lowest labor market index, with a score of 28.⁷ Laguna Woods is similar to cities in central and northern Orange County, like Santa Ana, Garden Grove, and Westminster, which all have lower labor market engagement than cities in southern Orange County. Much of the low labor market indices in Laguna Woods are due to the City’s status as a community mostly consisting of retired people.

Transportation. According to the Orange County AI, the following disparities in access to low-cost transportation exist in Orange County:

1. Non-Hispanic Whites have the lowest scores (index score of 34). Asians and Pacific Islanders as well as Native Americans have an index score of 38. Black residents have a score of 39, while Hispanic residents have the highest score (index score of 42).

⁶ SCAG. Local Profiles Report 2019. Website: https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/laguna_woods_localprofile.pdf?1606012702 (accessed May 9, 2022).

⁷ HUD AFFH Mapping and Data Tool – Map 9 Demographics and Labor Market. Website: <https://egis.hud.gov/affht/> (accessed May 9, 2022).

2. Regionally, low transportation cost index scores are similar for all racial and ethnic groups. Non-Hispanic Whites and Native Americans both have a score of 19, Asians/Pacific Islanders as well as Hispanics have a score of 20, and Black residents have a score of 21.
3. Low transportation cost index scores as well as transit index scores are generally higher in North Orange County than in South Orange County. Scores are generally higher in cities with greater levels of density. Generally, North Orange County cities have a variety of residential living patterns with varying levels of density.

While the percentage of Laguna Woods residents using transit to commute to work has increased by 67 percent (0.9 percent in 2000 to 1.5 percent in 2019), Laguna Woods still has a relatively low percentage of residents that commute via public transit. The number of residents who commute to work via bicycle or walking as means of transportation has decreased by over 5725 percent from the year 2000 to 2018.

AllTransit is a database created by the Center for Neighborhood Technology to explore metrics that reveal the social and economic impact of transit, specifically looking at connectivity, access to jobs, and frequency of service. AllTransit's overall evaluation was that Laguna Woods had a low combination of trips per week and number of accessible jobs, enabling few people to take transit to work. Specifically, while the vast majority of jobs within Laguna Woods are located within 0.5 mile of transit and Laguna Woods residents can access over 90,000 jobs within a 30-minute transit commute, the transit quality within Laguna Woods is low. There are only four transit routes within Laguna Woods, and none of them offer high-frequency service as defined by AllTransit, making commuting by means of public transportation difficult.

Environmental. The Orange County AI uses the environmental opportunity index, a value derived from indicators from the exposures and environmental effects subcomponents of the "pollution burden" domain of CalEnviroScreen 3.0, to assess environmental opportunity. The following disparities in access to environmental opportunity exist in Orange County:

1. Across all tracts in Orange County, non-Hispanic Whites exhibit the highest access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods (index score of about 54). All other racial/ethnic groups obtain lower index scores in the 40s: Hispanics score lowest at 41, followed by non-Hispanic Blacks (index score of 45), non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander (index score of 47), and non-Hispanic Native Americans (index score of 48).
2. Jurisdictions with the highest environmental opportunity appear to have primarily large concentrations of non-Hispanic Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders. Lower-scoring cities exhibit a diversity of residential patterns.

~~Access to high quality public transportation can also be looked at as an indicator of access to opportunities. AllTransit is a database created by the Center for Neighborhood Technology to explore metrics that reveal the social and economic impact of transit, specifically looking at connectivity, access to jobs, and frequency of service. AllTransit's overall evaluation was that Laguna Woods had a low combination of trips per week and number of accessible jobs, enabling few people to take transit to work. Specifically, while the vast majority of jobs within Laguna Woods are located~~

~~within 0.5 mile of transit and Laguna Woods residents can access over 90,000 jobs within a 30-minute transit commute, the transit quality within Laguna Woods is low. There are only four transit routes within Laguna Woods, and none of them offer high-frequency service as defined by AllTransit, making commuting by means of public transportation difficult.~~

The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) developed a screening methodology to help identify California communities disproportionately burdened by multiple sources of pollution, called the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen). High-scoring communities tend to be more burdened by pollution from multiple sources and most vulnerable to its effects, taking into account their socioeconomic characteristics and underlying health status. Scores for census tracts within Laguna Woods are listed in Table AEA. Scores for Laguna Woods range between 4.81 and 28.08, which are relatively low. The highest-scoring area within Laguna Woods is the census tract on the southeast side of Laguna Woods near Interstate 5 (Census Tract 626.47).

Table AEA: CalEnviroScreen Scores by Census Tract (2020)

Census Tract	CalEnviroScreen Score	CalEnviroScreen Percentile
626.21	6.37	21
626.22	15.18	37
626.25	12.47	37
626.41	4.81	18
626.46	18.9	44
626.47	28.08	54
626.48	10.56	32
626.49	6.30	22

Source: CA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Draft.

1.4.1.4 Assessment of Contributing Factors to Fair Housing in Laguna Woods

As described in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, the cumulative effect of the City's residential development standards does not constrain the expansion of housing opportunities. Density standards are consistent with the densities established for General Plan land use categories, and setback requirements provide minimal light and air for development, are typical in the region, and do not unreasonably constrain housing opportunities.

Further, the City encourages the development of affordable housing through Section 13.26.040 of the City's Zoning Code, which provides for residential density bonuses to incentivize the production of housing for very low-income, lower-income, or senior households in accordance with Government Code Sections 65915 and 65917.

The City has also identified adequate sites to be made available through appropriate zoning and development standards to encourage the development of various types of housing for all economic segments of the population, including single-family housing, multifamily housing, senior housing, manufactured housing and mobile homes, accessory dwelling units, residential care facilities for persons with developmental disabilities, and single-room occupancy facilities. Additionally, with the adoption of the updated Housing Element, a program to amend the Zoning Code to include one or

more mixed-use overlay zoning districts that would allow the development of commercial and residential uses on the same parcel would also be put into effect.

The City has not adopted unique restrictions that constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities nor has it adopted regulations inconsistent with applicable federal or state law. There are no City-initiated constraints on housing for persons with disabilities caused or controlled by the City. In addition, the City has adopted formalized criteria and processing procedures for reasonable accommodation applications within its Zoning Code.

As described in Exhibit A Housing Needs Assessment, and as defined by the RHNA process, Laguna Woods' new construction need for the 2021 to 2029 planning period has been established at 997 new units. The City will continue to zone sites for housing supported by a variety of programs to enhance affordability, to accommodate its RHNA and contribute toward addressing the growing demand for housing in the Southern California region.

Given that the City does not have prohibitive residential development standards or unique restrictions constraining development of housing for persons with disabilities and also encourages the development of affordable housing as well as various housing typologies, the City experiences the following local contributing factors to fair housing:

- **Displacement Risk** – As described in Section 1.3.7.3, Displacement and Disproportionate Housing Needs, some parts of Laguna Woods are either susceptible to displacement or experiencing ongoing displacement as well as at risk of job displacement according to the Urban Displacement Project's Gentrification and Displacement Map. The same parts of Laguna Woods which are susceptible to displacement (Census Tracts 626.46 and 626.47) are also the areas in Laguna Woods with the highest renter vulnerability indices.
- **Development Costs and Low Land Availability** – As described in Exhibit B, Constraints Analysis, the availability and price of land represents a significant market constraint to housing production in Laguna Woods, where there is very little residentially designated vacant land. In June 2021, based on a survey of online real estate listings, there were no vacant properties listed for sale within Laguna Woods. Due to limited land availability, most new residential development in Laguna Woods will involve redeveloping properties with existing uses, which tends to add to the cost of land. Further,
- dDensity bonuses are available for projects that include affordable housing in Laguna Woods. Developers of affordable housing may also be granted regulatory concessions or development incentives. Density bonuses, together with the incentives and/or concessions, result in a lower average cost of land per dwelling unit thereby making the provision of affordable housing more feasible.

1.4.1.5 City Actions

This Housing Element includes AFFH as one of four priority issues. In addition to the goal, policy objectives, and programs included under the AFFH priority issue, other programs included under other priority issues relate to AFFH. Table AF correlates each AFFH-related program with a fair housing issue using the categories of examples of AFFH actions provided in HCD's AFFH guidance

memorandum dated April 2021. Table AG identifies the contributing factor(s) resulting in each program’s inclusion, as well as a priority assigned based on the extent to which factors limit or deny fair housing choice or access to opportunity or negatively impact fair housing or civil rights.

Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance

Housing Element Program	Type of AFFH Action / Fair Housing Issue
Program H-1.2.3. <u>Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.</u>	<u>Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability</u>
Program H-2.1.2. <u>Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.</u>	<u>Protect Existing Residents from Displacement</u>
Program H-2.2.2. <u>Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.</u>	<u>Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability</u>
Program H-2.2.3. <u>Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.</u>	<u>Promoting Housing Supply, Choices, and Affordability</u>
Program H-2.3.1. <u>Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.</u>	<u>Place-based Strategies to Encourage Community Conservation and Revitalization</u>
Program H-3.1.1. <u>Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.</u>	<u>Housing Mobility</u>
Program H-3.1.2. <u>Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.</u>	<u>Housing Mobility</u>
Program H-3.1.3. <u>Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.</u>	<u>Housing Mobility</u>
Program H-3.2.1. <u>Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.</u>	<u>Protect Existing Residents from Displacement</u>
Program H-3.2.2. <u>Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.</u>	<u>Protect Existing Residents from Displacement</u>

Table AF: Alignment of AFFH Programs with HCD Guidance

<u>Housing Element Program</u>	<u>Type of AFFH Action / Fair Housing Issue</u>
<u>Program H-3.2.3. Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.</u>	<u>Protect Existing Residents from Displacement</u>

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix

<u>Housing Element Program</u>	<u>Contributing Factor(s)</u>	<u>Priority</u>
<u>Program H-1.2.3. Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.</u>	<u>Need for affordable housing options based on housing needs allocation and housing needs assessment</u>	<u>High</u>
<u>Program H-2.1.2. Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.</u>	<u>Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3)</u>	<u>High</u>
<u>Program H-2.2.2. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.</u>	<u>Access to opportunities for persons with disabilities due to underimproved housing stock potentially resultant of financial or physical challenges</u>	<u>Medium</u>
<u>Program H-2.2.3. Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.</u>	<u>Access to opportunities for persons with special needs due to underimproved housing stock potentially resultant of financial or physical challenges</u>	<u>Medium</u>
<u>Program H-2.3.1. Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.</u>	<u>Age of housing stock; intent to help promote the existing good condition and upkeep of housing stock</u>	<u>Medium</u>
<u>Program H-3.1.1. Improve pedestrian accessibility on sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, and other public property connecting housing with transit stops, public buildings, and businesses.</u>	<u>Useful life of improvements; evolving needs based on the nature of connecting uses and pedestrian behavior.</u>	<u>Medium</u>
<u>Program H-3.1.2. Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mobility counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.</u>	<u>Existing age and income restrictions; changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.</u>	<u>Medium</u>
<u>Program H-3.1.3. Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees, or providing other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics, including persons of any race, color,</u>	<u>Need for affordable housing options based on housing needs allocation and housing needs assessment; existing age and income restrictions.</u>	<u>High</u>

Table AG: Contributing Factors for AFFH Programs Matrix

Housing Element Program	Contributing Factor(s)	Priority
<u>religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.</u>		
Program H-3.2.1. <u>Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.</u>	<u>Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3); changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.</u>	<u>Medium</u>
Program H-3.2.2. <u>Pursue partnerships and seek funding to provide Laguna Woods-based housing mediation, foreclosure assistance, and multilingual tenant legal counseling services. If feasible and economical, implement such services.</u>	<u>Displacement risk for existing lower-income residents due to economic pressures/motivators on property owners/managers (see also Section 1.3.7.3); changing financial circumstances due to age, employment status, and economic pressures.</u>	<u>Medium</u>
Program H-3.2.3. <u>Investigate potential hazard mitigation measures that would reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of residential displacement as a result of future disasters. If feasible and economical, implement such hazard mitigation measures.</u>	<u>Displacement risk for existing residents due to disaster-related damage (see also Section 1.3.7.3); age of housing stock.</u>	<u>High</u>

1.5 REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS

State law requires all regional councils of governments to determine the existing and projected housing need for its region and determine the portion allocated to each jurisdiction. This is known as the RHNA process. State Housing Element law requires that each city and county develop local housing programs to meet its “fair share” of existing and future housing needs for all income groups, as determined by the jurisdiction’s Council of Governments. This fair-share allocation concept seeks to ensure that each jurisdiction accepts responsibility for the housing needs not only of its resident population but also for the jurisdiction’s projected share of regional housing growth across all income categories. Regional growth needs are defined as the number of units that would have to be added in each jurisdiction to accommodate the forecasted number of households, as well as the number of units that would have to be added to compensate for anticipated demolitions and changes to achieve an “ideal” vacancy rate.

In the six-county Southern California region, which includes Laguna Woods, the agency responsible for assigning these regional housing needs to each jurisdiction is SCAG. The regional growth allocation process begins with the California Department of Finance’s projection of statewide housing demand for a 5-year planning period, which is then apportioned by HCD among each of the State’s official regions. SCAG has determined the projected housing need for its region for the 2021 to 2029 Housing Element cycle and has allocated this housing need to each jurisdiction by income category through the RHNA process. The RHNA represents the minimum number of housing units each community is required to provide “adequate sites” for through zoning, and is one of the primary threshold criteria necessary to achieve HCD certification of the Housing Element.

In allocating the region’s future housing needs to jurisdictions, SCAG is required to take the following factors into consideration:

- Market demand for housing
- Employment opportunities
- Availability of suitable sites and public facilities
- Commuting patterns
- Type and tenure of housing
- Loss of units in assisted housing developments
- Overconcentration of lower-income households
- Geological and topographical constraints

As defined by the RHNA process, Laguna Woods’ new construction need for the 2021 to 2029 planning period has been established at 997 new units, distributed among the four income categories as shown in Table A~~HFB~~. Of the 127 Very Low Income units included in the City’s RHNA, approximately 50 percent (or 63 units) should be made available to Extremely Low Income households. The City will continue to provide sites for a mix of single-family, multifamily, and mixed-use housing, supported by a variety of programs to enhance affordability, to accommodate its RHNA and contribute toward addressing the growing demand for housing in the Southern California region.

Table A~~HFB~~: Regional Housing Needs Assessment, 2021–2029

Income Level	Number of Units	Percent of Total RHNA
Very Low* (<50% of AMI)	127	12.7%
Low (50-80% of AMI)	136	13.6%
Moderate (80-120% of AMI)	192	19.3%
Above Moderate (>120% of AMI)	542	54.4%
Total	997	100%

* Based on current household income data presented in Table G, the City estimates that 63 (or approximately 50 percent) of the 127 units included in its Very Low Income allocation should be made available to Extremely Low Income households.

AMI = Annual Median Income

EXHIBIT B
CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

REDLINE

LSA

August~~January~~ 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

~~August~~ January 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
FIGURES AND TABLES	ii
List of Abbreviations and acronyms	iii
1.0 HOUSING CONSTRAINTS.....	1
2.0 GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS.....	3
2.1 Land Use Controls	3
2.2 Residential Development Standards	7
2.2.1 Affordable Housing Density Bonus	8
2.2.2 Small-Lot Development	9
2.2.3 Special-Purpose Zoning Districts	9
2.3 Provision for a Variety of Housing Types	9
2.3.1 Single-Family Housing.....	9
2.3.2 Multifamily Housing	9
2.3.3 Live/Work Units	10
2.3.4 Senior Housing.....	10
2.3.5 Manufactured Housing and Mobile Homes	11
2.3.6 Accessory Dwelling Units.....	11
2.3.7 Residential Care Facilities	12
2.3.8 Single Room Occupancy	13
2.3.9 Emergency Shelters and Low Barrier Navigation Centers	13
2.3.10 Transitional Housing and Supportive Housing.....	16
2.3.11 Employee Housing.....	17
2.4 Housing for Persons with Disabilities.....	17
2.4.1 Zoning and Land Use	17 18
2.4.2 Building Codes	20 19
2.4.3 Conclusion	21 20
2.5 Site Improvements	20 2121
2.6 Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees	22 21
2.7 Local Processing and Permit Procedures	22 2626
2.7.1 Site Development Permit	27 23
2.7.2 Conditional Use Permit.....	23 228
2.8 Building Codes.....	24 2930
2.9 Federal and State Regulations	25 3032
2.9.1 State Prevailing Wage Requirements	25 3032
2.9.2 Environmental Protection	25 3032
2.9.3 State Transparency Requirements	25 3132
3.0 MARKET CONSTRAINTS	273334
3.1 Availability of Financing	27 3334
3.2 Foreclosures	27 3334
3.3 Development Costs	28 3435
3.3.1 Land Availability and Cost.....	28 3435
3.3.2 Cost of Construction	28 3435

4.0 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS	313738
4.1 Water	313738
4.2 Wastewater	313738
4.3 Transportation Infrastructure.....	323839
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS	354142
5.1 Flooding	354142
5.2 Seismic and Geologic Hazards	354142
5.3 Wildfires.....	364243
5.4 Noise	364243

FIGURE

Figure 1: Zoning Map	5
----------------------------	---

TABLES

Table A: Residential Land Use Designations	3
Table B: Residential Development Standards.....	7
Table C: Residential Parking Requirements	8
Table D: Housing Types Per Zoning Code	10
Table E: Development Standards for the Community Facilities Zoning Districts	14
Table F: Current Residential Planning/Zoning Fees	22
Table G: Comparison of Residential Planning/Zoning Fees	23
Table H: Prototypical Multi-Family Residential Project Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees	25
Table I: Prototypical Single-Family Home Project Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees	25
Table J: Typical Permit Processing Timelines	28
Table K: Disposition of Home Purchase and Improvement Loan Applications (2019)	34
Table L: San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Development Impact Fees (2022)	40
Table A: Residential Land Use Designations	3
Table B: Residential Development Standards.....	7
Table C: Residential Parking Requirements	8
Table D: Housing Types Per Zoning Code	10
Table E: Development Standards for the Community Facilities Zoning Districts	14
Table F: Current Residential Development Processing Fees.....	21
Table G: Comparison of Processing Fees	21
Table H: Typical Permit Processing Timelines.....	22
Table I: Disposition of Home Purchase and Improvement Loan Applications (2019)	27
Table J: San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Development Impact Fees (2021)	33

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
ADU	accessory dwelling unit
<u>CAL FIRE</u>	<u>California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection</u>
CBC	California Building Code
CC	Community Commercial
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CF-P	Community Facilities—Private
CF-PI	Community Facilities—Public/Institutional
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
City	City of Laguna Woods
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
ETWD	El Toro Water District
FAR	floor area ratio
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
ft	foot/feet
<u>HCD</u>	<u>Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California</u>
HMDA	Home Mortgage Disclosure Act
<u>I-5</u>	<u>Interstate 5</u>
Lanterman Act	Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act
MWD	<u>Metropolitan Water District</u>
<u>OCFA</u>	<u>Orange County Fire Authority</u>
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
RC	Residential Community
RT	Residential Towers
SB	Senate Bill
<u>SDP</u>	<u>Site Development Permit</u>
sf	square foot/feet

SFHA	<u>Special Flood Hazard Area</u>
SJHTCA	<u>San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency</u>
SRO	Single Room Occupancy
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
WRP	Water Recycling Plant
<u>WTP</u>	<u>Wastewater Treatment Plant</u>

REDLINE

~~This page intentionally left blank~~

REDLINE

REDLINE

1.0 HOUSING CONSTRAINTS

The City of Laguna Woods' (City) Housing Element seeks to ensure the provision of adequate housing to meet the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community. Many factors, including market mechanisms, government regulations and policies, and infrastructure and environmental constraints, can constrain the development, maintenance, and improvement of housing. This Constraints Analysis addresses the potential and actual governmental constraints upon the development, maintenance, or improvement of housing that may affect the supply and cost of housing in Laguna Woods, as required by Government Code Section 65583(a).¹

REDLINE

¹ California Department of Housing and Community Development. 2021. Codes and Enforcement of Onsite/ Offsite Improvement Standards. Website: <https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/building-blocks/constraints/codes-and-enforcement-on-offsite-improvement-standards.shtml> (accessed June 11, 2021).

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

2.0 GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

Actions or policies of governmental agencies, whether involved directly or indirectly in the housing market, can impact the ability of the development community to provide adequate housing to meet consumer demands. For example, the impact of federal monetary policies and the budgeting and funding policies of governmental agencies can either stimulate or depress various aspects of the housing industry. State and local government compliance, and the enactment of sanctions for noncompliance, with federal laws may also have an impact on the housing industry.

State and local government compliance with State laws can complicate the development of housing. Statutes such as the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and rezoning and General Plan amendment procedures required by the Government Code can prolong the review and approval of development proposals by local governments. In many instances, compliance with such mandates includes time constraints that cannot be altered by local governments.

City policies can also impact the price and availability of housing. Land use controls, site improvement requirements, building codes, fees, and other local programs to improve the overall quality of housing may serve as constraints to housing development.

2.1 LAND USE CONTROLS

The City's General Plan and Zoning Code provide for a range of residential land use designations and zoning districts. The City's Zoning Map is included as Figure 1. Land use designations that allow for residential development are presented in Table A.

Table A: Residential Land Use Designations

General Plan Land Use Designation	Max. Density	Description
Low Density Residential	<10 du/ac	Includes all dwelling units equipped for independent living (i.e., kitchen, bathroom, and sleeping quarters). Facilities intended for transient living, such as hotels and motels and hospitals and skilled nursing units, are not typically included.
Medium Density Residential	10–12 du/ac	
High Density Residential	13–35 du/ac	
Residential Community	<10 du/ac or 10-12 du/ac depending on location	Encompasses nearly all of the Laguna Woods Village planned residential community with the exception of Rossmoor Towers. Integrates a mix of single-family detached, single-family attached, two family, and multi-family residential, with supporting country clubs, parks, community services, local-serving noncommercial services, and open spaces. Common areas are managed by private nonprofit community associations.

Source: Land Use Element (City of Laguna Woods 2017).
du/ac= dwelling unit(s) per acre
max. = maximum

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

Figure 1: Zoning Map

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

2.2 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

The City's Zoning Code contains development standards for each zoning district consistent with the land use designations of the General Plan. The Zoning Code establishes development standards for each zoning district to ensure quality development and reduce the potential for land use conflicts. Residential development standards are summarized in Table B.

Table B: Residential Development Standards

Development Standard	Residential Multifamily (RMF)	Residential Community (RC)	Residential Towers (RT)
Maximum Building Height (ft)	65	40	None
Minimum Building Site Area (sf)	7,200	None	None
Minimum Area per Unit (sf)	1,000 ¹	None ²	None ²
Minimum Setback (ft)			
Front Yard	20	None ³	None
Side Yard from ROW	5	None ³	None
Rear Yard from ROW	25	None ³	None
Side Yard not abutting ROW	5	None ³	None
Rear Yard not abutting ROW	25 ⁴	None ³	None
Distance between Principal Structures (ft)	10	None ³	None
Maximum Building Site Coverage	50%	50% ⁵	None ²

Source: Zoning Ordinance (City of Laguna Woods 2020).

- ¹ The minimum per dwelling unit is based on the net land area.
- ² There shall be no minimum lot size. The total number of residential units permitted within the planning unit shall not be exceeded, as was shown on the statistical analysis for the original planned community, as amended. The dwelling densities as categorized in the City's General Plan as low-density, medium-density, and high-density shall not be exceeded. The limitations contained within the General Plan shall take precedence over any discrepancies that may exist as compared to the statistical analysis for the planning units.
- ³ Required yards and distances between building structures are waived.
- ⁴ In computing the depth of a rear setback from any building where such setback opens on an alley, private street, or public park, one-half of the width of such alley, street, or park may be deemed to be a portion of the rear setback, except that under this provision, no rear setback shall be less than 15 ft.
- ⁵ The building site coverage shall not exceed 50 percent of the land area contained within each recorded tract, exclusive of dedicated ROW. Swimming pool coverage shall not be considered as building site coverage.

City = City of Laguna Woods

ft = foot/ feet

ROW = right-of-way

sf = square foot/feet

The cumulative effect of the City's residential development standards does not constrain the expansion of housing opportunities. Density standards are consistent with the densities established for General Plan land use categories. The setback requirements provide minimal light and air for development, are typical in the region, and do not unreasonably constrain housing opportunities.

All residential uses are currently required to provide the number of parking spaces as outlined in Table C. Developers of affordable and senior housing who are eligible for a density bonus pursuant to Government Code Sections 65919 through 65918 are eligible to use parking standards established by State law. Density bonus provisions are discussed in more detail later in this section.

Table C: Residential Parking Requirements

Use	Parking Requirement
Attached or detached single-family dwellings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● 2 covered parking spaces for each dwelling unit.
Two or more dwelling units on one building site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Studio to 1-bedroom: 1.5 parking spaces for each dwelling unit ● 2-bedroom: 2 parking spaces for each dwelling unit ● 3-bedroom and up: 2.5 parking spaces for each dwelling unit plus 0.5 parking space for each bedroom in excess.
Multifamily dwelling units (five or more dwelling units)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Each dwelling unit shall be assigned at least one standard-size parking space. ● Additional unassigned parking spaces shall be provided using the following formula, which is based on the size of each unit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <700 sf: 0 ○ 701–800 sf: +0.17 ○ 801–900 sf: +0.34 ○ 901–1,000 sf: +0.50 ○ 1,001–1,100 sf: +0.67 ○ 1,101–1,200 sf: +0.84 ○ 1,201–1,300 sf: +1.0 ○ 1,301–1,400 sf: +1.18 ○ 1,401–1,500 sf: +1.34 ○ >1,500 sf: +1.5 ● 1 guest parking space per every 4 dwelling units, rounded up to the next nearest whole number, shall be provided.

Source: Zoning Code (City of Laguna Woods 2020).
sf = square foot/feet

The City monitors its development standards and their impact on development. Periodically, the City will amend the Zoning Code to ensure that development standards respond to market trends. The City has adopted other provisions in the Zoning Code that facilitate a range of residential development types and encourage affordable housing, as discussed below.

2.2.1 Affordable Housing Density Bonus

Section 13.26.040 of the City’s Zoning Code provides the details of the residential density bonus provision, which is intended to provide incentives for the production of housing for very low-income, lower-income, or senior households in accordance with California Government Code Sections 65915 and 65917. On September 16, 2020, the City amended its Density Bonus Ordinance to ensure compliance with the current State law in effect at that time. Assembly Bill (AB) 1763 made a number of changes to density bonus requirements for affordable projects. The bill requires a density bonus to be granted for projects that include 100 percent lower-income units, but allows up to 20 percent of total units in a project that qualifies for a density bonus to be for moderate-income households. Under the revised law, density bonus projects must be allowed four incentives or concessions, and for developments within 0.5 mile of a major transit stop, a height increase of up to three additional stories or 33 feet (ft). A density bonus of 80 percent is required for most projects, with no limitations on density placed on projects within 0.5 mile of a major transit stop. The bill also allows developers to request the elimination of minimum parking requirements for rental units affordable to lower-income families that are either supportive housing or special-needs housing, as defined. AB 2345, which was signed in September 2020, further incentivizes the production of

affordable housing. The City Council adopted updated residential density bonus standards regulations in December 2021.

In 2008, the San Sebastian senior apartments were completed with 17 units affordable to low-income residents. The development utilized a density bonus, and, as a result, the affordable units are subject to deed restrictions, which will ensure continued affordability through October 16, 2054.

2.2.2 Small-Lot Development

The City has not established any zoning districts that are intended to specifically facilitate small-lot residential development; however, the City's Zoning Code does not require minimum lot sizes in the Residential Community (RC) and Residential Tower (RT) zoning districts.

2.2.3 Special-Purpose Zoning Districts

Special-purpose zoning districts permit design and development standards to be established that are tailor-made for planned project areas with unique attributes. The City's Zoning Code includes the RC zoning district, which provides for the development and preservation of planned unit developments with a mixture of single-family attached and detached homes and multifamily homes. Neighborhoods in the RC district are characterized by controlled physical access and specialized recreation facilities. Setbacks and other development standards are to be tailored specifically to each project by means of a precise development plan that is approved with the project.

2.3 PROVISION FOR A VARIETY OF HOUSING TYPES

State Housing Element law specifies that local governments must identify adequate sites to be made available through appropriate zoning and development standards to encourage the development of various types of housing for all economic segments of the population, including multifamily residential housing, factory-built housing, emergency shelters, transitional housing, and supportive housing. Table D summarizes the housing types permitted in each of the City's zoning districts.

2.3.1 Single-Family Housing

Single-family housing is permitted by right in the Residential Multifamily (RMF) and RC districts. As outlined in the City's Zoning Code, standard development in the RC district shall be predominantly of multiunit, multistoried structures and single-family, one-story structures in an arrangement of attached or detached dwellings and their accessory structures.

2.3.2 Multifamily Housing

Multifamily housing is permitted by right in the RMF, RC, and RT districts. The RMF zoning district is intended to provide for the development and preservation of high-density multifamily residential neighborhoods with a moderate amount of open space. Care is taken to ensure that uses approved in the RMF zoning district are compatible with surrounding residential neighborhoods. RMF-zoned properties are located in three areas of Laguna Woods off major roadways. The RC district was created to provide for the development and preservation of planned unit developments with a mixture of single-family attached and detached homes, as well as multifamily homes.

Table D: Housing Types Per Zoning Code

Land Use Types	Zoning District		
	Residential Multifamily (RMF)	Residential Community (RC)	Residential Towers (RT)
Accessory Building/Use	A	A	A
Accessory Dwelling Unit	P	P	P
Condominiums/Stock Cooperatives	U	U	U
Community Apartment Projects	U	U	U
Community Care Facilities (<6 persons)	P	P	P
Congregate Care Facility	U	U	U
Duplex	P	U	X
Dwelling, Multiple-Family	P	P	P
Dwelling, Single-Family	P	P	X
Emergency and Transitional Housing Shelters	X	X	X
Guesthouse	X	A	X
Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit	P	P	P
Mobile Home	P	P	X
Mobile Home Development	U	U	X
Planned Unit Development	U	U	U
Residential Tract Sales & Rentals	T/SE	T/SE	T/SE
Supportive Housing	P	P	P
Transitional Housing	P	P	P

Source: Zoning Code (City of Laguna Woods 2020).

A = Permitted only as an accessory to a Principal Use

P = Permitted as a Principal Use

T/SE = Permitted with an approved Temporary Use/Special Event permit

U = Permitted with an approved use permit

X = Prohibited

RC-zoned properties encompass most of the land within Laguna Woods, reflecting its planned community heritage. The RT district was established to provide for the development and preservation of high-rise multifamily structures. RT-zoned properties exist in one small area in the western portion of Laguna Woods. Additional development standards are applicable to multifamily housing with more than five dwelling units on site, including standards related to circulation, lighting, waste disposal, and infrastructure.

2.3.3 Live/Work Units

The City’s Zoning Code does not currently include any zoning districts that allow for live/work units.

2.3.4 Senior Housing

Section 13.06.010 of the City’s Zoning Code defines senior citizen housing as “a residential development consisting of at least 35 dwelling units which is developed for, or substantially rehabilitated or renovated for, senior citizens.” Additionally, California Civil Code Section 51.3 defines “senior citizen” as a person 62 years of age or older, or 55 years of age or older, in a senior citizen housing development, and “senior citizen development” as a residential development developed, substantially rehabilitated, or substantially renovated for senior citizens that has at least 35 dwelling units.

Section 13.26.030 of the City's Zoning Code, which provides details about housing incentive use permits for affordable or senior citizen housing, states that in any zoning district that permits residential uses, a housing incentive use permit application to permit more dwelling units than allowed by zoning, and/or establish special site development standards, may be approved for the purposes of facilitating affordable and/or senior citizen housing developments.

2.3.5 Manufactured Housing and Mobile Homes

Manufactured housing and mobile homes offer an affordable housing option for many low- and moderate-income households. Manufactured housing is permitted by right in all zoning districts that permit single-family dwelling units, as required by State law. Mobile homes are permitted in the RMF and RC districts, and mobile home parks are permitted in those same zoning districts with an approved use permit. Mobile home developments are subject to additional development standards not related to the use; instead, the standards relate to landscape screening and design. According to California Department of Finance estimates, there were no mobile homes in Laguna Woods as of January 2020.²

According to the National Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Act of 1974, a manufactured home built and certified after June 15, ~~1976~~1976, and constructed on a permanent foundation may be located in any residential zoning district where a conventional single-family detached dwelling is permitted subject to the same restrictions on density and to the same property development regulations. Manufactured homes are currently allowed in all residential zoning districts, subject to foundational regulations found in Government Code Section 65852.3. Manufactured housing is treated the same as single-family dwellings and is subject to the same property development standards and permitting process. The City's Zoning Code requires manufactured housing to be architecturally compatible (roofing overhangs, roofing materials, exterior siding, stucco, etc.) with single-family dwellings.

2.3.6 Accessory Dwelling Units

Per Government Code Section 65852.2, an "accessory dwelling unit" (ADU) is defined as "an attached or a detached residential dwelling unit that provides complete independent living facilities for one or more persons and is located on a lot with a proposed or existing primary residence. It shall include permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation on the same parcel as the single-family or multifamily dwelling is or will be situated." ADUs may be an alternative source of affordable housing for lower-income households and seniors.

The City has updated its Zoning Code to permit ADUs by right if they are contained within the space of a proposed or existing single-family dwelling or accessory structure, or existing multifamily dwelling. This update to the City's Zoning Code was made to comply with State requirements, with Government Code Section 65852.2 used as a baseline for the amendments.

² California Department of Finance. 2020. E-5 Population and Housing Unit Estimates. Website: <https://www.dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Estimates/E-5/> (accessed June 11, 2021).

Recent State legislation, including AB 68, AB 587, AB 881, and Senate Bill (SB) 13, addresses standards and regulations for ADUs. The bills modified the fees, application process, and development standards for ADUs, with the goal of lowering barriers to ADU development and increasing overall numbers of ADUs. Key provisions include the following:

- Prohibiting standards related to lot coverage standards, lot size, floor area ratio (FAR), or open space that have the effect of limiting ADU development
- Allowing ADUs within or attached to attached garages, storage areas, or accessory structures
- Removing requirements to replace parking when a garage or carport is demolished to develop an ADU
- Prohibiting maximum sizes for ADUs that are less than 850 square feet (sf) (1,000 sf for units with two or more bedrooms)

The City last updated its Zoning Code with regard to ADUs in 2020 to address the requirements of AB 68, AB 587, AB 881, and SB 13.

2.3.7 Residential Care Facilities

The Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act (Lanterman Act) is a State law that sets out the rights of persons with developmental disabilities. The Lanterman Act impacts local zoning ordinances by requiring the use of property for the care of six or fewer disabled persons to be classified as a residential use, permitted by right, under zoning provisions. More specifically, a state-authorized, certified, or licensed family care home, foster home, or a group home serving six or fewer disabled persons or dependent and neglected children on a 24-hour-a-day basis is considered a residential use that is to be permitted in all residential zoning districts. No local government can impose stricter zoning or building and safety standards on these homes. Due to the unique characteristics of larger (more than six persons) residential care facilities, most local governments require a discretionary use permit to ensure neighborhood compatibility in the siting of these facilities.

The City's Zoning Code accommodates both large and small residential care facilities. The City facilitates and encourages the development of residential care facilities by treating licensed facilities that serve six or fewer persons as standard residential uses, consistent with State law. As such, community care facilities are permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. Additionally, community care facilities serving seven to 12 persons, except for large family day care homes, are permitted in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential use, subject to the issuance of a use permit. The use permit is intended to ensure that the development is consistent with applicable zoning. In a supportive housing development, housing can be coupled with social services such as job training, alcohol and drug abuse programs, and case management for populations in need of assistance, such as the homeless, those suffering from mental illness or substance abuse problems, and the elderly or medically frail. Because supportive housing is a residential use, it would be treated as such in the development process whether the supportive housing development consisted of single-family detached units or apartment buildings. Similar to

other multifamily developments, the required use permit process is intended to establish appropriate and unique development standards for residential development in the RC and RT zoning districts, as the zoning regulations contain few standards. This allows for greater specificity in development standards.

Review of the California State Community Care Licensing Division inventory of community care facilities identifies two residential care facilities in Laguna Woods. These facilities are for individuals who require 24-hour supervision but who do not generally need medical care beyond routine health checks and medication monitoring. Las Palmas is a licensed residential care community with 184 rental units, and the Regency is a licensed residential care community that consists of 192 rental units and offers both independent and assisted living on a month-to-month basis. The Regency is the only residential community that, under unique circumstances, will accommodate individuals younger than 55 within its assisted living facility.

2.3.8 Single Room Occupancy

Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities are small, one-room units occupied by a single individual and may either have shared or private kitchen and bathroom facilities. SROs are rented on a monthly basis typically without rental deposit and can provide an entry point into the housing market for extremely low-income individuals, formerly homeless persons, and disabled persons.

The City has adopted provisions in its Zoning Code (Section 13.26.170) to accommodate and regulate the establishment of SRO uses. These provisions include the following requirements:

- In the absence of findings as set forth in alternatives to off-street parking requirements, provision of one-half parking space for each guest unit, plus one space for each employee
- Submittal of a management plan outlining management policies, operations, emergency procedures, a security program, rental procedures, maintenance plans, and staffing as part of the use permit application
- Provision of an on-site manager on a 24-hour basis
- Provision of a single manager's unit, which shall be designed as a complete residential unit and be a minimum of 225 sf in size.

SRO uses are permitted in any zoning district or specific plan area zoned for hotels, subject to the approval of a use permit. Furthermore, the City's Zoning Code states that SROs will be treated as nonresidential uses.

2.3.9 Emergency Shelters and Low Barrier Navigation Centers

An emergency shelter is a facility that provides shelter to homeless families and/or homeless individuals on a limited short-term basis. According to the 2019 Point-in-Time Count for Orange

County,³ there were five unsheltered people living in homelessness in Laguna Woods. State law requires emergency shelters to be permitted by right in at least one zoning district where adequate capacity is available to accommodate at least one year-round shelter. The City’s Zoning Code was amended in 2011 to include regulations pursuant to State law for emergency and transitional housing.

The City’s Zoning Code Section 13.23.010 was also amended in 2018 to permit emergency shelters in the Community Facilities – Public/Institutional (CF-P/I) and Community Facilities – Private (CF-P) zoning districts as a by-right use. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts encompass approximately 17.805 acres of land across five sites – four places of worship with surface parking lots and interior space suitable for conversion to emergency shelters, and Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA) Fire Station No. 22. There is sufficient capacity to accommodate one year-round shelter housing five people, as required by State law. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts were determined to be the most conducive to provision of emergency shelters by right since the zoning districts are allowed a uses that would facilitate the provision of government and social services to the community. The zoning district is appropriate for schools, hospitals, cultural venues, churches, temples and places of worship, which all require large facilities with capabilities to serve large amounts of people at a time.

Table E provides the development standards that apply to the CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts.

Table E: Development Standards for the Community Facilities Zoning Districts

Development Standard	CF-P	CF-P/I
Maximum Building Height (ft)	40	40
Minimum Building Site Area (sq ft)	—	—
Minimum Building Site Width (ft)	—	—
Minimum Perimeter Setback (ft)		
From Street ROW	20	20
From Alley	10	0
From Residential Districts	10	10
From Nonresidential Districts	0	0
Maximum FAR	0.3	0.3
Maximum Building Site Coverage	None	None
Parking	See Code Section 13.16.300-400	
Landscaping	See Code Section 13.16.250	
Screening	See Code Section 13.16.240	
Signs	See Code Section 13.16.410-530	
Waste Management/Hazardous Materials	See Code Section 13.20.200	

Source: Laguna Woods Municipal Code Section 13.13.030.

FAR = floor area ratio
ft = foot/feet

ROW = right-of-way
sq ft = square foot/feet

³ United to End Homelessness. Point-In Time Count. 2019. Website: <https://www.unitedtoendhomelessness.org/2019-point-in-time-count> (accessed April 29, 2021).

In addition to application of the CF-P/I and CF-P district development standards, pursuant to Government Code Section 65583, the City can also specify written, objective standards to regulate the following aspects of emergency shelters to enhance compatibility:

1. **Location and Separation:** Emergency shelters shall be situated more than 300 ft from another emergency shelter.
2. **Physical Characteristics:**
 - a. The maximum number of beds for emergency shelters shall be 20 unless a larger number is approved through a conditional use permit.
 - b. The maximum number of beds does not apply in situations of citywide or statewide designated disasters or catastrophic conditions as determined by the City Council or City Manager.
 - c. Emergency shelters shall have adequate private living space, shower and toilet facilities, and secure storage areas for its intended clients.
 - d. All on-site waiting and client intake areas shall be located in the interior of the emergency shelter and shall be of sufficient size to prevent any such activities from occurring in the exterior of the emergency shelter.

The CF-P and CF-P/I designation has been applied to areas along El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway. The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts have high access to public transit services. The Orange County Transportation Authority has two local routes, Routes 90 and 89, which provide regional access along the public streets located immediately adjacent to the CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts. Therefore, these sites have reasonable access to public transit.

The City provides adequate and accessible sidewalks, vehicular access, and bicycle access to the CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts.

The CF-P/I and CF-P zoning districts are surrounded by residential, open space, and/or community commercial land uses, and are therefore not located in close proximity to any uses that would create hazardous conditions or conditions inappropriate for human habitability. Current uses in the CF-P and CF-P/I zoning districts include four churches and their accompanying surrounding parking lots, and the OCFA Fire Station No. 22. These zones are conducive to building emergency shelters due to their relative sizes, their proximity to public transit and main thoroughfares, and the allowable uses on their sites.

The City's Zoning Code requires the following conditions to be met for emergency shelters in order to ensure "adequate" private living space requirements.

1. Operational Standards.

(1) If an emergency shelter includes a drug or alcohol abuse counseling component, appropriate state and/or federal licensing shall be required.

(2) Emergency shelters shall limit occupancy by each client to no more than 180 days in a 365-day period.

(3) Emergency shelters shall conduct all on-site waiting and client intake activities in the interior of the emergency shelter and prevent any such activities from occurring in the exterior of the emergency shelter.

(4) Emergency shelters shall provide on-site security during all hours of operation, including a minimum of one security guard licensed by the State of California for each 20 clients, unless alternate security arrangements are approved through a conditional use permit.

(5) Emergency shelters shall provide on-site management during all hours of operation, including a minimum of one supervisor per emergency shelter and a minimum of one additional attendant for each 20 clients, unless alternate on-site management arrangements are approved through a conditional use permit.

AB 139 requires that emergency shelters provide parking to accommodate all staff, “provided that the standards do not require more parking for emergency shelters than other residential or commercial uses within the same zone.” The City’s Zoning Code does not contain unique parking standards for emergency shelters.

AB 101 requires cities to allow a Low Barrier Navigation Center development by right in areas zoned for mixed uses and nonresidential zoning districts permitting multifamily uses if it meets specified requirements. A “Low Barrier Navigation Center” is defined as “a Housing First, low-barrier, service-enriched shelter focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities while case managers connect individuals experiencing homelessness to income, public benefits, health services, shelter, and housing.” Low Barrier Navigation Centers may include options such as allowing pets, permitting partners to share living space, and providing storage for residents’ possessions. AB 101 also sets a timeline for jurisdictions to act on applications for Low Barrier Navigation Center developments. The requirements of this bill are effective through the end of 2026, at which point they are repealed.

2.3.10 Transitional Housing and Supportive Housing

Health and Safety Code Section 50675.2 defines “transitional housing” and “transitional housing development” as buildings configured as rental housing developments but operated under program requirements that call for the termination of assistance and recirculation of the assisted unit to another eligible program recipient at some predetermined future point in time, which shall be no less than six months. Residents of transitional housing are usually connected to supportive services designed to assist the homeless in achieving greater economic independence and a permanent,

stable living situation. Transitional housing can take several forms, including group quarters with beds, single-family homes, and multifamily apartments, and typically offers case management and support services to help return people to independent living (often in six months to two years).

Government Code Section 65582 defines supportive housing as housing with no limits on the length of stay that is occupied by a “target population” and links this population with the provision of housing and social services. “Target population” means persons with low incomes who have one or more disabilities, including mental illness, HIV/AIDS, substance abuse, or other chronic health condition, or individuals eligible for services provided pursuant to the Lanterman Act (Division 4.5 [commencing with Section 4500] of the Welfare and Institutions Code) and may include, among other populations, adults, emancipated minors, families with children, elderly persons, young adults aging out of the foster care system, individuals exiting from institutional settings, veterans, and homeless people (Government Code Sections 65582(f) and (g)).

State law requires transitional and supportive housing to be defined as a residential use and subject only to the same regulations as comparable residential uses. The City currently permits emergency shelters by right in the Community Facilities - Public/Institutional (CF-P/I) and Community Facilities - Private (CF-P) zoning districts with the intent to provide adequate development and operational standards for such uses to ensure that the appropriate housing and services for special needs populations are met.

AB 2162 requires supportive housing projects of 50 units or fewer to be permitted by right in zoning districts where multifamily and mixed-use developments are permitted, when the development meets certain conditions. The City may choose to allow larger supportive housing projects by right in those zoning districts. The bill also prohibits minimum parking requirements for supportive housing within 0.5 mile of a public transit stop.

2.3.11 Employee Housing

The City has no land zoned for agriculture and does not contain any agricultural land uses. Further, the 2015–2019 American Community Survey estimates there are no residents who hold farming, fishing, or forestry occupations. Therefore, there is no need for farmworker housing.

Further, because the City has not adopted any ordinances relating to employee housing, it does not anticipate that any other facilities or employers would provide housing for their employees.

2.4 HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

Both the federal Fair Housing Act and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act impose an affirmative duty on local governments to make reasonable accommodations (i.e., modifications or exceptions) in their zoning and other land use regulations when such accommodations may be necessary to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

The City has conducted a review of zoning and building code requirements and permitting procedures to identify potential constraints for housing for persons with disabilities. The City’s policies and regulations regarding housing for persons with disabilities are described below.

2.4.1 Zoning and Land Use

Restrictive land use policies and zoning provisions can constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities.

2.4.1.1 Definition of Family

Local governments may restrict access to housing for households failing to qualify as a “family” by the definition specified in the Zoning Code. Specifically, a restrictive definition of “family” that limits the number of and differentiates between related and unrelated individuals living together may illegally limit the development and siting of group homes for persons with disabilities, but not for housing families that are similarly sized or situated.⁴

Section 13.06.010(d)(395) of the Zoning Code defines “family” as “One or more persons occupying one dwelling unit. The term “family” includes the occupants of community care facilities serving six or fewer persons that are permitted or licensed by the State. The term “family” does not include occupants of a fraternity, sorority, boardinghouse, lodginghouse, club, or motel.” To accommodate disabled persons in public facilities, the City defers to the California Access Compliance Reference Manual from the Department of General Services, Division of the State Architect.

The Housing Element includes a program to amend the Zoning Code’s definition of “family” to resolve inconsistencies between the current definition and applicable state law.

2.4.1.2 Residential Care Facilities

Under the Lanterman Act, small licensed residential care facilities for six or fewer persons must be treated as regular residential uses and permitted by right in all residential zoning districts. The City permits small licensed residential care facilities in all residential zoning districts and does not have additional development standards for these facilities and is, therefore, in compliance with the Lanterman Act.

The Housing Element includes a program to amend the Zoning Code to remove the requirement for residential care facilities for seven to 12 persons to obtain a conditional use permit.

2.4.1.3 Parking Standards

Development in the City is required to meet parking standards for people with disabilities, as required by State law, including requirements for the number and design of disabled parking spaces.

⁴ California court cases (City of Santa Barbara v. Adamson, 1980 and City of Chula Vista v. Pagard, 1981, etc.) have ruled an ordinance as invalid if it defines a “family” as: (a) an individual; (b) two or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption; or (c) a group of not more than a specific number of unrelated persons as a single housekeeping unit. These cases have explained that defining a family in a manner that distinguishes between blood-related and non-blood related individuals does not serve any legitimate or useful objective or purpose recognized under the zoning and land use planning powers of a municipality, and therefore violates rights of privacy under the California Constitution.

2.4.1.4 Reasonable Accommodation

The Fair Housing Act requires that local governments provide reasonable accommodation to rules, policies, practices, and procedures where such accommodation may be necessary to afford individuals with disabilities equal opportunity to housing. To create a process for making requests for reasonable accommodation to land use and zoning decisions and procedures regulating the siting, funding, development and use of housing for people with disabilities, the City has adopted a Reasonable Accommodations Ordinance in accordance with State law (Chapter 13.15 Section 13.15.010 of the City's Zoning Code). The Reasonable Accommodations Ordinance provides for flexibility in the City's development standards to accommodate persons with disabilities.

The following findings are required to approve reasonable accommodation requests:

1. The requested accommodation is requested by or on the behalf of one or more individuals with a disability protected under the fair housing laws;
2. The requested accommodation is necessary to provide one or more individuals with a disability an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling;
3. The requested accommodation will not impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the city;
4. The requested accommodation will not result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of a city program or law, including but not limited to land use or zoning; and
5. The requested accommodation will not, under the specific facts of the case, result in a direct threat to the health and safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others.

The process to request reasonable accommodations is set forth in Section 13.15.014 of the City's Zoning Code and is streamlined to include only an application containing the following information:

1. The applicant's name, address, and telephone number;
2. Documentation that the applicant is:
 - a. An individual with a disability;
 - b. Applying on behalf of one or more individuals with a disability; or
 - c. A developer or provider of housing for one or more individuals with a disability;
3. Address of the property for which accommodation is requested;
4. The name, address, and telephone number of the property owner(s), if different from the applicant;
5. The current use of the subject property;

6. The specific basis for the claim that the applicant is considered disabled under the fair housing laws;
7. A description of the accommodation requested including reference to the zoning and development code provision, policy, or procedure from which accommodation is sought;
8. A detailed written explanation of why the requested accommodation is necessary for the individual(s) with a disability to use and enjoy the dwelling; and
9. Any other information that the Community Development Director reasonably concludes is necessary to determine whether the findings required by Section 13.15.016 can be made, so long as any request for information regarding the disability of the individuals benefited complies with fair housing law protections and the privacy rights of the individuals affected.

No application fee is required per Section 13.15.014(a) of the City's Zoning Code.

Once the Community Development Director deems an application complete, public noticing of the request for reasonable accommodation is provided as follows, in furtherance of the fifth finding required for approval (that there will be no direct threat to the health and safety of other individuals or substantial physical damage to the property of others):

- In the event that there is no approval, permit, or entitlement sought other than the request for reasonable accommodation, the notice shall be mailed to the owners of record of all properties that are immediately adjacent to the property that is the subject of the request; or
- In the event that the request is being made in conjunction with some other approval, permit or entitlement, the notice shall be transmitted along with the notice of the other proceeding.

Section 13.15.013 of the City's Zoning Code requires the Community Development Director or Community Development Department to act on complete applications within 30 days, except when further information has been requested but not yet provided by the applicant (the Zoning Code is explicit that information requested from the applicant must be consistent with fair housing laws). Determinations are provided in writing to the applicant and other parties previously noticed.

2.4.2 Building Codes

The City enforces the California Building Code (CBC), including Chapters 11A (Housing Accessibility) and 11B (Accessibility to Public Buildings, Public Accommodations, Commercial Buildings and Publicly Funded Housing), which regulate the access and adaptability of buildings to accommodate persons with disabilities. Furthermore, Government Code Section 12955.1 requires that 10 percent of the total dwelling units in multi-family buildings without elevators consisting of three or more rental units or four or more condominium units are subject to the following building standards for persons with disabilities:

- The primary entry to the dwelling unit shall be on an accessible route unless exempted by site impracticality tests.

- The public and common areas shall be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities.
- All the doors designed to allow passage into and within all premises shall be sufficiently wide to allow passage by persons in wheelchairs.
- All premises within covered multifamily dwelling units shall contain the following features of adaptable design:
 - An accessible route into and through the covered dwelling unit.
 - Light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls in accessible locations.
 - Reinforcements in bathroom walls to allow later installation of grab bars around the toilet, tub, shower stall, and shower seat, where those facilities are provided.
 - Useable kitchens and bathrooms so that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.

Compliance with the CBC, Government Code, and federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is assessed and enforced by the Building Division as a part of the building permit process. The City has not adopted any amendments to the CBC that diminish the ability to accommodate persons with disabilities.

2.4.3 Conclusion

The City has not adopted unique restrictions that constrain the development of housing for persons with disabilities nor has it adopted regulations inconsistent with applicable federal or State law. There are no City-initiated constraints on housing for persons with disabilities caused or controlled by the City. In addition, the City has adopted formalized criteria and processing procedures for reasonable accommodation applications within its Zoning Code.

2.5 SITE IMPROVEMENTS

Developers of single-family residential tracts in the City are required to install arterial and local streets; sewer and water lines; storm drainage; curbs, gutters, and sidewalks; street lighting; underground utilities; and landscaping in the public right-of-way within and adjacent to a tract, if such facilities do not already exist. In most cases, these facilities are dedicated to the City or other agencies that are responsible for ongoing maintenance. Requirements for site improvements are at a level necessary to meet the City's costs and are necessary to protect health, safety, and welfare.

The cost of required off-site improvements vary with the sales price of each dwelling unit depending on the nature of development (i.e., level of improvements required). The City may also impose development impact fees on future housing developments in order to recover costs of installing off-site improvements including upgrading the circulation system and other urban service systems to serve increased density. The developed portions of Laguna Woods have the majority of necessary infrastructure, such as streets, electrical and water facilities, already in place. However, due to the

age of the existing infrastructure, many areas where infill housing development is expected to occur may require infrastructure improvements to ensure sufficient capacity at build-out.

The City’s Zoning Code includes minimum street width standards. Private streets serving four or less parcels as access to a public street are required to provide for a minimum pavement width of 16 feet within a minimum 20 ft wide right-of-way. Private streets serving five parcels or more as access to a public street are required to provide for a minimum pavement width of 28 ft within a minimum 40 ft wide right-of-way. Sidewalks are required to not be less than 6 ft in width. Streets in residential districts requiring a building site area of 15,000 sf or more and where no sidewalks are to be installed, are required to have a right-of-way that will provide a parkway width of at least 4 ft.

2.6 PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES

~~The City collects various fees from developers to cover the costs of processing permits, including fees for planning approvals, subdivision map act approvals, environmental review, public works and plan check services, and building permits, among others. In addition to these service fees associated with development processing, the City also charges several impact fees to offset the future impact of development on parks and traffic circulation. Housing development in Laguna Woods is subject to the following types of fees and exactions: (1) permit processing fees for planning and zoning, and (2) impact fees or exactions, imposed to defray all or a portion of the public costs related to development projects.~~

~~Since Fiscal Year 2015-16, the City has retained a qualified third-party consultant to conduct an annual study of the “nexus” between the City’s planning/zoning fees and the reasonable costs of associated services. Planning/zoning fees have generally been set at the City’s reasonable cost of providing services. Profit is not a component of the City’s planning/zoning fees.~~

Table F lists ~~the City’s residential development processing~~ planning/zoning fees, based off the fee schedule that ~~is was~~ effective July 19, 2021. Prior to this update, the City’s planning/zoning fees were last updated in 2020. There were no significant increases to ~~planning/zoning development and building~~ fees.

Table F: Current Residential ~~Development Processing~~ Planning/Zoning Fees

Planning/Zoning Development Fees	Initial Deposit
Conditional Use Permit	\$4,000
Development Agreement	\$10,000
Environmental Impact Report	\$10,000
General Plan Amendment	\$10,000
Zoning Code Amendment	\$10,000
Initial Study/Negative Declaration/Mitigated Negative Declaration	\$5,000
Sign Program	\$2,500
Site Development Permit	\$4,000
Specific Plan	\$10,000
Variance	\$3,500
Zone Change	\$10,000

Sources: City of Laguna Woods (2021).

Note: ~~Unless otherwise noted, a~~All fees are the minimum initial fee for the service. The final fee is based

on actual costs, which may be less than the minimum fee (in which case, the unspent amount of the deposit is returned to the applicant) or exceed the minimum fee (in which case, supplemental deposits may be collected and, ultimately, the actual cost is collected with any unspent amount returned to the applicant).

Table G provides a comparison of the City’s planning/zoning fees with other nearby cities in the region. As shown, the City’s planning/zoning fees are generally comparable to other cities.

Table G: Comparison of Residential Planning/Zoning Processing Fees

Fee Type	Laguna Woods	Laguna Niguel	Laguna Hills	Lake Forest
Conditional Use Permit	\$4,000	\$3,800	\$8,148.07	\$2,400-5,000
Variance	\$3,500	\$3,800	\$6,293.38	\$5,000
Zone Change	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$10,522.43	\$10,000
General Plan Amendment	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$7,547.86	\$10,000
Tentative Tract Map	Varies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Preliminary Screencheck: \$1,425 (Flat) ● Filing: \$2,925 + \$25/each lot (Deposit) ● Added Lots to Filed Map: \$36/each lot (Flat) ● Changed Map: \$370 (Flat) ● Appeal to City Council: \$585 (Flat) ● Amendment to Conditions: \$155 (Flat) ● Amend Approved Map: \$1,000 + \$36/each numbered lot (Deposit) 	\$9,182.99	\$10,000
Tentative Parcel Map	Varies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Preliminary Screencheck: \$980 (Flat) ● Filing: \$2,080 (Deposit) ● Appeal to City Council: \$235 (Flat) ● Amendment to Conditions: \$155 (Flat) ● Amend Approved Map: \$1,000 + \$36/each numbered lot (Deposit) 	\$9,182.99	\$7,500

Sources: City of Laguna Woods (2020); City of Laguna Niguel (2020); City of Laguna Hills (2020); City of Lake Forest (2020).

Note: The fees listed above are generally approximated base fees or deposits; if the cost of providing the service exceeds the base fee or deposit, the balance is collected from the applicant.

In addition to planning/zoning fees, the City is required to collect development impact fees on behalf of the County of Orange and the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency (SJHTCA). These fees are established independent of the City. A summary of fees as of July 2022 follows.

- **Coastal Area Road Improvements and Traffic Signals Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the County of Orange prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$2,989/unit. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$2,392/unit.
- **Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the County of Orange prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$615/unit. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$360/unit. The County of Orange intends to end this fee program in the near future.
- **San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program** – The City is required to collect this fee on behalf of the SJHTCA prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects only. Fees vary

based on whether a project is located in “Zone A” or “Zone B,” as defined by the SJHTCA (a map is available at <https://www.thetollroads.com/about-tca/development-impact-fee-dif-program/>). For new single-family residential development projects, the fee is \$6,211/unit in Zone A and \$4,814/unit in Zone B. For new multi-family residential development projects, the fee is \$3,618/unit in Zone A and \$2,808/unit in Zone B.

Other government agencies collect development impact fees directly from applicants. Again, these fees are established independent of the City. A summary of common fees as of July 2022 follows.

- **Capistrano Unified School District** – Applicants are required to pay a fee directly to the Capistrano Unified School District prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects. The fee only applies if the project is located within the Capistrano Unified School District’s boundaries (a map is available at <https://www.capousd.org/Schools/School-Locator/index.html>). The fee is \$4.08 per square foot.
- **Saddleback Valley Unified School District** – Applicants are required to pay a fee directly to the Saddleback Valley Unified School District prior to City building permit issuance for new development projects and additions over 500 square feet. This fee only applies if the project is located within the Saddleback Valley Unified School District’s boundaries (a map is available at <https://www.svusd.org/about/school-boundaries>). The fee is \$4.79 per square foot.
- **El Toro Water District (ETWD)** – Applicants are required to pay a capital facilities fee directly to the ETWD prior to service being provided for new residential development projects. This fee varies based on meter size, but ranges from \$2,145 to \$21,856 per meter, and the number of gallons of sewage to be discharged to the ETWD’s system each day times \$9.311.

In general, ~~planning/zoning and development impact~~ fees can ~~be a constraint on~~ housing development and compromise affordability because the additional cost borne by developers contributes to overall increased housing unit cost. However, the City’s ~~fees are necessary to maintain adequate planning services and other public services and facilities~~ planning/zoning fees are comparable to nearby cities and assessed only to recover reasonable costs of providing services (profit is not a component and the deposit-based fee structure allows for only actual costs to be charged). Development impact fees affecting residential development projects in Laguna Woods are outside of the City’s control. The City does not collect development impact fees of its own creation.

As noted elsewhere in this Constraints Analysis, the City has not processed a residential development application since the San Sebastian Apartments in the mid-2000s. Since that date, the City has evaluated the adequacy and rationality of its planning/zoning fees, and made changes to recover its reasonable costs of providing services, as allowed by state law. While some ~~building~~ planning/zoning fees have increased as a result of this evaluation, others have been eliminated. For example, the City no longer collects parkland dedication (Quimby Act) fees nor library development fees on behalf of the Orange County Library District. In addition, the County of Orange intends to end the Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Road Fee Program in the near future ~~as early as June 30, 2022, thereby eliminating those fees. Therefore, there is a strong~~

likelihood that current development fees for a similar residential project would actually be the same or lower than what was assessed for the San Sebastian Apartments project. Due to the City's current fee structure, this would particularly be true if several of the requisite building inspections were consolidated.

Using the San Sebastian Apartments project as a representative example of multi-family residential development activity, Table H contains City staff's estimate of planning/zoning and development impact fees assuming the project were built today at a cost of \$50,723,304 (calculated based on the actual amenities and current market estimates for construction thereof).

**Table H: Prototypical Multi-Family Residential Project
Planning/Zoning and Development Impact Fees**

<u>Development Fees</u>	<u>Amount</u>
City Planning/Zoning Fees	\$35,000
Coastal Area Road Improvement and Traffic Signals Program (Impact Fee)	\$325,486
Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$49,044
San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$472,216
Saddleback Valley Unified School District (Impact Fee)	\$668,932
El Toro Water District (Capital Facilities Fee)	\$170,000
TOTAL PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES	\$1,720,678
<u>Per Unit @ 134 units</u>	<u>\$12,841</u>

Total planning/zoning and development impact fees for the prototypical apartment project in Table H represent approximately 3.4 percent of the estimated development cost, far below the 10–15 percent of development costs that the Housing and Community Development Department of the State of California (HCD) considers "typical" per its Building Blocks: Fees and Exactions guidance (retrieved July 2022). Of particular note is that only approximately 2 percent of total planning/zoning and development impact fees are established by the City.

Table I contains City staff's estimate of planning/zoning and development impact fees for a new 2,150 square foot single-family home (one unit) development project built today at a cost of \$400,000 (comparable to the example used for similar purposes by the City of Aliso Viejo in that city's draft Housing Element dated February 1, 2022).

**Table I: Prototypical Single-Family Home Project Planning/Zoning
and Development Impact Fees**

<u>Development Fees</u>	<u>Amount</u>
City Planning/Zoning Fees	\$2,500
Coastal Area Road Improvement and Traffic Signals Program (Impact Fee)	\$2,989
Moulton Parkway and Laguna Niguel Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$615
San Joaquin Hills Road Fee Program (Impact Fee)	\$6,211
Saddleback Valley Unified School District (Impact Fee)	\$10,299
El Toro Water District (Capital Facilities Fee)	\$2,500
TOTAL PLANNING/ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES	\$25,114

Total planning/zoning and development impact fees for the prototypical single-family home project in Table I represent approximately 6.3 percent of the estimated development cost, far below the 10–15 percent of development costs that HCD considers “typical” per its Building Blocks: Fees and Exactions guidance (retrieved July 2022). Of particular note is that only approximately 10 percent of total planning/zoning and development impact fees are established by the City.

The City’s development fees do not appear to represent a constraint on housing development.

Assembly Bill 641 (2007–2008) provides cash flow flexibility for the majority of affordable housing projects by allowing development impact fees to be paid as late as the date of the final inspection, or the date the certificate of occupancy is issued, whichever occurs first.

2.7 LOCAL PROCESSING AND PERMIT PROCEDURES

Development ~~review and permit~~-processing and permitting procedures are necessary steps to ensure that residential construction proceeds in an orderly manner. However, the time and cost of permit processing and review can be a constraint to housing development if they place an undue burden on the developer.

Although the City has not processed an application for a housing development project since the San Sebastian Apartments in the mid-2000s, it is committed to working closely with developers and applicants to approve projects in a timely manner. The San Sebastian Apartments project received its planning/zoning approvals in October 2005 and submitted an application for building permits in September 2006 (approximately 11 months apart). Due to the lack of housing development applications since the San Sebastian Apartments project, there is no other local data that speaks to length of time between receiving approval for a project and submittal of an application for building permits.

For a typical project, the developer would meet with Planning Division staff to discuss the project and then submit plans for review. Plans would either be submitted to the Planning Division first and, once approved, to the Building Division for building permitting, or submitted concurrently to both the Planning Division and Building Division if so desired by the applicant. Applicants that submit concurrent applications would effectively eliminate any length of time between receiving approval for their project and submittal of an application for building permits. Projects requiring a Site Development Permit (SDP) or Conditional Use Permit (CUP) would be reviewed and acted upon as described herein. Throughout construction, various City divisions would perform inspections to monitor the progress of the project. This process is comparable to that of many cities in the region; therefore, processing times and expectations are typical for the region.

A typical new single-family home development project (one unit) would require only the issuance of ministerial building permits, unless the project is subject to the State Subdivision Map Act in which case those requirements would also apply. The Building Division’s initial review of building permit applications for single-family homes is seven days, followed by five days for subsequent submittals.

A typical new multi-family residential development project of three units or less would require only the issuance of ministerial building permits, unless the project is subject to the State Subdivision

Map Act in which case those requirements would also apply. The Building Division's initial review of building permit applications for multi-family residential projects is the same as single-family homes – seven days, followed by five days for each subsequent submittal.

Table ~~JH~~ outlines the development review processing times and approval procedures for residential developments applications. For housing development projects proposed to occur within any of the "by-right" overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element's rezoning program, it is unlikely that the first four actions/requests listed in Table J, or variances, would be required, barring an unusual request from the applicant. The overlay zoning districts are intended to expedite the development of housing in a manner that complies with State housing law.

REDLINE

Table JH: Typical Permit Processing Timelines

Action/Request	Processing Time	Comments
Environmental Impact Report	9-12 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through CEQA. Adopted by the City Council.
Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration	6-9 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through CEQA. Processing time can be extended if the project has a longer review and approval period. Adopted by the City Council.
General Plan Amendment	10-12 months	Government Code Section 65358 limits the number of times any element of the General Plan can be amended each calendar year. <u>Approved by the City Council.</u> Requires a public hearing for the City Council.
Zone Change	8-12 months	Certain procedures and time limits established by Gov. Code Sections 65854-65857. Approved by the City Council. <u>Requires a public hearing.</u>
Tentative Parcel Map	45-60 days	Processing and review time limits controlled through the State Subdivision Map Act. Approved by the City Council.
Tentative Tract Map	6-8 months	Processing and review time limits controlled through the State Subdivision Map Act. Approved by the City Council.
Variance	3-4 months	Approved by the City Council. <u>Requires a public hearing.</u>
Conditional Use Permits	3-4 months	Approved by the City Council. <u>Requires a public hearing.</u>
<u>Site Development Permit</u>	<u>2-3 months</u>	<u>Approved by the Community Development Director.</u>

CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act

Depending on the type of ~~discretionary~~ approval that is required, a one or two-level decision-making process may be required. The City’s process is somewhat more streamlined than many other cities in that the City Council also serves as the Planning Commission.

2.7.1 Site Development Permit

Multi-family residential development projects consisting of more than four units are required to obtain a Site Development Permit (SDP) to establish the use when permitted by right. It is anticipated that most (if not all) of the “by-right” housing projects newly possible due to this Housing Element would require a SDP. The purpose of a SDP is to provide for administrative review of detailed development plans for a proposed use. Uses that require a SDP are regarded as having a relatively low potential for adverse impacts on the subject site or surrounding community due to the nature or magnitude of the use vis-a-vis the sensitivity of the subject site or surrounding community.

SDP applications are acted upon by the Community Development Director unless he/she/they determine on a case-by-case basis, that the public interest would be better served by the application being acted on by the City Council. Public hearings are only required when the Community Development Director requests action by the City Council.

The following findings are required to approve SDP applications:

1. The use or project proposed is consistent with the General Plan;
2. The use, activity or improvement(s) proposed is consistent with the provisions of the Zoning Code;

3. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act;
4. The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use will not create conditions or situations that may be incompatible with other permitted uses in the vicinity;
5. The approval of the permit application will not result in conditions or circumstances contrary to the public health and safety and the general welfare; and
6. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with all City-required public facilities regulations.

Given the typical nature of the findings required to approve SDP applications, the application of the SDP requirement only to larger-scale multi-family residential projects, the default level of review and approval resting with staff, the typical permit processing time of 2--3 months, and the ability for building plan review to occur concurrent with SDP processing, it is unlikely that this requirement would constrain housing supply, affordability, timing, or approval certainty.

2.7.12.7.2 Conditional Use Permit

Conditional Use Permits (CUPs) are required for some multi-family development, senior housing projects, and large residential care facilities, dependent upon the underlying zoning district. CUPs are not required for any of the "by-right" overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element's rezoning program. As shown in Table H, the processing time for a CUP is typically 90 to 120 days.

CUP applications are acted upon by the City Council. A public hearing is required.

The following findings are required to approved CUP applications:

1. The use or project proposed is consistent with the General Plan;
2. The use, activity or improvement(s) proposed is consistent with the provisions of the Zoning Code;
3. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act;
4. The location, size, design and operating characteristics of the proposed use will not create conditions or situations that may be incompatible with other permitted uses in the vicinity;
5. The approval of the permit application will not result in conditions or circumstances contrary to the public health and safety and the general welfare; and
6. The approval of the permit application is in compliance with all City-required public facilities regulations.

~~The City's Zoning Code establishes the same required findings for the approval of all CUP applications, regardless of proposed use:~~

~~The proposed location of the conditional use is consistent with the requirements of the general plan and the zoning district in which the site is located;~~

~~The proposed location of the conditional use and the conditions under which it would be operated or maintained would not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or general welfare, nor would be materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity; and~~

~~The proposed conditional use would comply with all applicable provisions of this zoning ordinance. It should be noted that~~Again, the City's CUP requirement only applies to condominiums and apartments in existing residential zoning districts and would not apply to the "by-right" overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element's rezoning program. Although the CUP requirement does not affect the City's housing supply, it could affect affordability, but, ~~again,~~ not within the "by right" overlay zoning districts to be created through the Housing Element's rezoning program.

2.7.2 — Conclusion

~~Although the City has not processed an application for a residential development project since the San Sebastian Apartments in the mid 2000s, it is committed to working closely with developers to approve residential projects in a timely manner and minimize any potential time constraints on development. For a typical project, the developer would meet with Planning Division staff to discuss the project and then would submit plans for a preliminary review. Plans would then be submitted to the Building Division for plan check and building permit issuance. Projects requiring a CUP would be evaluated based on the criteria listed above and reviewed by the City Council prior to plans being submitted for plan check. The City does offer concurrent processing of planning and building plans if so desired by the applicant. Throughout construction, the Building Division would perform inspections to monitor the progress of the project. This process is comparable to that of many cities in the region; therefore, processing times would be typical for the region.~~

2.8 BUILDING CODES

As required of all local governments in California, the City enforces the CBC. The CBC establishes construction standards necessary to protect public health, safety, and welfare and all new constructions and renovations must conform to the standards of the CBC.

Based on recommendations from the Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA), the City has adopted several amendments to the CBC, which revised the following chapters:

- Scope and administration;
- Definitions;
- General requirements;
- Emergency planning and preparedness;
- Fire service features;

- Fire protection and life safety systems;
- Construction requirements for existing buildings;
- Energy systems;
- Fruit and crop ripening;
- Fumigation and insecticidal fogging;
- Lumber yards and agro-industrial, solid biomass and woodworking facilities;
- Requirements for wildland-urban interface fire areas;
- Hazardous materials;
- Explosives and fireworks;
- Flammable and combustible liquids;
- Flammable gases and flammable cryogenic fluids;
- Referenced standards; and
- The various appendices.

More information on these changes can be found in the City's Municipal Code. Compliance with the CBC, and the City's locally adopted amendments to the CBC, should not significantly add to the cost of construction since the CBC is mandated to be enforced statewide and costs should be relatively uniform statewide. In addition, because the OCFA serves as a regional fire protection agency, the County of Orange and 22 other member cities have adopted substantially similar amendments, which means that the cost of complying with the City's amendments to the CBC is substantially similar to most of the other cities in Orange County. Costs associated with the CBC and any locally adopted amendments are necessary to protect the health safety and welfare of the citizens. Compliance ensures that all new or renovated buildings are structurally sound, have proper exiting, and are equipped with necessary fire protection features. In addition, the CBC mandates energy efficiency as well as provisions for access for persons with disabilities.

Since Fiscal Year 2015–16, the City has retained a qualified third-party consultant to conduct an annual study of the “nexus” between the City’s fees and the reasonable costs of building permitting. Fees have generally been set at the City’s reasonable cost of providing services. Profit is not a component of the City’s building permit fees. The current building permit fee schedule and supporting fee study is available at <https://www.cityoflagunawoods.org/government/transparency-public-records/>.

As incentives to develop affordable housing projects, the Housing Element includes three programs that will have a lessening effect on the City’s building permit fees. Program H-1.2.4 will reduce fees for qualifying lot consolidations, Program H-1.2.5 will waive or reduce fees for qualifying affordable housing projects subject to long-term affordability covenants, and Program H-2.2.2 will waive or reduce fees for improvements made to accommodate qualifying disabilities. Three other programs – H-1.2.8, H-2.1.2, and H-3.1.3 – involve the establishment of incentives that may include fee waivers or reductions for energy efficiency, affordability covenants, and affirmative marketing, respectively.

2.9 FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS

Federal and State requirements may act as a barrier to the development or rehabilitation of housing, and affordable housing in particular. These include State prevailing wage requirements and environmental review requirements.

2.9.1 State Prevailing Wage Requirements

Labor Code Section 1720, which applies prevailing wage rates to public works of over \$1,000, defines public works to mean construction, alteration, installation, demolition, or repair work done under contract and paid for in whole or in part out of public funds. For example, public transfer of an asset for less than fair market value, such as a land write-down, would be construed to be paid for in part out of public funds and trigger prevailing wage requirements.

While the cost differential in prevailing and standard wages varies based on the skill level of the occupation, prevailing wages tend to add to the overall cost of development. In the case of affordable housing projects, prevailing wage requirements could effectively reduce the number of affordable units that can be achieved with public subsidies. However, State law does allow a number of exceptions for single-family homes and for projects intended to support affordable housing, such as the construction or expansion of emergency shelters or construction of some types of affordable housing units.

2.9.2 Environmental Protection

Federal and State regulations require environmental review of proposed discretionary projects (e.g., subdivision maps, conditional use permits, etc.). Costs resulting from the environmental review process, such as costs related to the preparation of environmental analyses, increase the cost of housing and may be passed on to the consumer. Environmental review can also impact the processing time for project review due to mandated public review periods. However, the presence of these regulations helps preserve the environment and ensure environmental safety. Recent State laws have established exemptions from CEQA for infill and affordable housing projects. Due to the City's predominantly built-out nature, it is anticipated that the majority of proposed projects would be in-fill and likely exempt from environmental review as urban infill projects.

2.9.3 State Transparency Requirements

AB 1483 requires the City to provide on its website a current schedule of fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City applicable to a proposed housing development project, all zoning ordinances and development standards, and annual fee reports or annual financial reports, as specified. AB 1483 also requires the City to provide on its website an archive of impact fee nexus studies, cost of service studies, or equivalent, as specified.

The information provided on the City's website complies with AB 1483.

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

3.0 MARKET CONSTRAINTS

3.1 AVAILABILITY OF FINANCING

The availability of financing in a community depends on a number of factors, including the type of lending institutions active in the community, lending practices, rates and fees charged, laws and regulations governing financial institutions, and equal access to those institutions.

Under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), lending institutions are required to disclose information on the disposition of loan applications and the income, gender, and race of loan applicants. A total of 1,112 households applied for mortgage loans for homes in the seven census tracts that include residential areas in Laguna Woods in 2019 (Table K1). Overall, 62 percent of these applications were approved, 15 percent were denied, and 23 percent were either withdrawn or closed for incompleteness. Conventional financing involves market-rate loans provided by private lending institutions such as banks, mortgage companies, savings and loans, and thrift institutions. Of the 453 applications for conventional purchase loans, 70 percent were approved. The approval rate for government-backed loans was slightly higher at 72 percent, while the approval rate for refinance applications was 58 percent. Home improvement loan applications had the lowest approval rating, with 37 percent of applications being approved and 44 percent being denied.

Table K1: Disposition of Home Purchase and Improvement Loan Applications (2019)

Loan Type	Total Applications	Approved	Denied	Other
Government-Backed Purchase	39	72%	8%	20%
Conventional Purchase	453	70%	10%	20%
Refinance	545	58%	16%	26%
Home Improvement	75	37%	44%	19%
Total	1,112	62%	15%	23%

Source: Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Data Publication. (2019).

Note: "Other" includes files closed for incompleteness and applications withdrawn. Data covers the seven census tracts that include residential areas in Laguna Woods (Census Tracts 626.22, 626.25, 626.41, 626.46, 626.47, 626.48, and 626.49).

3.2 FORECLOSURES

Foreclosure occurs when households fall behind on one or more scheduled mortgage payments. The foreclosure process can be halted if the homeowner is able to bring their mortgage payments current. If payments cannot be resumed or the debt cannot be resolved, the lender can legally use the foreclosure process to repossess (take over) the home. When this happens, the homeowners must move out of the property. If the home is worth less than the total amount owed on the mortgage loan, a deficiency judgment could be pursued. If that happens, the homeowner would lose their home and also would owe the home lender an additional amount.

Between 2000 and 2005, with low interest rates, "creative" financing (e.g., zero down, interest only, adjustable loans), and predatory lending practices (e.g., aggressive marketing, hidden fees, negative amortization), many households purchased homes that were beyond their financial means. Under

the false assumptions that refinancing to lower interest rates would always be an option and home prices would continue to rise at double-digit rates, many households were unprepared for the hikes in interest rates, expiration of short-term fixed rates, and decline in sales prices that set off in 2006. Suddenly faced with significantly inflated mortgage payments, and “upside-down” mortgage loans (that are larger than the worth of the homes), many had to resort to foreclosing their homes.

However, since the Great Recession, foreclosure rates have come down significantly. As of June 2021, there was only one home in Laguna Woods in some stage of foreclosure. The foreclosure rate was less than 0.01 percent for Laguna Woods as well as for Orange County as a whole.⁵

3.3 DEVELOPMENT COSTS

3.3.1 Land Availability and Cost

The availability and price of land represents a significant market constraint to housing production throughout most of Southern California. This constraint is particularly acute in communities, such as Laguna Woods, where there is very little residentially designated vacant land. In June 2021, based on a survey of online real estate listings, there were no vacant properties listed for sale within Laguna Woods. Due to limited land availability, most new residential development in Laguna Woods will involve redeveloping properties with existing uses, which tends to add to the cost of land.

Density bonuses are available for projects that include affordable housing. Developers of affordable housing may also be granted regulatory concessions or development incentives. Density bonuses, together with the incentives and/or concessions, result in a lower average cost of land per dwelling unit thereby making the provision of affordable housing more feasible.

3.3.2 Cost of Construction

The cost of labor and building materials has a significant impact on the overall cost of new housing and can, therefore, be a constraint to affordable housing development. According to the National Association of Home Builders Construction Cost Survey, construction costs (including labor and materials) account for over 55 percent of the sales price of a new single family home. The Construction Cost Survey found that the average construction cost for a single family home in 2017 was \$237,760. It should be noted that the Construction Cost Survey is a national survey and may not be completely representative of Laguna Woods or Orange County; however, it does illustrate that construction costs comprise a significant proportion of the ultimate sales price of residential development. While significant, construction costs are consistent throughout the region and therefore would not specifically constrain housing development in Laguna Woods when compared to other cities in the region.

A reduction in amenities and the quality of building materials (above a minimum acceptability for health, safety, and adequate performance) can result in lower development costs. As part of the City’s density bonus and inclusionary housing programs, the City allows affordable units to be smaller in size (maintaining the same number of bedrooms), ~~and~~ and could also consider allowing

⁵ Realtytrac.com (accessed June 2021).

less costly features and interior finishes, provided all project units were comparable in construction quality and exterior design.

Another factor related to construction costs is the number of units built at one time. As that number increases, overall costs generally decrease as builders are able to take advantage of the benefits of economies of scale.

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

4.0 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS

The availability of public infrastructure and services for residential development is another potential constraint to the development of housing. The majority of Laguna Woods is highly urbanized and built-out with most of the necessary infrastructure, streets, electrical lines, and water distribution already in place. This section provides an overview of potential utility service constraints.

4.1 WATER

Laguna Woods is served by the El Toro Water District (ETWD), a public water service agency. Water provided in the El Toro Water District is nearly entirely dependent on imported water. Imported water is transported via a blend of water from the Colorado River and the Sacramento Bay Delta via the State Water Project. Both sources of import water are transported from hundreds of miles through a series of tunnels, aqueducts and pipelines to reach Orange County, and are distributed by the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) of Southern California, the region's wholesale water provider. ETWD purchases this imported water from the MWD through the Municipal Water District of Orange County. ETWD has taken a leading role in improving local water supply reliability for south Orange County. Together with the Santa Margarita Water District and the Moulton Niguel Water District, ETWD maintains and operates a large covered drinking water reservoir with a capacity of 275 million gallons. In the event of an emergency or unplanned interruption of water service, the reservoir could provide a 14-day supply of water to ETWD customers.

According to ETWD's Draft 2020 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), water use within its service area was 7,167 acre-feet of potable water and 1,270 acre-feet of recycled water for landscape irrigation in 2019. A stable trend is expected because ETWD's service area is predominantly built-out and the rate of population growth is small (about 0.23 percent per year). Water conservation efforts also kept per capita water use down. The total service demand was expected to increase to 8,737 acre-feet by 2025 and projected to increase to 9,156 acre-feet by 2045. According to the UWMP, ETWD's system is expected to have the ability to supply 9,156 acre-feet of water in 2045, meeting the projected demands. ETWD's demand projections consider such factors as current and future demographics, future water use efficiency measures, and long-term weather variability, and specifically take into account the additional housing units that each of the cities within its service area must plan for as part of the RHNA requirements. Therefore, adequate water supply is available to accommodate the RHNA during the Housing Element planning period.

SB 1087 requires that water providers develop written policies that grant priority to proposed development that includes housing affordable to lower-income households. The legislation also prohibits water providers from denying or conditioning the approval of development that includes housing affordable to lower income households, unless specific written findings are made. The City will provide a copy of the adopted Housing Element to ETWD after adoption and will continue to coordinate with ETWD to ensure priority service provision to affordable housing developments.

4.2 WASTEWATER

Wastewater in Laguna Woods is collected, treated, and disposed of by ETWD's Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP), which has a maximum capacity of 6 million gallons per day. In addition,

ETWD operates a Water Recycling Plant (WRP), which allows it to reuse much of its wastewater effluent as recycled water by applying a tertiary treatment process. The WTP and WRP serve portions of the cities of Laguna Hills, Mission Viejo, Aliso Viejo, Lake Forest and all of Laguna Woods. ETWD has been able to meet its water demands from a combination of water resources and technology that optimally promote use of water conservation practices, water importation and recycled water treatment and delivery. In 2012, ETWD began a Recycled Water Expansion Project to increase the treatment and delivery of recycled water through a new tertiary treatment facility. The tertiary treatment plant is designed to produce as much as 3.7 million gallons of recycled water per day with a peak hour pumping capacity of over 5,000 gallons per minute. The WRP expansion was designed with the ability to expand capacity up to the expected maximum amount of raw wastewater entering the plant. Simultaneously, ETWD built a new recycled water distribution system that includes 140,000 ft of recycled water pipelines beneath the roadways in portions of Laguna Woods and the northwest portion of Laguna Hills. Therefore, there are no constraints on the availability of wastewater disposal or treatment.

SB 1087 mandates priority sewage collection and treatment service to housing developments providing units affordable to lower-income households. The City will provide a copy of the adopted Housing Element to ETWD after adoption. The City will continue to coordinate with ETWD to ensure priority service provision to affordable housing developments.

4.3 TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE

In 1990, Orange County voters approved Measure M, the Revised Traffic Improvement and Growth Management Ordinance, which provides funding to Orange County for needed transportation improvements over a 20-year period through the imposition of a one-half cent retail transaction and use tax. In 2006, voters extended the tax through 2041 (Measure M2). Cities such as Laguna Woods can qualify for Measure M funds if they comply with the Countywide Growth Management Program component requirements and have an established policy framework for that program. As part of complying with the Countywide Growth Management Program component requirements, Laguna Woods implemented a development mitigation program that established a fee structure for requiring new development to pay its proportionate share funding of impacts to the regional roadway system.

In order to assist with the long-term development of funding for major capital improvement projects on public property, the City Council adopts a minimum 7-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) on an annual basis. The CIP and the minimum 7-year period to which it applies is also a requirement for receiving funding from Measure M2.

In addition, the City is within the ~~SJHTC~~ San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor (SJHTC) fee assessment area. The payment of development impact fees is required as a condition of approval of a final parcel or tract map or as a condition of issuing a building permit on any properties within the fee assessment area, as authorized by Government Code Section 66484.3. These development impact fees are collected for the purpose of repaying the indebtedness incurred to construct the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor (State Route 73) that has already been built, as well as to pay the cost of future anticipated improvements and ongoing planning and environmental requirements.

Fees are collected on new residential dwellings and new non-residential square footage in areas identified as the area of benefit surrounding the corridor. While most of Laguna Woods is within Area of Benefit Zone A for the SJHTCA, ~~certain northerly~~~~the northern~~ portions of ~~Laguna Woods~~~~the City~~ are within Area of Benefit Zone B. Table ~~LJ~~ provides a summary of the current (202~~21~~) development impact fees assessed within those areas. In 1997, the ~~San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency~~ (SJHTCA) Board of Directors adopted a set rate of increase for the fees. Each July 1, rates for the SJHTCA increase by 2.667 percent.

Table ~~LJ~~: San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Development Impact Fees (202~~21~~)

	Zone A	Zone B
Single Family Residential	\$ 6,2115,893 /unit	\$ 4,8144,567 /unit
Multi-Family Residential	\$ 3,6183,432 /unit	\$ 2,8082,664 /unit

Source: Transportation Corridor Agencies (202~~21~~).

Note: In 1997, the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Board of Directors adopted a set rate of increase for the fees. Each July 1, rates increase by 2.667 percent.

While the traffic mitigation fees described above may present a constraint to housing development, they are necessary to facilitate the ongoing maintenance of transportation infrastructure.

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

A wide range of environmental factors may constrain the development of new housing. Areas of special environmental significance, potential safety hazards, and development constraints have influenced and will continue to influence land use policy. The City's Safety Element identifies areas subject to a number of environmental constraints, including flooding, seismic hazards, hazardous and toxic materials, and urban fires. The City's General Plan recognizes those hazards and identifies programs to minimize them.

5.1 FLOODING

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes maps that identify areas of the City subject to flooding in the event of a major storm. Those Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) indicate areas that may be inundated in the event of a 100-year or a 500-year storm. In addition, the maps indicate the base flood elevations at selected intervals of the floodway. The flood map contained in the City's Safety Element indicates that very few areas are within 100-year flood event inundation areas. Areas are limited to the small reservoir southeast of the intersection of Moulton Parkway and Ridge Route Drive, the 9 Hole Par 3 Golf Course in Laguna Woods Village, and Aliso Creek in the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods. The projected 500-year flood would most significantly affect low-lying areas along Aliso Creek.

Additional flood hazards include the four reservoirs with a total maximum capacity of 10 million gallons of potable water distributed throughout Laguna Woods. Two of these reservoirs are located at a high point northwest of the intersection of El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway, and two are located in Laguna Woods Village (one at the south end of Calle Sonora Este and another on the west side of Bahia Blanca West). The dam/reservoir located southeast of the intersection of Moulton Parkway and Ridge Route Drive (Rossmoor #2) is located in a 100-year ~~floodplain, but~~ floodplain but is not subject to State dam inundation mapping regulations. The Veeh Reservoir located nearby in the City of Laguna Hills is also within a 100-year floodplain. According to the City's Safety Element, failure of any of the previously mentioned ETWD infrastructure could cause localized flooding.

The Moulton Niguel Water District owns and operates a water tank in the City of Aliso Viejo just south of the intersection of El Toro Road and Aliso Creek Road. Failure of that water tank could cause flooding on El Toro Road and in the lower portions of Woods End Wilderness Preserve.

The failure of the bridge along Avenida Sevilla that crosses Aliso Creek could impede movement and cause localized flooding on property in Laguna Woods Village. Flood hazards in Laguna Woods pose a moderate risk. Areas designated for future residential development will be evaluated on an individual basis regarding their potential flood hazard.

5.2 SEISMIC AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

As stated in the City's Safety Element, the entirety of Laguna Woods—as well as all of Southern California—is located within a seismically active region that has been subject to major earthquakes in the past. There are no known faults in Laguna Woods. However, the Newport-Inglewood, Whittier-Elsinore, Glen Ivy Elsinore, and Temecula-Elsinore faults are located within close proximity

to Laguna Woods. The closest fault—Newport-Inglewood—traverses approximately 7-8 miles southwest of Laguna Woods. The San Andreas and San Jacinto faults are located much further away from Laguna Woods (the San Jacinto Fault crosses the region approximately 40 miles northeast of Laguna Woods and the San Andreas Fault is even farther away [approximately 50 miles northeast]). Although farther away, those faults have the potential to deliver larger magnitude earthquakes than the other five faults mentioned above. Other major faults may be buried under alluvium, or fault traces may have been obliterated due to natural weathering.

Liquefaction is another hazard associated with intense ground shaking, in which the soil can destabilize and if sufficient water is present in the soil, the soil and water can mix. The City's Safety Element includes a map that identifies liquefaction hazard zones. Most of the approximately 256 acres that are within the identified liquefaction hazard zones are in the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods; however, small areas that are subject to liquefaction hazards also exist in the northern and western part of Laguna Woods. Areas within these hazard zones may experience liquefaction during extreme ground shaking.

Landslides, which can occur as a result of seismic activity or as an independent event, have the potential to cause loss of life, personal injury, economic loss, and property damage in Laguna Woods. The City's Safety Element includes a map that shows the locations of the approximately 77 acres that are prone to earthquake-induced landslides. Most of the areas that are subject to landslides are in the western half of Laguna Woods.

5.3 WILDFIRES

According to the City's Safety Element, wildfires in the open space and wildland-urban interface area that borders the westernmost edge of Laguna Woods could cause loss of life, personal injury, and extensive property damage, including damage to open space resources. Consequently, fires are considered to pose a very significant risk. In 2012, the City Council designated three fire hazard severity zones (very high, high, and moderate) within the wildland-urban interface area shown in the Safety Element. The Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone was identified by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), while the High and Moderate Fire Hazard Severity Zones were identified by OCFA based on an assessment of vegetation, slope, fire history, weather patterns, and impact of flames, heat, and flying embers. Collectively, those zones and the 2,564 residential dwelling units within them face the highest risk of wildfire impacts. However, OCFA has developed a number of resources that are intended to mitigate fire risk through vegetation management, including technical guidelines for developing fuel modification plans for new construction projects and maintaining and managing vegetation on properties within fire hazard areas.

5.4 NOISE

Noise generated from mobile sources such as traffic on City streets and Interstate 5 (I-5) and aircraft flyovers will continue to have the greatest potential impact on the City's land use decisions. The City's Noise Element describes the existing noise environment using maps that identify several areas with high levels of noise. The Noise Element also identifies noise sources and contains goals and policies that will be useful in reducing the effects of noise, if not the actual intensity of noise. Land

use policy discourages the placement of noise-sensitive land uses in areas that are subject to high noise levels. The City requires new housing developments to provide an acoustic analysis and provide necessary mitigation, such as barriers or additional sound insulation, for projects located within the 65 CNEL noise contour zones identified in the Noise Element. According to the Noise Element, areas adjacent to the following road segments are either already within the 65 CNEL noise contour or are projected to be in future General Plan build-out:

- El Toro Road (Aliso Creek Road to Paseo de Valencia)
- Moulton Parkway (Gate 12 south to City limits)
- Santa Maria Avenue (Avenida Sosiega to Santa Vittoria Drive)

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

EXHIBIT C

HOUSING SITES INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

REDLINE

LSA

August~~February~~ 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

HOUSING SITES INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

~~August~~ February 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents i

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms iii

1.0 INTRODUCTION ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

2.0 STATE REGULATIONS ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

 2.1 Assembly Bill 1397 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

 2.2 Assembly Bill 686 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

3.0 OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL HOUSING SITES ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

4.0 METHODOLOGY USED TO IDENTIFY SITES ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE 18

 5.1 Environmental Constraints 18

 5.2 Infrastructure Constraints 18

 5.3 General Land Use Considerations 21

 5.4 Access to Community Resources 21

 5.5 Energy Conservation Opportunities 23

 5.5.1 City Efforts 23

 5.5.2 Other Resources 25

6.0 FAIR HOUSING ISSUES 26

 6.1 Proposed Sites 26

 6.2 Poverty Status 28

 6.3 Diversity Index 28

 6.4 Healthy Places 33

 6.5 Social Vulnerability 33

 6.6 Tax Credit Allocation Committee Opportunity Areas 39

 6.7 Regional Opportunity Index 43

 6.8 Disability 43

 6.9 Family Status 45

 6.10 Income 47

 6.11 Race and Ethnicity 48

 6.12 Local Data and Knowledge 52

 6.12.1 Age and Income Restrictions 52

 6.12.2 Property Ownership Implications 53

 6.12.3 Environmental and Topography Constraints 53

 6.12.4 Relevant Factors Contributing to Fair Housing 54

 6.13 Concluding Analysis 54

7.0 REALISTIC DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY 56

~~**5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE Error! Bookmark not defined.**~~

~~5.1 Environmental Constraints **Error! Bookmark not defined.**~~

~~5.2 Infrastructure Constraints **Error! Bookmark not defined.**~~

~~5.3 General Land Use Considerations **Error! Bookmark not defined.**~~

5.4	Access to Community Resources	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.5	Energy Conservation Opportunities	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.5.1	City Efforts	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.5.2	Other Resources	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.0	FAIR HOUSING ISSUES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.1	Poverty Status	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.2	Diversity Index	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.3	Healthy Places	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.4	Social Vulnerability	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.5	Tax Credit Allocation Committee Opportunity Areas	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.6	Regional Opportunity Index	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.7	Disability, Family Status, and Income	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.8	Age and INCOME Restrictions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.9	Concluding Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.0	REALISTIC DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8.0	QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8.0	QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES	58
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	STATE REGULATIONS	2
2.1	Assembly Bill 1397	3
2.2	Assembly Bill 686	3
3.0	OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL HOUSING SITES	4
4.0	METHODOLOGY USED TO IDENTIFY SITES	13
8.0	QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES	58

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

Figure 1: Housing Sites Key Map	11
Figure 2: Poverty Status	<u>2529</u>
Figure 3: Diversity Index	<u>2731</u>
Figure 4: Healthy Places Index.....	<u>3135</u>
Figure 5: Social Vulnerability Index	<u>3337</u>
Figure 6: TCAC Opportunity Areas.....	<u>3741</u>

TABLES

Table A: City of Laguna Woods Housing Needs Allocation (2021–2029) Error! Bookmark not defined.	
Table B: Site Descriptions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites</u>	<u>16</u>
Table <u>DC</u> : Environmental and Site Constraints.....	<u>19</u>
Table <u>ED</u> : Potential Overlay Zoning Districts	<u>1921</u>
<u>Table F: Potential Housing Units during 2021–2029 Planning Period.....</u>	<u>2726</u>
<u>Table G: Recently Developed Housing in Nearby Cities</u>	<u>27</u>
Table <u>HE</u> : Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps	<u>3539</u>
Table <u>IF</u> : Disability, Family Status, and Income	<u>4043</u>
<u>Table J: Family Status Trends</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Table K: Income Trends</u>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>Table L: Race and Ethnicity Trends.....</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Table M: Race and Ethnicity Trends (2006–2010 ACS to 2015–2019 ACS)</u>	<u>51</u>
Table <u>NG</u> : Potential Housing Unit Production	<u>564343</u>
Table <u>HO</u> : Summary of Quantified Objectives	<u>4558</u>

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AB	Assembly Bill
<u>ACS</u>	<u>American Community Survey</u>
AFFH	Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
<u>CALGreen</u>	<u>California Green Building Standards Code</u>
<u>CARE</u>	<u>California Alternate Rates for Energy</u>
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CF-P	Community Facilities—Private
City	City of Laguna Woods
<u>du/ac</u>	<u>dwelling unit per acre</u>
ETWD	El Toro Water District
<u>FERA</u>	<u>Family Electric Rate Assistance</u>
FHEO	Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach
Golden Rain Foundation	Golden Rain Foundation of Laguna Woods
HCD	California Department of Housing and Community Development
HPI	Healthy Places Index
HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
LIHTC	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
MFI	median family income
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
PA	Professional and Administrative Office
PHASC	Public Health Alliance of Southern California
RHNA	Regional Housing Needs Assessment
<u>ROI</u>	<u>Regional Opportunity Index</u>

SB	Senate Bill
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCE	Southern California Edison
SVI	Social Vulnerability Index
SVUSD	Saddleback Valley Unified School District
TCAC	Tax Credit Allocation Committee
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan

REDLINE

~~This page intentionally left blank~~

REDLINE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

State Housing Element law requires local governments to adequately plan to meet their existing and projected housing needs, including their share of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation. Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) requires local governments to prepare an inventory of land suitable for residential development, which is used to identify sites that can be developed for housing within the planning period. This report has been prepared to satisfy Government Code Section 65583(a)(3).

REDLINE

2.0 STATE REGULATIONS

State law recognizes the vital role local governments play in the availability, adequacy, and affordability of housing. Every city and county in California is required to adopt a long-range General Plan to guide its physical development; the Housing Element is one of the seven mandated elements of the General Plan. State Housing Element law mandates that local governments adequately plan to meet the existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community. The law recognizes that in order for the private market to adequately address housing needs and demand, local governments must adopt land use plans and regulatory systems that provide opportunities for (and do not unduly constrain) housing production. Housing Element statutes also require the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to review local housing elements for compliance with state law and to report its findings to the local government.

State Housing Element law requires that each local government develop local housing programs to meet its “fair share” of existing and future housing needs for all income groups. The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is responsible for developing and assigning these regional needs, via the RHNA process, to Southern California jurisdictions including the City.

Government Code Section 65583(a)(3) requires that local governments prepare an inventory of land suitable for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having the potential for redevelopment, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to those sites. This parcel-level inventory of sites with near-term residential development potential has been prepared in support of the City’s efforts to update its Housing Element.

The City’s fair share for the planning period between October 2021 and October 2029 was established by SCAG at 997 units divided into four income groups as shown in Table A. That housing needs allocation was based on projected household growth and the resulting need for construction of additional housing units allocated over an 8-year planning period (2021–2029).

Table A: City of Laguna Woods Housing Needs Allocation (2021–2029)

Income Level	Percent of Area MFI	No. of Units
Very Low	0–50%	127
Low	51–80%	136
Moderate	81–120%	192
Above Moderate	>120%	542
Total		997

Source: SCAG 6th Cycle Final RHNA Allocation Plan (approved by HCD on March 22, 2021).

HCD = California Department of Housing and Community Development

MFI = median family income

RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment

SCAG = Southern California Association of Governments

It should be noted that the City’s housing needs allocation for the 2021–2019 planning period (997 units) is substantially higher than its allocation during the previous planning period (2 units).

2.1 ASSEMBLY BILL 1397

Pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 1397, cities must determine if more than 50 percent of the lower-income RHNA would be accommodated on nonvacant sites. When a city relies on nonvacant sites to accommodate 50 percent or more of its housing need for lower-income households, the methodology used to determine additional development potential must demonstrate that the existing use identified does not constitute an impediment to additional residential development during the period covered by the Housing Element. An existing use is presumed to impede additional residential development, absent findings based on substantial evidence that the use is likely to be discontinued during the planning period (Government Code Section 65583.2(g)(2)).

2.2 ASSEMBLY BILL 686

Pursuant to AB 686, for Housing Elements due on or after January 1, 2021, sites must be identified throughout the community in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing opportunities (Government Code Section 65583(c)(10)). According to Government Code Section 8899.50(a)(1), affirmatively furthering fair housing means “taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics.” Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity; replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns; transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity; and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. For purposes of this Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, this means that the sites identified to accommodate the lower-income need must not be concentrated in low-resourced areas (areas that lack access to high-performing schools, are not close to jobs, and/or are disproportionately exposed to pollution or other health impacts) or areas of segregation and concentrations of poverty. Instead, the sites identified to accommodate the lower-income need must be distributed throughout the community in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing.

3.0 OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL HOUSING SITES

The City has identified 17 potential sites that appear to be viable for near-term housing development that would help the City meet its RHNA requirements. Table B provides a brief description of each site and its corresponding Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs), along with a description of the existing uses on each site, the surrounding land uses, the parcel size, and the existing General Plan land use and zoning designations on each site. The 17 sites are distributed throughout the community as shown in Figure 1, Housing Sites Key Map.

REDLINE

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot (APN 616-012-29)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undeveloped. Site slopes downward from north to south, with most of the developable area on this parcel being 30 to 50 feet above El Toro Road. 	<p>North: El Toro Water District facility—water well property (one-story structures and water tanks)</p> <p>East: Laguna Hills Animal Hospital and Laguna Woods Self Storage (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Laguna Woods Village water detention basin—vacant property</p> <p>West: Medical office building within Town Centre (three-story structure)</p>	Yes	1.8 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot (APN 621-131-38)	Currently developed as a surface parking lot for the adjacent Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel in neighboring Aliso Viejo.	<p>North: vacant land, Laguna Country United Methodist Church (one-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Country United Methodist Church, Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel (in Aliso Viejo) (all one-story structures)</p> <p>South: vacant land, Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel (in Aliso Viejo) (all one-story structures)</p> <p>West: vacant land</p>	No	0.696 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
3	Rossmoor Electric (APN 621-131-21)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a small number of office and storage uses.	North: grocery and commercial (one- and two-story structures)	No	1.232 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Saddleback Golf Cars (one-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village community garden center				
			West: Equestrian Center (one- and two-story structures)				
4	Saddleback Golf Cars (APN 621-131-26)	Currently developed as a commercial use with neighborhood electric vehicle and golf cart sales and repair.	North: grocery and commercial (one- and two-story structures)	No	1.235 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: vacant land				
			South: vacant land				
			West: Rossmoor Electric (one-story structures)				
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage (APN 616-012-19)	Currently developed as a commercial use with approximately eight single story structures used for individual storage.	North: Laguna Woods Village golf course	No	5.249 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Animal Hospital and commercial center (one-and-two-story structures)				
			South: water storage				
			West: City Center Park				
6	Animal Hospital (APN 616-012-03)	Currently developed as a commercial use with an animal hospital.	North: Laguna Woods Self Storage (one-story structures)	No	0.76 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: gas station (one-and-two-story structures)				
			South: Equestrian Center (one- and two-story structures)				
			West: vacant land				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box) (APN 616-021-30)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a self-storage facility and small shopping center.	North: open space (in Lake Forest)	No	2.867 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			South: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			West: fast-food restaurant (one-story structure)				
8	Smart Parke (APN 621-211-09)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a pet boarding facility.	North: grocery and commercial (one-and-two story structures)	No	2.373 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: Home Depot center (one-and two-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village golf course				
			West: The Regency Apartment Homes (one-to-three-story structures)				
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary (APN 621-091-016)	Currently developed as a commercial use with a mortuary facility.	North: medical offices (three-story structures)	No	1.411 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
			East: single family residences (one- and two-story structures)				
			South: residential (four-story structures)				
			West: multifamily residential (in Aliso Viejo) (four-story structures)				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross (APN 616-041-01)	Currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Laguna Woods Village residences (three-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Saint Nicholas Catholic Church (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>West: Laguna Woods Village Golf Course</p>	No	3.028 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities— Private
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church (APNs 616-191-05 & 616-191-06)	Both parcels are currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p> <p>East: Calle Sonora/office building within Town Centre (three-story structure)</p> <p>South: Whispering Fountains Apartments and residences (in Aliso Viejo) (one-, two-, and three-story structures)</p> <p>West: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p>	No	3.955 ac ¹	Community Facilities (both parcels)	Community Facilities— Private (both parcels)
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church (APN 621-121-11)	Currently developed as a church.	<p>North: Lutheran Church of the Cross (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p> <p>South: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)</p> <p>West: Willow Tree Center and Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures)</p>	No	4.596 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities— Private

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
13	Temple Judea (APN 621-121-18)	Currently developed as a temple.	North: Laguna Country United Methodist Church and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)	No	1.757 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities—Private
			East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				
			South: Laguna Woods Village residences and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
			West: Laguna Country United Methodist Church and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church (APN 621-121-23)	Currently developed as a church.	North: Willow Tree Center and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)	No	3.899 ac	Community Facilities	Community Facilities—Private
			East: Temple Judea and Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				
			South: Temple Judea and various churches (in Aliso Viejo) (one-story structures)				
			West: parking lot for Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel, Laguna Woods Village vacant land, Willow Tree Center, Laguna Woods Village residences (one-story structures)				

Table B: Site Descriptions

Site	Description/APN	Existing Uses/Background Information	Surrounding Uses	Vacant?	Parcel Size	Current General Plan Land Use Designation	Current Zoning
15	Medical Building in Town Centre (APN 616-012-24)	Currently developed as a commercial use with office uses.	North: Town Center (three-story structure) East: vacant land South: Home Depot shopping center and Laguna Woods Village water detention basin (one-story structures) West: Town Centre (one- and three-story structures)	No	2.69 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office
16	Willow Tree Center East (APN 621-121-30)	Currently developed as a commercial use with retail, a restaurant, and an adult day services facility.	North: Laguna Woods Village Golf Course East: medical buildings (four-story structures) South: Residential Community (one- and two-story structures) West: Community Commercial (Town Centre) (one- and two-story structures)	No	3.095 ac	Commercial	Community Commercial
17	Helm Center (APN 621-091-15)	Currently developed as a commercial use with office uses.	North: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures) East: Laguna Woods Village residences (one- and two-story structures) South: McCormick & Son Mortuary (one-story structures) West: The Wellington (in Aliso Viejo) (four-story structures)	No	0.65 ac	Commercial	Professional & Administrative Office

¹ APN 616-191-05 is 0.5 acre and APN 616-191-06 is 3.455 acres. The total acreage of both parcels is 3.955.

ac = acre(s)

APN = Assessor's Parcel Number

County = County of Orange

Figure 1: Housing Sites Key Map

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

4.0 METHODOLOGY USED TO IDENTIFY SITES

Given the predominantly built-out nature of Laguna Woods, there is very little undeveloped land that is able to accommodate new development. The majority of Laguna Woods is comprised of private communities that are restricted to adults aged 55 and older. Most of the limited amount of vacant land that exists within Laguna Woods consists of publicly owned open space properties that are subject to conservation easements or deed restrictions that prohibit housing development.

Properties presently designated as open space were excluded from consideration when the presence of deed restrictions preclude potential future housing development. The Laguna Laurel property at the western end of Santa Maria Avenue is subject to a conservation easement that expressly prohibits the construction of housing. Woods End Wilderness Preserve is subject to a deed restriction that prohibits use inconsistent with “nonvehicular public access into the Laguna Coast Wilderness Park and natural resource protection and restoration.” Woods End Wilderness Preserve is also leased to the County of Orange for inclusion in the Laguna Coast Wilderness Park through February 28, 2047.

City-owned sites were screened for potential inclusion in this analysis; however, due to the City’s extremely limited property ownership, none were included. The City Hall/Public Library site was excluded due to the impending current construction of a new library building and expanded outdoor gathering and activity spaces. Both the City Centre Park and Woods End Wilderness Preserve sites are subject to state grant-related deed restrictions. The property at Santa Vittoria Drive/San Remo Drive is 0.32 acre, which is presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State HCD housing law.

Approximately 2.7 square miles of Laguna Woods’ overall 3.3 square miles is occupied by Laguna Woods Village (formerly known as Leisure World), a private gated community for people aged 55 and older. Figure 1, Housing Sites Key Map, shows the portions of Laguna Woods that are within Laguna Woods Village. Over 15,000 residents live in the 12,736 units within Laguna Woods Village, which include condominiums, cooperatives (“co-ops”), and single-family homes. While there are several properties that are perceived as vacant within Laguna Woods Village, none of those properties meet HCD’s definition of a vacant property as set forth in the “Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2” published June 10, 2020, and the production of new housing within Laguna Woods Village faces several challenges. There are income requirements and age restrictions for residents to become members of and live within the community, and the ability to build housing on, or unilaterally sell/lease, any land held in trust by the Golden Rain Foundation for the benefit of the residents of Laguna Woods Village is restricted by applicable governing documents. Each of the perceived vacant sites within Laguna Woods Village was also redesignated/rezoned in 2015 as open space at the request of the property owner (Golden Rain Foundation); prior to that action, those sites were designated/zoned for residential and commercial use. The City has discussed its new housing needs allocation and the Housing Element update process with Laguna Woods Village management staff (Village Management Services, Inc.) and has received no indication that the Golden Rain Foundation or any other Laguna Woods Village governing board is interested in pursuing new housing development. Due to a lack of evidence

indicating a likelihood of redevelopment, properties located within, or owned by parties exclusively affiliated with Laguna Woods Village, were excluded from consideration.

The properties upon which Home Depot is located were excluded from consideration, consistent with HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" published June 10, 2020, that references an "active Home Depot" as an example of an existing use that is "unlikely to be available for residential development within the planning period."

Sites were included in this analysis because they met one or more of the following criteria:

- Site is Presently Vacant – After excluding open space properties with deed restrictions that preclude potential future housing development, properties of a size presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State housing law, and properties perceived as vacant but failing to meet HCD's definition of a vacant property, only one presently vacant property remained (Site 1).
- Site is Presently Structureless – After excluding open space properties with deed restrictions that preclude potential future housing development, properties of a size presumed inadequate to accommodate lower income housing per State housing law, and properties located within, or owned by parties exclusively affiliated with Laguna Woods Village, only one presently structureless property remained (Site 2).
- Previous Expression of Housing Development Interest – Sites where interest in housing development has been previously expressed were included. In 2014, the property owner's agent expressed interest in redeveloping the Willow Tree Center East property (Site 16). In 2017, the property owner and a housing developer expressed interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church property (Site 14).
- Synergy with Place of Worship – Based, in part, on previous interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church site (Site 14), parcel sizes, proximity to public transit, mission compatibility¹, and membership-related trends, other sites presently used as places of worship were included (Sites 2 and 10--14).
- Viable Commercial Site – Based, in part, on previous interest in the Willow Tree Center East site (Site 16), parcel sizes, and proximity to public transit, other commercial sites were included (Sites 3–9 and 15–17). An emphasis was placed on identifying commercial sites with:
 - Frequent or long-term vacancies
 - As evidenced by real estate marketing.

¹ California State Senator Scott Wiener. 2020. "Senator Wiener Introduces Housing Legislation to Allow Churches and Other Charitable Institutions to Build Affordable Housing on Their Property." Website: <https://sd11.senate.ca.gov/news/20200306-senator-wiener-introduces-housing-legislation-allow-churches-and-other-charitable#:~:text=Churches%20and%20other%20religious%20and,in%20order%20to%20do%20so.%E2%80%9D> (accessed July 11, 2021).

- Indicates that demand for commercial uses at that location is low, signaling a potential for redevelopment.
- Less established tenants
 - According to the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, approximately 20 percent of small businesses fail in the first year, 50 percent fail by the end of the fifth year, and 66 percent fail by the end of the tenth year.¹
 - Indicates that the property may become available during the RHNA cycle.
- Single tenants
 - Redeveloping such properties has fewer lease implications.
- Viable adjacent sites
 - Presents an opportunity for lot consolidation, potentially making redevelopment at an economic scale more viable.
- Structure Will Be 40 Years or Older During 2021–2029 Planning Period – It is reasonable to expect older structures to present more opportunities for redevelopment than newer structures. 10 sites that will be 40 years or older by 2029 (Sites 6, 9–14, and 16–17) are included.

The list of nonvacant properties that met the criteria described above were further screened to exclude any properties that host businesses that provide essential goods or services to the community and are, therefore, not likely to vacate their current locations. Such businesses included grocery stores, banks, and pharmacies.

~~The properties upon which Home Depot is located were also removed from further consideration, consistent with HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" published June 10, 2020 that references an "active Home Depot" as an example of an existing use that is "unlikely to be available for residential development within the planning period." Finally, consideration was given to whether a site would provide any of the following benefits:~~

- Parcel Size is Potentially Suitable for Lower-Income Developments – Government Code Section 65583.2(c)(2) requires additional analysis to substantiate potential suitability for lower-income developments when the size of a parcel is smaller than one half acre or larger than 10 acres. Efforts were taken to identify parcels sized between one half acre and 10 acres.
- Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site – HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" published June 10, 2020, identifies the potential for lot consolidation as evidence that may indicate a site is adequate to accommodate lower-income developments. This Housing Element includes Program H-1.2.4,

¹ United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. Survival of private sector establishments by opening year. March. Website: https://www.bls.gov/bdm/us_age_naics_00_table7.txt (accessed July 1, 2021).

which seeks to create an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for lot consolidations.

Table C summarizes how the criteria described above applies to the 17 potential development sites.

Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites

Site	Name	Relevant Factors Resulting in Identification
<u>1</u>	<u>Town Centre Vacant Lot</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Site is presently vacant</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Site is presently structureless</u> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>Rossmoor Electric</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; less established tenant</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>Saddleback Golf Cars</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; single tenant</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>Laguna Woods Self Storage</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; single tenant</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>Animal Hospital</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; single tenant</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021--2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; some less established tenants</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>Smart Parke</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; less established tenant</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; single tenant</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>McCormick & Son Mortuary</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; single tenant</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021--2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>Lutheran Church of the Cross</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021--2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>Geneva Presbyterian Church</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021--2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>Saint Nicholas Catholic Church</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021--2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program</u>

Table C: Application of Methodology Used to Identify Sites

<u>Site</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Relevant Factors Resulting in Identification</u>
		<u>H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>Temple Judea</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>Laguna Country United Methodist Church</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Previous expression of housing development interest</u> • <u>Synergy with place of worship</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>Medical Building in Town Centre</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>Willow Tree Center East</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Previous expression of housing development interest</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; some less established tenants</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Structures will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>Helm Center</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Viable commercial site; frequent or long-term vacancies</u> • <u>Viable commercial site; viable adjacent site</u> • <u>Structure will be 40 years or older during the 2021–2029 planning period</u> • <u>Parcel size is potentially suitable for lower-income developments</u> • <u>Consolidation potential due to adjacency of other candidate site; Program H-1.2.4 provides a specific incentive for lot consolidations</u>

The analysis in this report focuses on the suitability of housing development on each site by examining site conditions and various development constraints (e.g., environmental hazards or inadequate infrastructure). The report also identifies those sites that are most likely to redevelop at density levels that can facilitate affordable housing. The goal of this analysis is to confirm that the 17 potential housing sites are able to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation.

This analysis also takes into consideration whether each site is vacant or nonvacant, as defined by HCD, and whether existing uses on the nonvacant sites would serve as an impediment to additional housing development during the Housing Element planning period.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS AND ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE

Government Code Section 65583.2(b)(4) requires that the City provide a general description of any environmental constraints to the development of housing within its jurisdiction. Government Code Section 65583.2(b)(5) requires that the City provide a description of existing or planned water, sewer, and other dry utilities supply, including the availability and access to distribution facilities.

5.1 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

Table ~~DE~~ summarizes any environmental site constraints that affect each of the 17 housing sites, with an emphasis on grading, slope hazards, access issues, and surrounding uses. As described in Table ~~DE~~, it appears that vehicular access to each of the 17 housing sites is viable. Table ~~DE~~ also indicates that many of the sites have no environmental constraints. With respect to the sites with potential environmental constraints, there are feasible engineering and design solutions that are likely to reduce the environmental impacts associated with these constraints to less than significant levels. Although the total development capacity of several of the sites (Sites 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17) would be constrained by slope hazards or the need to be sensitive to neighboring residents, none of the sites have physical impediments that would render them undevelopable.

5.2 INFRASTRUCTURE CONSTRAINTS

Laguna Woods is a predominantly built-out urban community that has adequate infrastructure to provide water, sewer, electricity, natural gas, and telecommunications services to its residents. As shown in Figure 1, all 17 of the potential housing sites are adjacent to either El Toro Road or Moulton Parkway and are either already developed or surrounded by existing development.

Water service is provided to Laguna Woods, including to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), by the El Toro Water District (ETWD). The existing water mains along El Toro Road and Moulton Parkway could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites. As an urban water supplier, ETWD is required to prepare an Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) every 5 years. The UWMP supports ETWD’s long-term resource planning to ensure that adequate water supplies are available to meet existing and future water needs. ETWD is currently in the process of preparing an update to its UWMP, which is anticipated to evaluate the reliability of its water supplies through at least 2040.

The City will work with ETWD to ensure that planned land uses, including future housing envisioned under the City’s RHNA allocation, are included in future UWMPs so that sufficient water supplies are available. A related program has been included in the Housing Element.

Sewer service is provided to Laguna Woods by ETWD. Because sewer service is currently provided to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), the existing sewer facilities could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites.

Table C: Table D: Environmental and Site Constraints

Site	Name	Description of Constraint
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site slopes downward from north to south toward El Toro Road. Heavy grading, with a substantial amount of soil export, would be required to accommodate new housing on this site; in addition, it is likely that tiebacks and/or retaining walls would be required to stabilize the site. Vehicle access through the Town Centre project to the west appears viable. A small portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development.
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the adjacent property. No environmental constraints affect this site.
3	Rossmoor Electric	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the east. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. No environmental constraints affect this site.
4	Saddleback Golf Cars	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the east. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. No environmental constraints affect this site.
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is sloped to the northeast and south; site appears to drain toward the southeast. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. A small portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development.
6	Animal Hospital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the south. Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway. No environmental constraints affect this site.
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the north. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. No environmental constraints affect this site.
8	Smart Parke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the south. Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway. A portion of the site is located in a landslide hazard zone. Inclusion in such a zone does not preclude housing development. The western portion of the site was affected by a landslide in 2004 when the slope below Calle Sonora failed due to excessive soil saturation, causing significant private property damage.
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the north. Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway. No environmental constraints affect this site.
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveways on the site. No environmental constraints affect this site.
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. The northern and western portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. Vehicle access to El Toro Road and Calle Sonora appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.

Table C: Table D: Environmental and Site Constraints

Site	Name	Description of Constraint
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northwest. • The southern portion of the site is adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.
13	Temple Judea	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. • The northern and eastern portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the site, which is shared with the owner of an adjacent site.
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the northeast. • The northern and eastern portions of the site are adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on those portions of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveway on the site, which is shared with the owner of an adjacent site.
15	Medical Building in Town Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway west of the site. • No environmental constraints affect this site.
16	Willow Tree Center East	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • Vehicle access to El Toro Road appears viable via the existing driveway west of the site. • The southern portion of the site is near residential communities; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents.
17	Helm Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is relatively flat and appears to drain toward the southeast. • The eastern portion of the site is adjacent to residential uses; therefore, any housing development on that portion of the site will need to be sensitive to neighboring residents. • Vehicle access to Moulton Parkway appears viable via the existing driveways on the site.

Southern California Edison (SCE) provides electrical service to Laguna Woods. Because electrical service is currently provided to the existing uses on each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) and the existing commercial land uses adjacent to the vacant site (Site 1), the existing electrical facilities could sufficiently support housing development on all of the sites.

The Southern California Gas Company provides natural gas service to Laguna Woods and each of the nonvacant sites (Sites 2–17) via existing gas mains in Ridge Route Drive, Moulton Parkway, and El Toro Road. Gas lines could be extended from El Toro Road into the vacant site (Site 1) to sufficiently support housing development.

Telecommunication services including landline and cell phone service, television, and internet are available throughout Laguna Woods. These services could be provided to all of the sites to sufficiently support housing development.

5.3 GENERAL LAND USE CONSIDERATIONS

The City will include a rezoning program in its This Housing Element includes Program H-1.1.1 to apply an appropriate residential overlay zoning district to each of the 17 potential housing sites. Such overlay zoning districts will would allow new housing units to be developed on the nonvacant sites while also allowing the existing uses to continue operating. City staff has identified four potential overlay zoning districts, which are described in Table E below. A full General Plan consistency review will be completed when the City implements the Housing Element rezoning program; however, a subsequent update of the General Plan Land Use Element will be required to establish the new overlay zoning districts. To remain consistent with the General Plan Circulation Element, a traffic impact analysis will be required.

~~Table D:~~ **Table E: Potential Overlay Zoning Districts**

Overlay Zone	Density Range	Notes
Residential High Density	30–50 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Able to accommodate the development of lower-income units, consistent with HCD’s standard threshold
Residential Medium Density	20–30 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units
Residential Medium-Low Density	15–20 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate for sites adjacent to existing lower-density residential uses • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units
Residential Low Density	8–10 du/ac	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate for sites adjacent to existing single-story residential uses • Able to accommodate the development of moderate-income units

du/ac = dwelling unit(s) per acre
HCD = Department of Housing and Community Development

The rezoning process will include the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each of the four potential overlay zoning districts. Specific commitments in the rezoning process include, but are not limited to, (1) complying with applicable provisions of California Government Code Section 65583.2 (h), (i), et al., including accommodating at least 50 percent of the lower-income housing needs allocation on sites designated for residential use only (for non-mixed use projects) and by requiring residential use to occupy 50 percent of the total floor area of mixed-use projects, (2) permitting housing projects by right, as required by State law, (3) permitting owner-occupied and rental multifamily uses by right for housing projects in which 20 percent or more of the housing units are affordable for lower-income households, (4) establishing densities for potential housing sites as specified in Table H in this exhibit, and (5) allowing the development of housing projects as stand-alone uses on each potential housing site.

5.4 ACCESS TO COMMUNITY RESOURCES

Although locations vary, in general, each of the 17 potential housing sites appears to enjoy good access to community resources based on the following factors:

- Good access to grocery stores and other retail outlets (e.g., ALDI Food Market, Mother’s Market & Kitchen, and Stater Bros.), which may also serve as employment centers
- Good transit access (each site is within a 0.25-mile walk of an Orange County Transportation Authority [OCTA] bus stop.)
- Close to employment centers (e.g., Laguna Hills Mall and shopping centers)
- Close to a medical facility (Saddleback Medical Center)
- Good access to quality schools (Based on the Saddleback Valley Unified School District [SVUSD] School Locator map, each of the sites would be assigned to the following schools, which are described below.)
 - **San Joaquin Elementary School** (Kindergarten–Grade 6) has the following:¹
 - A 70.3 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Exemplary” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
 - **Los Alisos Intermediate School** (Grades 7 and 8) has the following:²
 - A 57 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Good” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
 - **Laguna Hills High School** (Grades 9–12) has the following:³
 - A 38.9 percent enrollment rate comprising socioeconomically disadvantaged students
 - An overall “Exemplary” rating of the school’s state of repair
 - A full cohort of administrative and teaching staff members, which provides adequate student-teacher ratios
- SVUSD’s website states that families may request interdistrict transfers based on changes in childcare needs (Kindergarten–Grade 6 only), parent employment, and student enrollment in specialized programs. Proper documentation must be provided to SVUSD in order for an interdistrict transfer to be considered.

¹ Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. San Joaquin Elementary School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/SanJoaquinElementarySchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

² Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. Los Alisos Intermediate School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/LosAlisosIntermediateSchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

³ Saddleback Valley Unified School District. 2020. Laguna Hills High School 2019–20 School Accountability Report Card. Website: <https://www.doc-tracking.com/screenshots/Serve/844/2020/English/LagunaHillsHighSchool.pdf> (accessed July 1, 2021).

5.5 ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES

Planning to maximize energy efficiency and the incorporation of energy conservation and green building features can contribute to reduced housing costs for residents in Laguna Woods, in addition to promoting sustainable community design and reduced dependence on vehicles. Such planning and development standards can also significantly contribute to reducing greenhouse gases. The City's Municipal Code references recently adopted regulations that are intended to conserve energy and provide opportunities for residents to live in decent, safe, and affordable housing.

Existing efforts to promote energy conservation were identified and reviewed to determine whether feasible and economical opportunities exist for the City to add or enhance efforts.

5.5.1 City Efforts

5.5.1.1 Laguna Woods Municipal Code

California Green Building Standards Code. The California Green Building Standards Code, 2019 Edition (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11; CALGreen),¹ was adopted and incorporated by reference as the City's Green Building Standards Code. CALGreen regulates the planning, design, operation, construction, use, and occupancy of every newly constructed building or structure, unless otherwise indicated.

The scope of CALGreen extends to include all new buildings, this includes newly constructed residential structures, including apartment buildings, condominiums, one and two-story dwellings, homeless shelters, and other types of dwellings containing sleeping accommodations; therefore, it applies to affordable housing units.

California Energy Code. The California Energy Code, 2019 Edition (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 6),² was adopted and incorporated by reference as the City's Energy Code. The Energy Code regulates the construction, alteration, movement, enlargement, replacement, repair, equipment, use and occupancy, location, maintenance, removal, and demolition of every building or structure or any appurtenances connected or attached to such buildings or structures.

The Energy Code promotes efficient building energy use to protect people and the environment. Once rooftop solar electricity generation is factored in, homes built under the 2019 standards will use about 53 percent less energy than those under the 2016 standards. This is expected to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in California by 700,000 metric tons over three years, equivalent to taking 115,000 fossil fuel cars off the road.³

¹ City of Laguna Woods. Municipal Code. Website: https://library.municode.com/ca/laguna_woods/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT10BUCO_CH10.24CAGRBUSTCO (accessed September 16, 2021).

² City of Laguna Woods. Municipal Code. Website: https://library.municode.com/ca/laguna_woods/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT10BUCO_CH10.28CAENCO (accessed September 16, 2021).

³ 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards FAQs. *The California Energy Commission - Efficiency Division*. Website: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-03/Title_24_2019_Building_Standards_FAQ_ada.pdf (accessed September 17, 2021).

Construction and Demolition Materials Management. Chapter 4.24, Construction and Demolition Materials Management, of the City’s Municipal Code increases the amount of construction and demolition waste diverted from landfills by requiring minimum levels of reuse or recycling of waste generated by certain types of projects.

Water Efficient Landscapes. Chapter 10.03, Water Efficient Landscapes, of the City’s Municipal Code encourages efficient and conservation-oriented use of water in new and rehabilitated landscapes by limiting the amount of water able to be applied and requiring compliance with El Toro Water District regulations.

In considering opportunities to promote energy conservation, the City’s role in administering the California Building Standards Code positions it well to incentivize improvements that exceed the minimum requirements set forth in the California Green Building Standards Code and California Energy Code (collectively referred to as the California Building Energy Efficiency Standards). A related program has been included in the Housing Element.

5.5.1.2 City of Laguna Woods General Plan Conservation Element

The General Plan Conservation Element addresses emergent issues and existing conditions in order to form a comprehensive approach to resource conservation. This element presents existing conditions relative to natural resource conservation within Laguna Woods and is organized to address the following eight priority issues: air resources; biological resources; cultural resources; energy resources; land resources; water resources; greenhouse gas emissions; and waste and recycling.

Implementation actions associated with the following General Plan Conservation Element policy objectives would provide opportunities for energy conservation in residential development:

- CO-4.1. Maintain energy reliability and affordability through conservation, efficiency, and independence.
- CO-4.2. Demonstrate sustainable energy resource leadership.
- CO-6.2. Maintain water reliability and affordability through conservation, efficiency, and independence.
- CO-6.3. Demonstrate sustainable water resource leadership.
- CO-8.1. Control sources of greenhouse gas emissions.
- CO-8.2. Demonstrate climate change leadership.
- CO-9.1. Adopt and enforce regulations promoting waste and recycling goals.
- CO-9.2. Expand opportunities for recycling and reuse of waste.

5.5.2 Other Resources

5.5.2.1 Southern California Edison Programs

For households requiring assistance with the payment of routine energy bills, Southern California Edison offers the following programs:

- California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) reduces energy bills for eligible customers by about 30 percent.
- Family Electric Rate Assistance (FERA) reduces electric bills for qualified households by 18 percent.

5.5.2.2 Foundation of Laguna Woods Village Payment Assistance

The Foundation of Laguna Woods Village is a nonprofit corporation that raises and distributes monies to assist residents of the private gated community of Laguna Woods Village. The Foundation of Laguna Woods Village offers temporary financial assistance with the payment of utility and energy bills.

REDLINE

6.0 FAIR HOUSING ISSUES

HCD updated its guidance for implementing Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) in April 2021. The updated guidance was published to assist public agencies and local governments with meeting their AB 686 requirements. In April 2021, HCD also released an interactive AFFH Data Viewer, which provides several map layers with data related to key AFFH factors including the following: Fair Housing Enforcement Outreach Capacity, Community Segregation and Integration, Disparities in Access to Opportunities, Disproportionate Housing Needs & Displacement Risks, and Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty and Affluence. Per HCD’s AFFH implementation guidance, the 17 potential housing sites were analyzed for any potential patterns and trends of disparate housing needs and disproportionate access to opportunities. Using those criteria, housing sites were then evaluated on several map layers available from the AFFH Data Viewer to confirm that they would comply with AFFH policy. Figures 2 through 6, which are described in further detail below, show the locations of the potential housing sites and their proximity to the different classifications provided in the AFFH Data Viewer.

6.1 PROPOSED SITES

As described elsewhere in this exhibit, the City’s potential housing sites consist of sites with zoning in place, commercial sites with frequent or long-term vacancies, single or less established tenants, and generally sites where interest in housing development has been previously expressed. Table FE below shows the number of potential housing units that are anticipated to be built during the planning period at each income level.

Table FE: Potential Housing Units during 2021--2029 Planning Period

Site	APN	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	Total
	2021-2029 RHNA Targets	127	136	192	542	997
<u>1</u>	<u>616-012-29</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>621-131-38</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>621-131-21</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>621-131-26</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>616-012-19</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>236</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>616-012-03</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>616-021-30</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>129</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>621-211-09</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>621-091-16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>616-041-01</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>616-191-05</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>
	<u>616-191-06</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>621-121-11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>621-121-18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>621-121-23</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>616-012-24</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>121</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>616-121-30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>621-091-15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>
TOTAL		152	163	230	651	1,196

RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment

Several recently completed housing projects near Laguna Woods are comparable in land use controls and site improvements, but have higher densities than those envisioned under the Housing Element. Table G provides a list of these housing projects, along with their addresses and density (measured in dwelling units per acre). All four of these projects are located in the Gateway Specific Plan, which is centered around the western edge of the I-5/Crown Valley Parkway interchange in the nearby city of Laguna Niguel. None of these residential developments has units allocated for affordable housing.

OtherTable G: Recently Developed Housing in Nearby Cities

<u>Project</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Density</u>
<u>Skye at Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>28100 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>67 du/ac</u>
<u>Apex Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>27960 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>86 du/ac</u>
<u>Broadstone Cavora</u>	<u>26033 Cape Dr., Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>70 du/ac</u>
<u>Blu Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>27930 Cabot Rd., Laguna Niguel</u>	<u>99 du/ac</u>

du/ac = dwelling units per acre

The additional residential development capacity on the City’s potential housing sites is based on densities of 8–10 dwelling units/acre (du/ac) within the residential low density overlay, 15–20 du/ac within the residential medium-low density overlay, 20–30 du/ac within the residential medium density overlay, and 30–50 du/ac within the residential high density overlay. The potential housing sites would also include affordable housing units. Therefore, the potential housing sites would improve existing conditions for current and future residents in Laguna Woods and would increase opportunities for lower income residents in Laguna Woods while also developing new housing at a much lower density than recently completed housing projects in neighboring Laguna Niguel.

The 17 potential housing sites currently contain the following existing uses: religious centers (churches and a temple), commercial centers, and professional offices. There are several recent cases in Orange County where a church or other type of house of worship has been converted into housing.

Among these examples are the Wesley Village Apartments in Garden Grove, which redeveloped underused portions of a United Methodist Church property at 12741 Main Street into 47 units of affordable housing in 2016–2017. In 2021, nearly 40 percent of a property used by the Coastal Community Fellowship Church at 10460 Slater Avenue in Fountain Valley was redeveloped into 12 single-family units. Because these developments converted land used for religious facilities into housing in other Orange County cities, the fact that religious facilities are currently operating on several of the City’s potential housing sites does not preclude their redevelopment with housing.

The additional housing that could be accommodated on the 17 potential housing sites would provide good access to community resources to potential residents, would be sufficiently served by utilities, and would facilitate the integration of lower-income households into the community in a way that would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

6.16.2 POVERTY STATUS

Figure 2, Poverty Status, identifies the percentage of the population in each Laguna Woods census tract whose income in the past 12 months was below the poverty level, based on the 2015–2019 American Community Survey estimates. Figure 2 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 2, between 10 and 20 percent of the population in the portions of Laguna Woods east of Moulton Parkway and west of Moulton Parkway between El Toro Road and Santa Maria Avenue are living below the poverty level.

Of the 17 sites, four (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in areas of Laguna Woods in which less than 10 percent of the population’s income is below the poverty level, and 13 (Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17) are located in areas in which between 10 and 20 percent of the population’s income is below the poverty level.

While no areas in Laguna Woods are within a census tract in which a majority of the population’s income is below the poverty level, this analysis suggests that the development of new affordable housing on Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 would be more desirable in order to affirmatively further fair housing. This is due to their slightly higher percentages of poverty levels relative to the Laguna Woods average.

6.26.3 DIVERSITY INDEX

Figure 3, Diversity Index, identifies the diversity levels in each of the Laguna Woods census tracts based on Esri’s 2018 Diversity Index, which analyzes United States Census Population Estimates data. Figure 3 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. The Diversity Index ranges from 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). Esri’s definition of diversity is two-dimensional and combines racial diversity with ethnic diversity. The measure evaluates the likelihood that two persons, chosen at random from the same area, belong to different races or ethnic groups. If an area’s entire population belongs to one race group and one ethnic group, then an area has zero diversity.

In theory, the Diversity Index ranges from 0 (no diversity) to 100 (complete diversity). The Diversity Index is a continuum from 0 to 100, where an area’s Diversity Index tends toward 100 when the population is more evenly divided across race and ethnic groups. If an area’s entire population is divided evenly into two race groups and one ethnic group, then the Diversity Index equals 50. As more race groups are evenly represented in the population, the Diversity Index increases. As shown in Figure 3, the Diversity Index varies substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, five (Sites 1, 5, 6, 11, and 15) are located in areas of Laguna Woods that score lower on the Diversity Index. Seven of the sites (Sites 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 16) are located in areas that score higher on the Diversity Index, with scores that are less than or equal to the 40–55th percentile. Four of the sites (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in areas that scored within the 70–85th percentile.

This analysis suggests that Sites 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 16 would be stronger candidates for developing affordable housing due to their higher Diversity Index scores. Developing affordable housing at these sites would comply with AFFH policies and affirmatively further fair housing.

Figure 2: Poverty Status

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

Figure 3: Diversity Index

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

6.36.4 HEALTHY PLACES

Figure 4, Healthy Places Index, shows the Laguna Woods census tracts and their scores on the Public Health Alliance of Southern California (PHASC) 2021 Healthy Places Index (HPI). The HPI was developed to assist in exploring local factors that predict life expectancy and provides overall scores and detailed data on specific policy action areas that shape health. Higher HPI scores represent communities where economic, education, transportation, social, neighborhood, clean environment, housing, and healthcare access indicators suggest that conditions that are conducive to healthy living are present. Figure 4 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 4, the HPI varies substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, six of the sites (Sites 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17) are located in areas that scored between the 20th and 40th percentile on the HPI. Five of the sites (Sites 1, 5, 6, 11, and 15) are located in areas that scored between the 40th and 60th percentile, followed by Sites 7 and 8, which are located in areas that scored between the 60th and 80th percentile on the HPI.

Based on this analysis, Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 15 are preferable for affordable housing development due to their higher HPI scores, which would affirmatively further fair housing.

6.46.5 SOCIAL VULNERABILITY

Figure 5, Social Vulnerability Index, identifies the census tracts throughout Laguna Woods and their scores on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2018 Social Vulnerability Index (SVI). “Social vulnerability” refers to the potential negative effects on communities caused by external stresses on human health. Such stresses can include natural or human-caused disasters, or disease outbreaks. The SVI uses 15 different United States Census variables to help local officials identify communities that may need support before, during, or after disasters. Figure 5 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites.

There is one census tract within Laguna Woods that is identified as having a “higher vulnerability” per AFFH data. None of the 17 sites are located in that census tract. All of the sites are located in census tracts with a “moderate vulnerability” based on the SVI.

Despite the fact that the potential housing sites are located in areas subject to moderate levels of social vulnerability, they remain good candidates for affordable housing to affirmatively further fair housing.

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

Figure 4: Healthy Places Index

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

Figure 5: Social Vulnerability Index

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

6.56.6 TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE OPPORTUNITY AREAS

HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) convened the California Fair Housing Task Force to provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to HCD and other related state agencies/departments to further fair housing goals (as defined by HCD). The California Fair Housing Task Force has compiled data to identify resource levels across the state to accompany new policies aimed at increasing access to high opportunity areas for families with children in housing financed with 9 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs). The data reflect composite scores of four different domains made up of a set of indicators. Table **HE** shows the full list of these domains and indicators.

Table HE: Domains and Lists of Indicators for Opportunity Maps

Domain	Indicator
Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poverty • Adult education • Employment • Job proximity • Median home value
Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CalEnviroScreen 3.0 pollution indicators and values
Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Math proficiency • Reading proficiency • High school graduation rates • Student poverty rates
Poverty and Racial Segregation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poverty: tracts with at least 30% of population under federal poverty line • Racial segregation: Tracts with location quotient higher than 1.25 for Blacks, Hispanics, Asians, or all people of color in comparison to the County

Source: California Fair Housing Task Force. 2020. Methodology for the 2020 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps. June.

Figure 6, TCAC Opportunity Areas, shows the Laguna Woods census tracts and their categorization based on their composite scores. Areas with higher composite scores are those areas that have the highest number of resources. Areas with lower composite scores have a comparatively lower number of resources. Figure 6 also identifies the locations of the 17 potential housing sites. As shown in Figure 6, the composite scores vary substantially among the Laguna Woods census tracts.

Of the 17 sites, 13 of the sites (Sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17) are located in “Low Resource” areas. Four of the sites (Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8) are located in portions of Laguna Woods that are categorized as being “Moderate Resource—Rapidly Changing” areas. [Section 1.4.1.3 of Exhibit A, Housing Needs Assessment, provides a more detailed explanation of the various census tracts in Laguna Woods and their respective opportunity map scores and categorizations.](#)

Based on this analysis, Sites 2, 3, 4, and 8 are preferable for affordable housing development due to their locations in higher resource areas relative to what is available in Laguna Woods, which would affirmatively further fair housing.

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

Figure 6: TCAC Opportunity Areas

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

6.6.7 REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY INDEX

The UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank partnered to develop the Regional Opportunity Index (ROI) tool, which is intended to help communities understand local social and economic opportunities. The ROI tool incorporates both “People” and “Place” components, integrating economic, infrastructure, environmental, and social indicators into a comprehensive assessment of the factors driving opportunity.

The People component of the ROI is a relative measure of *people’s* assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life. According to the ROI, Laguna Woods ranks moderate to high in the People component.

The Place component of the ROI is a relative measure of an *area’s* assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life. According to the ROI, most areas in Laguna Woods rank in the highest level while the westernmost portion of Laguna Woods ranks in the low level of opportunity.

In general, the ROI for Laguna Woods is relatively high when compared against the rest of Orange County. The lowest access to opportunity is concentrated in the northwestern portion of Orange County, while the highest access to opportunity is concentrated in the coastal and southern areas of Orange County, which is where Laguna Woods is located.

6.8 DISABILITY, FAMILY STATUS, AND INCOME

Table IF provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to disability, ~~poverty status, median household income, and household type~~ for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table IF: Disability Trends Over Time, Family Status, and Income

Jurisdiction	Population with Disability (2008–2012 ACS) Year 2012 (%) ² Male Householder ⁴	Population with Disability (2015–2019 ACS) Year 2019 (%) ^{2,1}	Percent Change 2019–2012 Female Householder ⁴
Orange County	55,0327.6	8.5	11.8%119,719
Laguna Woods	8329.2	27.3	-6.5%309
Aliso Viejo	3.8	6.3	65.8%
Laguna Hills	7.4	8.8	18.9%
Laguna Beach	6.8	9.4	38.2%
Lake Forest	6.4	6.9	7.8%
Census Tract 626.21	2977.7	7.2	-6.5%361
Census Tract 626.22	925.7	22.6	-12.1%47
Census Tract 626.25	4612.5	14.1	12.8%131
Census Tract 626.41	511.3	13.8	22.1%147
Census Tract 626.46	4829.3	25.4	-13.3%0
Census Tract 626.47	14320.4	16.9	-17.2%217

Census Tract 626.48	827.9	26.4	-5.4% 84
Census Tract 626.49	2817.7	24.5	38.4% 33

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates, Table S1901.² American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates, Table S1701.^{3,1} American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates, Table S1810.

² American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates, Table S1810.

Table GF indicates that seven of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods have a higher percentage of their population with a disability than Orange County. Overall, 27.3 percent of Laguna Woods’ population has some form of disability, a figure that is higher than each of the individual census tracts within Laguna Woods. Census Tract 626.21 reports the lowest population percentage with a disability (7.2 percent). Laguna Woods also has a much higher percentage of its population with a disability than Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, or Lake Forest, which have 6.3 percent, 8.8 percent, 9.4 percent, and 6.9 percent, respectively. All 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of disabled residents than Orange County.

Additionally, Table IF details the change in the percentage of disabled residents in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 American Community Survey (ACS) estimate periods. The 2008–2012 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when data pertaining to disabled persons were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table I, Laguna Woods saw a 6.5 percent decrease in the percentage of its residents with disabilities between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. During the same time period, Orange County and the other cities surrounding Laguna Woods saw increases in the percentages of their residents with disabilities (ranging from a 7.8 percent increase in Lake Forest to a 65.8 percent increase in Aliso Viejo). However, the percentage of the population with a disability in Laguna Woods (27.3 percent) is much higher than any of the other cities surrounding Laguna Woods or Orange County.

As described in Table F, 11.5 percent of Laguna Woods’ residents are living below the federal poverty threshold, which is slightly higher than Orange County overall (10.9 percent). Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, five have a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County. Seven of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County. Table F also shows that Laguna Woods has a substantially lower median household income (\$44,020) than Orange County (\$90,234). Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, only Census Tract 626.21 has a higher median household income (\$111,425) than Orange County. All 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a lower median household income than Orange County.

Additionally, six of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, and Laguna Woods as a whole, have a higher number of nonfamily households than family, male householder, or female householder households, which reflects Laguna Woods’ character as being home to a large senior population, many of whom do not live in family households. By comparison, Orange County has a much larger number of married couple families than nonfamily, male householder, or female householder families. In total, 11 of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a larger number of nonfamily households than married couple family households.

6.9 FAMILY STATUS

Table J provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to household type for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Six of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, and Laguna Woods as a whole, have a higher number of nonfamily households than family, male householder, or female householder households, which reflects Laguna Woods' character as being home to a large senior population, many of whom do not live in family households. By comparison, Orange County and the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest have a larger number of married-couple families than nonfamily, male householder, or female householder families. In total, 11 of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a larger number of nonfamily households than married-couple family households.

Additionally, Table J details the changes in family status among households in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. The 2006–2010 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when household and family status data were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table J, the percentage of married-couple families increased the most in Laguna Woods (11.6 percent) between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods; however, the percentage of married-couple families also increased in most of the other surrounding cities and in Orange County. All of the individual census tracts in Laguna Woods also saw increases in the number of married-couple households, with the exception of two census tracts, Census Tract 626.47 and Census

Table JG: Family Status Trends Over Time

Jurisdiction	Married-Couple Family Year-2019 & 2010 ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006-2010 ACS to 2015-2019 ACS)	Male Householder Year-2019 & 2010 ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006-2010 ACS to 2015-2019 ACS)	Female Householder Year-2019 & 2010 ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006-2010 ACS to 2015-2019 ACS)	Nonfamily Household Year-2019 & 2010 ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2006-2010 ACS to 2015-2019 ACS)
	(2006-2010 ACS)	(2015-2019 ACS)		(2006-2010 ACS)	(2015-2019 ACS)		(2006-2010 ACS)	(2015-2019 ACS)		(2006-2010 ACS)	(2015-2019 ACS)	
Orange County	533,446	569,260	+6.7%	54,071	55,032	+1.8%	111,816	119,719	+7.1%	285,170	293,481	+2.9%
Laguna Woods	3,215	3,590	+11.7%	166	83	-50.0%	352	309	-12.2%	7,737	7,021	-9.3%
Aliso Viejo	9,264	10,054	+8.5%	521	809	+55.3%	1,599	1,937	+21.1%	6,693	5,715	-14.6%
Laguna Hills	6,418	6,347	-1.1%	400	438	+9.5%	865	941	+8.8%	2,744	3,311	+20.7%
Laguna Beach	4,683	5,038	+7.6%	518	359	-30.7%	545	595	+9.2%	5,301	4,243	-20.0%
Lake Forest	15,971	17,060	+6.8%	1,312	1,329	+1.3%	2,432	3,166	+30.2%	7,200	7,783	+8.1%
Census Tract 626.21	985	2,355	+139.1%	59	297	+403.4%	194	361	+86.1%	630	1,610	+155.6%
Census Tract 626.22	911	951	+4.4%	11	0	-100.0%	152	47	-69.1%	1,528	1,537	+0.6%
Census Tract 626.25	763	831	+8.9%	69	46	-33.3%	107	131	+22.4%	923	952	+3.1%
Census Tract 626.41	770	1,034	+34.3%	67	5	-92.5%	104	147	+41.3%	715	838	+17.2%
Census Tract 626.46	575	762	+32.5%	31	48	+54.8%	54	0	-100.0%	1,651	1,555	-5.8%
Census Tract 626.47	589	543	-7.8%	21	143	+581.0%	129	217	+68.2%	1,497	1,448	-3.3%
Census Tract 626.48	657	470	-28.5%	13	8	-38.5%	42	84	+100.0%	1,454	1,300	-10.6%
Census Tract 626.49	540	711	+31.7%	49	28	-42.9%	89	33	-62.9%	1,348	1,094	-18.8%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.

² American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table S1101.

Tract 626.48. The percentage of male householders and female householders has decreased in Laguna Woods by 50 percent and 12.2 percent, respectively, while it has increased in all surrounding cities (with the exception of Laguna Beach’s decrease in male householders). Finally, the number of non-family households in Laguna Woods has decreased by approximately 9.3 percent while it has increased in Orange County by approximately 2.9 percent.

6.10 INCOME

Table K provides data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to poverty status and median household income for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.

Table KH: Income Trends Over Time

Jurisdiction	Population Below Poverty in 2019 & 2012 (%) ^{1,2}		Percent Change (2008-2008-2012 ACS to 2015-2015-2019 ACS)	Median Household Income in 2019 & 2010 ^{3,4}		Percent Change (2008-2008-2012 ACS to 2015-2015-2019 ACS)
	2008-2008-2012 ACS	2015-2015-2019 ACS		2008-2008-2012 ACS	2015-2015-2019 ACS	
Orange County	11.7	10.9	-6.8%+7.3%	\$74,344	\$90,234	21.4%-17.6%
Laguna Woods	11.4	11.5	0.9%-0.1%	\$34,192	\$44,020	28.7%-22.3%
Aliso Viejo	4.7	4.7	0.0%0.0%	\$95,498	\$112,689	18.0%-15.3%
Laguna Hills	7.2	8.3	15.3%-13.3%	\$87,337	\$100,985	15.6%-13.5%
Laguna Beach	7.4	6.3	-14.9%+17.5%	\$98,634	\$129,983	31.8%-24.1%
Lake Forest	5.0	6.9	38.0%-27.5%	91,040	\$109,492	20.3%-16.9%
Census Tract 626.21	6.5	7.7	18.5%-15.6%	\$76,333	\$111,425	46.0%-31.5%
Census Tract 626.22	9.9	13.7	38.4%-27.7%	\$32,437	\$44,119	36.0%-26.5%
Census Tract 626.25	12.6	12.4	-1.6%+0.2%	\$43,070	\$45,214	5.0%-4.7%
Census Tract 626.41	9.1	3.3	-63.7%+175.8%	\$59,500	\$88,986	49.6%-33.1%
Census Tract 626.46	14.9	10.6	-28.9%+40.6%	\$30,291	\$41,875	38.2%-27.7%
Census Tract 626.47	9.7	15.7	61.9%-38.2%	\$35,345	\$54,327	53.7%-34.9%
Census Tract 626.48	4.2	12.9	207.1%-67.4%	\$38,534	\$45,000	16.8%-14.4%
Census Tract 626.49	6.6	11.1	68.2%-40.5%	\$48,351	\$60,254	24.6%-19.8%

¹ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.

² American Community Survey 2012 5-Year Estimates. Table S1701.

³ American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

³⁻⁴ American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table S1901.

As described in Table K, 11.5 percent of Laguna Woods’ residents are living below the federal poverty threshold, which is slightly higher than Orange County overall (10.9 percent) and higher than the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, five have a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County. Seven of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County.

Table K also shows that Laguna Woods has a substantially lower median household income (\$44,020) than Orange County (\$90,234) or any of the other surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest. Of the eight census tracts in Laguna Woods, only Census Tract

626.21 has a higher median household income (\$111,425) than Orange County. All 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a lower median household income than Orange County.

Additionally, Table ~~KH~~ details the ~~percent~~ change in the percentage of residents living below the poverty level in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and the County between the 2008–2012 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods as well as from 2012 to 2019 and the percentage of change in median household income in those same geographies between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and the County and the County from 2010 to 2019. The 2008–2012 ACS estimates were the earliest time period when ACS data ~~2012 was the earliest possible year with ACS estimates gathering information~~ pertaining to poverty status ~~were available~~ subject tables at a census tract level, and the 2006–2010 ACS estimates were ~~2010 was the earliest time period when ACS data possible year with ACS estimates gathering information~~ pertaining to household income ~~subject tables were available~~ at a census tract level. Laguna Woods, Orange County, the surrounding cities, and all individual census tracts within the Laguna Woods saw an ~~increase~~ reduction in median household income between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods ~~from 2010 to 2019. However, the percentage of residents living below the p~~ Poverty levels in Laguna Woods ~~the City~~ slightly increased over time (from 11.4 percent to 11.5 percent), whereas the percentage of Orange County residents living below the poverty levels on a county-wide level ~~de~~ increased by ~~6.87.3~~ percent during the same time period.

6.76.11 RACE AND ETHNICITY

Table ~~LJ~~ provides ~~2019~~ data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to race and ethnicity for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Lake Forest, Laguna Beach, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods for the 2006–2006–2010 and the 2015–2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. ~~Table J provides 2010 data compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau related to race and ethnicity for Orange County, Laguna Woods, the surrounding cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Lake Forest, Laguna Beach, and the eight census tracts that are partially or entirely within Laguna Woods.~~

As Table ~~LJ~~ indicates, Orange County, Laguna Woods, all surrounding cities, and all eight census tracts within Laguna Woods have a majority Wwhite population. All cities including Laguna Woods (76.3 percent, 80.0 percent, 70.9 percent, 89.5 percent, and 65.9 percent for Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Lake Forest, respectively) have a higher population of white ~~White people residents~~ than Orange County (61.0 percent). Laguna ~~woods~~ Woods has the lowest percentage of Hispanic or Latino population (5.8 percent) among all ~~it's~~ sites surrounding cities and ~~the county~~ Orange County, and Census Tract 626.48 has the lowest percentage of Hispanic or Latino population (4.2 percent) among all geographies.

Table ~~MJ~~ provides a comparison of the breakdown of race and ethnicity in Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, Lake Forest, and Orange County between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods. The 2006–2010 ACS estimate period was the earliest possible time

period when -race and ethnicity data were available at a census tract level. As shown in Table M, the White population in Laguna Woods decreased by approximately 15.5 percent between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, whereas it increased in Orange County and the cities of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, and Lake Forest by 5.0 percent, 8.7 percent, 0.5 percent, and 4.0 percent, respectively, during the same time frame. The Black population in Laguna Woods decreased by 9.5 percent between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, whereas it increased in Orange County and Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, and Aliso Viejo by 15.0 percent, 95.0 percent, 51.6 percent, and 28.9 percent, respectively. The Hispanic population increased in all geographies between the 2006–2010 and the 2015–2019 ACS estimate periods, except in Census Tract 626.22, Census Tract 626.25, and Census Tract 626.41.

REDLINE

Table L1: Race and Ethnicity Trends (2019 and 2010)

Jurisdiction	White		Black or African American		American Indian and Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race and Two or More Races		Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS2019</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS2010</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS2010</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS2019</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS</u>	2006-2006-2010 <u>ACS2010</u>	2015-2015-2019 <u>ACS2019</u>
Orange County	<u>1,839,676</u> (62.0%)	1,931,263 (61.0%)	<u>48,361</u> (1.6%)	55,591 (1.8%)	<u>13,004</u> (0.4%)	14,424 (0.5%)	<u>521,268</u> (17.6%)	649,042 (20.5%)	<u>9,565</u> (0.3%)	10,152 (0.3%)	<u>557,247</u> (18.8%)	507,572 (16.0%)	<u>973,899</u> (32.8%)	1,078,726 (34.1%)
Laguna Woods	<u>14,499</u> (89.0%)	12,250 (76.3%)	<u>126</u> (0.8%)	114 (0.7%)	<u>0</u> (0.0%)	13 (0.1%)	<u>1,357</u> (8.3%)	3,169 (19.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>341</u> (2.0%)	507 (3.2%)	<u>723</u> (4.4%)	929 (5.8%)
Aliso Viejo	<u>32,625</u> (70.4%)	35,449 (80.0%)	<u>1,476</u> (3.2%)	1,237 (2.4%)	<u>48</u> (0.1%)	238 (0.5%)	<u>6,520</u> (14.1%)	7,905 (15.6%)	<u>40</u> (0.1%)	64 (0.1%)	<u>5,885</u> (12.8%)	5,770 (11.4%)	<u>7,927</u> (17.1%)	9,189 (18.1%)
Laguna Hills	<u>22,306</u> (73.3%)	22,417 (70.9%)	<u>241</u> (0.8%)	470 (1.5%)	<u>156</u> (0.5%)	27 (0.1%)	<u>3,111</u> (10.2%)	4,632 (14.7%)	<u>98</u> (0.3%)	18 (0.1%)	<u>4,744</u> (15.6%)	4,053 (12.9%)	<u>6,672</u> (21.9%)	6,950 (22.0%)
Laguna Beach	<u>20,746</u> (91.0%)	20,617 (89.5%)	<u>128</u> (0.6%)	194 (0.8%)	<u>12</u> (0.1%)	28 (0.1%)	<u>1,050</u> (4.6%)	867 (3.8%)	<u>40</u> (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>903</u> (3.9%)	1,330 (5.7%)	<u>1,513</u> (6.6%)	1,892 (8.2%)
Lake Forest	<u>53,242</u> (69.4%)	55,374 (65.9%)	<u>1,425</u> (1.9%)	1,837 (2.2%)	<u>380</u> (0.5%)	860 (1.0%)	<u>10,360</u> (13.5%)	15,816 (18.8%)	<u>129</u> (0.2%)	111 (0.1%)	<u>12,156</u> (15.8%)	9,976 (11.9%)	<u>17,159</u> (22.4%)	18,381 (21.9%)
Census Tract 626.21	<u>4,093</u> (77.6%)	7,581 (61.5%)	<u>20</u> (0.4%)	194 (1.6%)	<u>0</u> (0.0%)	14 (0.1%)	<u>652</u> (12.4%)	3,654 (29.6%)	<u>0</u> (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>607</u> (11.5%)	888 (7.2%)	<u>1,244</u> (23.6%)	1,557 (12.6%)
Census Tract 626.22	<u>3,379</u> (77.8%)	3,025 (78.2%)	<u>27</u> (0.6%)	14 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>477</u> (11.0%)	736 (19.0%)	<u>36</u> (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>426</u> (9.8%)	93 (2.4%)	<u>638</u> (14.7%)	3.5 (7.9%)
Census Tract 626.25	<u>2,902</u> (70.5%)	2,547 (64.1%)	<u>0</u> (0.0)	11 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>365</u> (8.9%)	513 (12.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>848</u> (20.6%)	904 (22.8%)	<u>1,349</u> (32.8%)	1,293 (32.5%)
Census Tract 626.41	<u>2,774</u> (61.1%)	3,518 (65.4%)	<u>58</u> (1.3%)	87 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>566</u> (12.5%)	975 (18.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>1,163</u> (25.6%)	796 (14.8%)	<u>1,732</u> (38.2%)	1,184 (22.0%)
Census Tract 626.46	<u>2,759</u> (90.3%) 2,502 (72.9%)	2,502 (72.9%)	<u>100</u> (2.9%) 20 (0.7%)	100 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>202</u> (6.6%)	658 (19.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>75</u> (2.5%)	173 (5.0%)	<u>139</u> (4.5%)	240 (7.0%)
Census Tract 626.47	<u>3,007</u> (73.5%)	3,070 (67.4)	<u>27</u> (0.7%)	170 (3.7%)	<u>0</u> (0.0%)	13 (0.3%)	<u>601</u> (14.7%)	788 (17.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>454</u> (11.1%)	512 (11.2%)	<u>722</u> (17.7%)	994 (21.8%)
Census Tract 626.48	<u>2,727</u> (92.8%)	1,996 (75.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>142</u> (4.8%)	510 (19.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>86</u> (2.9%)	134 (5.0%)	<u>87</u> (3.0%)	110 (4.2%)
Census Tract 626.49	<u>2,820</u> (93.2%)	2,542 (79.3%)	<u>37</u> (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>155</u> (5.1%)	552 (17.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<u>13</u> (0.4%)	110 (3.4%)	<u>67</u> (2.2%)	379 (11.8%)

¹ Source: American Community Survey 2019 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

² American Community Survey 2010 5-Year Estimates. Table DP05.

Table MJ: ~~Race and Ethnicity (2010) Percent Change in Race and Ethnicity Trends from (2006-2006-2010 ACS to 2015-2015-2019 ACS) 2019 to 2010~~

Jurisdiction	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race and Two or More Races	Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
Orange County	+5.0%	+15.0%	+10.9%	+24.5%	+6.1%	-8.9%	+10.8%
Laguna Woods	-15.5%	-9.5%	--	+133.5%	--	+48.7%	+28.5%
Aliso Viejo	+8.7%	-16.2%	+395.8%	+21.2%	+60.0%	-2.0%	+15.9%
Laguna Hills	+0.5%	+95.0%	-82.7%	+48.9%	-81.6%	-14.6%	+4.2%
Laguna Beach	-0.6%	+51.6%	+133.3%	-17.4%	-100.0%	+47.3%	+25.0%
Lake Forest	+4.0%	+28.9%	+126.3%	+52.7%	-14.0%	-17.9%	+7.1%
Census Tract 626.21	+85.2%	+870.0%	--	+460.4%	--	+46.3%	+25.2%
Census Tract 626.22	-10.5%	-48.1%	--	+54.3%	-100.0%	-78.2%	-99.5%
Census Tract 626.25	-12.2%	--	--	+40.5%	--	+6.6%	-4.2%
Census Tract 626.41	+26.8%	+50.0%	--	+72.3%	--	-31.6%	-31.6%
Census Tract 626.46	-9.3%	+400.0%	--	+225.7%	--	+130.7%	+72.7%
Census Tract 626.47	+2.1%	+529.6%	--	+31.1%	--	+12.8%	+37.7%
Census Tract 626.48	-26.8%	--	--	+259.2%	--	+55.8%	+26.4%
Census Tract 626.49	-9.9%	-100.0%	--	+256.1%	--	+746.2%	+465.7%

Source: American Community Survey 2019 5 Year Estimates, Table DP05.

6.86.12 AGE AND INCOME RESTRICTIONS LOCAL DATA AND KNOWLEDGE

6.12.1 Age and Income Restrictions

As described earlier in this exhibit, the majority of Laguna Woods is comprised of private communities that are restricted by income and to adults aged 55 and older. Of the 13,252 dwelling units in the City of Laguna Woods, 12,736 are located in the gated community of Laguna Woods Village (formerly Leisure World), which represents 80 percent of the City's total land area. Three additional age-restricted residential communities provide an additional 516 dwelling units in the City. Consequently, income and age restrictions have impeded housing choices for lower-income and younger residents interested in relocating to Laguna Woods.

Prior to the 1960s, Laguna Woods was a part of South Orange County's expansive Moulton Ranch, with only a few scattered ranch dwellings and barns comprising the nearly three square miles of land. In 1964, a portion of Moulton Ranch was purchased and developed into Leisure World Laguna Hills, a community for people aged 52 and older. The City was then officially incorporated as Orange County's 32nd city in 1999, resulting in a somewhat unique city with an average resident age that is greater than 75 years.

Existing income and age restrictions were not required by the City and are not a function of any local development code or regulation, but rather elective decision-making on the part of developers and property owners, in large part prior to the City's incorporation in 1999. In keeping with past practice, this Housing Element contains no income- or age-related housing mandates.

This Housing Element contains programs intended to affirmatively further fair housing for all persons, including a number of goals and policy objectives that specifically reference lower incomes (see Goals H-1, H-2, and H-3, and Policy Objectives H-1.1, H-1.2, H-1.4, and H-1.5). Policy Objective H-1.5 explicitly references "families," a term which includes persons of any age, and Policy Objective H-3.1 prioritizes efforts to increase access to housing without preconditions, including income or age.

Recognizing the impediments to housing production and choice associated with existing income and age restrictions, this Housing Element intentionally identifies only potential housing sites located outside of private communities that are currently income- and/or age-restricted. This is a change from previous Housing Elements for which all potential housing sites were located on property owned by entities affiliated with income- and age-restricted private communities. None of the potential housing sites identified in this Housing Element contain known income or age restrictions.

Program H-3.1.3 in this Housing Element provides for fee waivers or reductions, or other incentives, for housing projects that prepare and implement an affirmative marketing plan designed to attract renters or buyers of diverse demographics including, among other characteristics, familial status (meaning that housing projects seeking to obtain such incentives would not be eligible if they restrict occupant households from including children under the age of 18, or any other combination of family unit recognized by law). The incentives envisioned in Program H-3.1.3 are expected to promote housing production (and, thereby, housing choices) for all persons regardless of age.

6.12.2 Property Ownership Implications

As described in Sections 4.0 and 6.12.1 above, approximately 2.7 square miles of Laguna Woods' overall 3.3 square miles is occupied by Laguna Woods Village, a private gated community for people aged 55 and older. While there are properties within Laguna Woods Village that are perceived as vacant and might otherwise be potentially available for new housing development, all such property is held in trust by the Golden Rain Foundation for the benefit of the residents of Laguna Woods Village. Applicable governing documents restrict the Golden Rain Foundation's ability to build housing on, or unilaterally sell/lease, its property. Consequently, housing choice and the prospect of new housing construction is limited in most of Laguna Woods.

Property ownership of existing housing units within Laguna Woods Village is unique in that a large portion of the housing stock is comprised of co-ops wherein residents are members of a corporation which owns all real property, including the dwelling units, carports, and laundry facilities within the corporation's boundaries, and each member is entitled to occupy a specific dwelling unit under the terms of an occupancy agreement. Consequently, co-op residents do not enjoy the same latitude to modify and maintain their dwelling units as residents of more conventional single-family homes or condominiums, which may impede the development of accessory dwelling units and otherwise affect housing choice, as well as centralize many opportunities for the conservation and revitalization of housing stock with housing corporations rather than residents.

The City's legal obligation to support the development of accessory dwelling units is addressed in this Housing Element's Programs H-1.4.1, H-1.4.2, and H-1.4.3. To provide both housing corporations and residents with resources related to the conservation of dwelling units, this Housing Element includes Programs H-2.1.1, H-2.2.2, and H-2.2.3. Program H-2.3.1 complements those programs by formalizing a proactive code enforcement program focusing on housing-related rehabilitation needs, resulting in repairs, and seeking to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.

6.12.3 Environmental and Topography Constraints

The City has evaluated potential safety hazards that constrain future development within its boundaries and incorporated maps depicting the locations of those constraints within the Safety Element of its General Plan.

According to the Safety Element, fire hazards exist in the wildland-urban interface area in the western edge of Laguna Woods where urban development meets the open space areas west of the City. While some undeveloped land exists within the fire hazard severity zones (Laguna Coast Wilderness Park and Woods End Wilderness Preserve), this land is protected by irrevocable open space easements, which eliminates any possibility of their development. Therefore, the presence of fire hazards in these areas does not constrain housing development.

The Safety Element indicates that special flood hazard areas comprise approximately 26 acres of Laguna Woods. Flood hazards exist in the floodplain along Aliso Creek in the southern portion of Laguna Woods and the Golden Rain Foundation's nine-hole golf course near Paseo del Lago. Both of the areas affected by flooding hazards are within the boundaries of Laguna Woods Village and have been used as recreational amenities for community residents for more than 50 years. As such, the

development of new housing on these areas is just as likely to be constrained by the property's complex land ownership situation (common areas owned and maintained by the Golden Rain Foundation for the use and benefit of Laguna Woods Village residents) as it is by the presence of flood hazards.

According to the Safety Element, there are no mapped fault zones pursuant to the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act that transect Laguna Woods; however, there are several major faults and fault zones in the vicinity of Laguna Woods. Although fault rupture and seismic shaking do present a risk to development in Laguna Woods, these hazards can be addressed by incorporating the design recommendations of engineers and geotechnical professionals. Therefore, seismic hazards do not represent a constraint on new housing development in Laguna Woods.

The Safety Element notes that landslide hazard zones exist in several areas of Laguna Woods, mainly in areas where steep slopes occur. In total, the State considers approximately 77 acres as prone to earthquake-induced landslides. According to the Safety Element, approximately 256 acres of land within Laguna Woods are prone to liquefaction. These areas include a strip of land in the northwestern portion of Laguna Woods, land along El Toro Road west of Calle Sonora, the Aliso Creek corridor, and a broad swath of the southeastern portion of Laguna Woods. Similar to seismic hazards, landslide and liquefaction hazards can be addressed in the design process by incorporating the input of engineers and geotechnical professions. Therefore, these hazards do not represent a constraint on new housing development in Laguna Woods.

6.12.4 Relevant Factors Contributing to Fair Housing

Due to the developed nature of Laguna Woods and the fact that the majority of the City's existing housing stock is age- and income-restricted, the only way to add housing opportunities to younger residents or residents with lower incomes is to build more housing that is accessible to these populations. The sites that are identified for new housing production in the Housing Element present an opportunity for younger residents or residents with lower incomes to move to Laguna Woods since they exist on sites unaffected by existing age or income restrictions. The selection of these sites present opportunities for and contributes to the addition of fair housing in Laguna Woods.

~~As described in Section 4.0 above, the majority of Laguna Woods is comprised of private communities that are both income-restricted and age-restricted to adults aged 55 and older. Consequently, most of the City's housing stock is subject to income and age restrictions, which has impeded housing choices for lower income and younger residents interested in relocating to Laguna Woods.~~

6.96.13 CONCLUDING ANALYSIS

Each of the 17 potential housing sites were also analyzed against three additional AFFH data layer maps: (1) areas that are defined by either the TCAC or HCD Opportunity Maps as being an area of "High Segregation and Poverty" or by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a "Racially or Ethically Concentrated Area of Poverty"; (2) areas identified as State Bill (SB) 535-defined "Disadvantaged Communities"; and (3) locations of cases throughout

Laguna Woods that were filed with HUD's Fair Housing Enforcement and Outreach (FHEO) branch in 2020.

Analysis of these data sets showed that Laguna Woods does not contain any areas defined by either the TCAC or HCD Opportunity Maps as being an area of High Segregation and Poverty or by HUD as a Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Area of Poverty. Although HCD's guidance for implementing AFFH states that affordable housing should aim to be developed in those areas in order to further fair housing, no such areas exist within Laguna Woods. Furthermore, Laguna Woods does not contain any SB 535-defined Disadvantaged Communities, and, as such, none of Laguna Woods' potential housing sites are located in a SB 535-defined Disadvantaged Community. Additionally, the entirety of Laguna Woods is located in an area that had less than .01 percent of complaints filed with FHEO in 2020, which means that few to no housing discrimination complaints have been filed in Laguna Woods and that housing discrimination is not currently seen as a major issue within Laguna Woods.

Using the various data available from HCD's AFFH Data Viewer, each of the 17 sites is situated within a census tract that is desirable from an AFFH standpoint for at least one of the categories described above. Therefore, each of the sites would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

Further, all 17 of the potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of disabled residents and a lower median household income than Orange County. In addition, seven of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a higher percentage of residents living below the poverty threshold than Orange County, and 11 of the 17 potential housing sites are located in census tracts with a larger number of nonfamily households than married-couple family households. This indicates that the proposed housing sites are not concentrated in an area of elevated poverty, which suggests that the development of new affordable housing units on these sites would facilitate the integration of lower-income households into the community in a way that would affirmatively further fair housing opportunities.

7.0 REALISTIC DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY

Government Code Section 65583.2(c) requires that the City demonstrate that the projected residential development capacity of the sites can realistically be achieved. The number of estimated units should be adjusted, as necessary, based on land use controls and site improvement requirements; the realistic development capacity for the site; typical densities of existing or approved residential developments at a similar affordability level in the surrounding area; and the current or planned availability and accessibility of sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities.

Each potential development site was independently analyzed to determine the extent to which the number of estimated units required adjustment to ensure that development capacity estimates are realistic. The adjustments identified as necessary are summarized below and have been incorporated into the realistic development capacity estimates in Table N. The following assumptions were incorporated into the realistic development capacity estimates:

- None of the sites are constrained by the availability or accessibility of sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities. Therefore, no adjustments were made on account of those factors.
- The net developable area on each site was adjusted to 95 percent of the parcel area to reflect the need to construct on-site improvements, including sidewalks and utility easements, and other land use controls.
- The developable area on Site 8 was adjusted to approximately 60 percent of the parcel due to slope hazard constraints on the western third of the site.
- The development capacity on three of the five sites that are currently developed with places of worship (Sites 10–12) and Sites 9 and 17 was limited to 15–20 units per acre to reflect the proximity of those sites to existing, adjacent, lower-density residential uses.
- The development capacity on one of the five sites that are currently developed with places of worship (Site 13) and Site 16 was limited to 8–10 units per acre to reflect the proximity of those sites to existing, adjacent, lower-density residential uses.
- The development capacity at each of the sites was adjusted to 95 percent of the maximum density, and the number of units was rounded down to the nearest whole number, to reflect that not every housing project is built out to maximum density.
- Sites identified as providing development capacity for accommodating very low or low income units (Sites 1–8 and 15) were assigned a density range of 30 to 50 dwelling units per acre (du/ac). This range is realistic, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Huntington Beach Seniors, Huntington Beach (Jamboree Housing) – 55.1 du/ac (0.78 acres)
 - Manchester-Orangewood, Anaheim (Jamboree Housing) – 35.7 du/ac (2.86 acres)
 - Miraflores, Anaheim (National Core) – 36.4 du/ac (2.36 acres)
 - Legacy Square, Santa Ana (National Core) – 53.5 du/ac (1.74 acres)
 - Mountain View, Lake Forest (National Core) – 36.2 du/ac (1.96 acres)

The only recent housing development project in Laguna Woods (San Sebastian Apartments) was also constructed at a comparable density of 42.1 du/ac (3.183 acres).

The City has not received any requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in this housing sites inventory, including below this 30 to 50 du/ac range.

- Sites identified as providing development capacity for accommodating moderate income units (Sites 10–12 and 14) were assigned a density range of 15 to 20 du/ac, or 20 to 30 du/ac. These ranges are realistic, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:

Residential Medium-Low Density (15 to 20 du/ac)

- Birch Hills, Anaheim (Jamboree Housing) – 19.8 du/ac (5.8 acres)
- Santa Angelina, Placentia (National Core) – 16.9 du/ac (3.85 acres)

Residential Medium Density (20 to 30 du/ac)

- Compass Rose, Fullerton (Jamboree Housing) – 26.1 du/ac (1.76 acres)
- Wesley Village, Garden Grove (Jamboree Housing) – 21.4 du/ac (2.2 acres)

The City has not received any requests to develop housing at densities below those anticipated in this housing sites inventory, including below these 15 to 20 du/ac, and 20 to 30 du/ac ranges.

- Sites 2 and 10–14 are currently developed with places of worship. It is realistic to assume that housing could be constructed on these sites, in part, due to examples of comparable affordable housing projects co-located with places of worship elsewhere in Orange County including, but not limited to, the following:

- Legacy Square, Santa Ana (National Core) – 53.5 du/ac (1.74 acres, Santa Ana United Methodist Church)
- Santa Angelina, Placentia (National Core) – 16.9 du/ac (3.85 acres, Church of the Blessed Sacrament)
- Wesley Village, Garden Grove (Jamboree Housing) – 21.4 du/ac (2.2 acres, Garden Grove United Methodist Church)

As previously discussed, in 2017, the property owner and a housing developer expressed interest in the Laguna Country United Methodist Church property (Site 14).

Table NG estimates the number of new housing units that can realistically be built on each of the 17 potential housing sites based on the adjustments described assumptions provided above. As shown in Table NG, the total future housing construction potential on the 17 sites, based on realistic capacities, is 1,196 units. This includes an excess, or overzoning, of 199 units, or approximately 20 percent more than the 997 units included in the City's housing needs allocation.

Overzoning helps to (1) ensure that sufficient adequate sites will remain available at all times to meet the remaining unmet housing needs for each income category, per Government Code Section 65863 ("No Net Loss Law"), and (2) compensate for urban land left vacant due to ownership and development constraints, per HCD's "Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Government Code Section 65583.2" published June 10, 2020. HCD also notes that "a sufficient supply of land beyond the time frame of the housing element helps prevent land shortages from bidding up land costs."

Table NG: Potential Housing Unit Production

Site	Name	Vacant/ Non- vacant Site	Parcel Size (ac)	Proposed Zoning Overlay District	Densities (du/ac)	Development Capacity					Suitable for Lower-Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding	Consolidation Potential due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site
						Income Level				Total			
						Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate				
1	Town Centre Vacant Lot	V	1.8	Residential HD	30 to 50	10	11	0	60	81	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel Parking Lot	NV	0.696	Residential HD	30 to 50	2	2	0	27	31	Yes	No	No
3	Rossmoor Electric	NV	1.232	Residential HD	30 to 50	5	6	0	44	55	Yes	Yes	No
4	Saddleback Golf Cars	NV	1.235	Residential HD	30 to 50	5	6	0	44	55	Yes	Yes	No
5	Laguna Woods Self Storage	NV	5.249	Residential HD	30 to 50	76	79	0	81	236	Yes	No	Yes
6	Animal Hospital	NV	0.76	Residential HD	30 to 50	2	3	0	29	34	Yes	No	Yes
7	PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	NV	2.867	Residential HD	30 to 50	24	26	0	79	129	Yes	Yes	No
8	Smart Parke	NV	2.373	Residential HD	30 to 50	6	7	0	50	63	Yes	Yes	No
9	McCormick & Son Mortuary	NV	1.411	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	0	25	25	No	No	Yes
10	Lutheran Church of the Cross	NV	3.028	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	35	19	54	No	Yes	No
11	Geneva Presbyterian Church	NV	3.955	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	49	22	71	No	Yes	No
12	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	NV	4.596	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	61	22	83	No	Yes	Yes
13	Temple Judea	NV	1.757	Residential LD	8 to 10	0	0	0	15	15	No	No	Yes
14	Laguna Country United Methodist Church	NV	3.899	Residential MD	20 to 30	0	0	85	20	105	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Medical Building in Town Centre	NV	2.69	Residential HD	30 to 50	22	23	0	76	121	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	Willow Tree Center East	NV	3.095	Residential LD	8 to 10	0	0	0	27	27	No	No	Yes
17	Helm Center	NV	0.65	Residential MLD	15 to 20	0	0	0	11	11	No	No	Yes
<i>Subtotal (units on nonvacant sites only)/93% of Lower Income Units</i>						142	152	230	591	1,115	-	-	-
<i>Subtotal (including all sites)</i>						152	163	230	651	1,196	935	817	738
City's 2021–2029 RHNA Allocation						127	136	192	542	997			
Surplus/(Deficiency)						25	27	38	109	199			
Unmet Need (Only Deficiencies)						-	-	-	-	-			

Source: Compiled by LSA Associates, Inc. (October 2021).

ac = acre(s)
City = City of Laguna Woods
du/ac = dwelling units per acre
NV = nonvacant
Residential HD = Residential High Density
Residential LD = Residential Low Density
Residential MD = Residential Medium Density
Residential MLD = Residential Medium-Low Density
RHNA = Regional Housing Needs Assessment
V = vacant

Table NG also indicates that the 17 sites have the capacity to accommodate at least 312 lower-income units, which is 49 units, or 18.6 percent more than the 263 lower-income units included in the City's housing needs allocation. In addition, the sites have the capacity to accommodate at least 211 moderate-income units, which is 19 units, or approximately 9.9 percent more than the 192 moderate income units included in the City's housing needs allocation. Nine of the sites include maximum densities at or above HCD's standard threshold for accommodating lower-income units (30 units per acre) on properties 0.5 to 10 acres in size. In total, 11 of the sites could produce a sufficient number of units that would fall within the generally accepted range of financial feasibility for federal- or State-funded projects (50–150 units per project). 10~~Ten~~ of the sites are adjacent to at least one other site, which provides opportunities for lot consolidation, thereby improving viability for development/redevelopment.

Of the 315 lower-income units identified in Table NG, 22 units on Site 1 are viewed as realistic for development on vacant land, which represents approximately 8 percent of the City's housing needs allocation for lower-income households. Although the City intends to rely on nonvacant sites to accommodate more than 50 percent of its housing needs allocation for lower-income households, the City has determined that it would not be feasible to develop housing on any other vacant land that is within its jurisdiction. This is due to the fact that, as described in further detail in Section 4.0 of this Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, most of the limited amount of vacant land that exists within Laguna Woods consists of publicly owned open space properties that are subject to conservation easements or deed restrictions that prohibit future development.

8.0 QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES

Government Code Section 65583(b)(1) and (2) require that the City establish the number of housing units, by income level, that can be constructed, rehabilitated, and conserved over a five-year time frame. Table **QH** summarizes the City’s quantified objectives with regard to construction, rehabilitation, and preservation of housing over a five-year time period. These objectives have been established based on the City’s available resources and ability to satisfy housing needs within the context of the General Plan requirements set forth in state law.

Table QH: Summary of Quantified Objectives

Income Level	Number of Units	Rehabilitation	Conservation/Preservation ¹
Very Low* (<50% of AMI)	127	5	N/A
Low (50–80% of AMI)	136	5	N/A
Moderate (80–120% of AMI)	192	5	N/A
Above Moderate (>120% of AMI)	542	5	N/A
Total	997	20	N/A

¹ As described in the City’s Housing Needs Assessment, none of the 17 affordable units within Laguna Woods is at risk of conversion to market rate units in the next 10 years; therefore, none of the units is in need of conservation or preservation.

~~This page intentionally left blank~~

REDLINE

EXHIBIT D

**HOUSING ELEMENT PERFORMANCE
ASSESSMENT**

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

REDLINE

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

EXHIBIT D

HOUSING ELEMENT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

Submitted to:

City of Laguna Woods
24264 El Toro Road
Laguna Woods, California 92637

Prepared by:

LSA
20 Executive Park, Suite 200
Irvine, California 92614
(949) 553-0666

Project No. LWD2101

LSA

August 2022

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS i

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS iii

1.0 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION..... 1

2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ELEMENT/SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS 6

3.0 APPROPRIATENESS OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND PROGRAMS..... 7

**4.0 ASSEMBLY BILL 1233 – SHORTFALL OF SITES FROM THE 5TH CYCLE
PLANNING PERIOD 11**

TABLE

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element..... 2

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

1.0 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65588, each local government shall review its housing element as frequently as appropriate to evaluate all of the following: (1) the appropriateness of the housing goals, objectives, and policies in contributing to the attainment of the state housing goal, (2) the effectiveness of the housing element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives, (3) the progress of the city, county, or city and county in implementation of the housing element, and (4) the effectiveness of goals, policies, and related actions to meet the community's special housing needs.

State law requires that each Housing Element include a review of the progress made toward achieving the affordable housing goals of the previous Housing Element, and of the effectiveness and appropriateness of those previously adopted objectives. These results should be quantified where possible, but may be qualitative where necessary.

The City of Laguna Wood's (City) last Housing Element was adopted in 2014, and set forth a series of implementation measures with related objectives and policies for the following issue areas: maintain and improve residential neighborhoods and support quality housing for all residents; promote and encourage the development of housing opportunities to accommodate current and projected housing need; encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing for all economic segments of the community, especially extremely- and low-income residents; and facilitate housing, transportation, and physical accommodations to aid persons with disabilities. This section reviews the continued appropriateness of these programs, the effectiveness of the current 2014–2021 Housing Element, and the progress in its implementation since 2014.

Table A, below, summarizes the City's progress for each implementation measure identified in the current Housing Element.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Objective 1: Maintain and improve residential neighborhoods and support quality housing for all residents		
Policy 1.A: Work towards full implementation of the 2014–2021 Housing Element objectives, policies, and programs in the Housing element.		
Program 1.A.1: Provide a progress report on the 2014–2021 Housing Element programs and quantified objectives as part of the annual General Plan status reports to the State.	Ongoing: This is the City’s normal practice. The City submitted annual progress reports to the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) each year during the 2014–2021 Housing Element period.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Program H-4.1.2.
Policy 1.B: Promote, encourage, and facilitate efforts to preserve the City’s housing stock.		
Program 1.B.1: Continue to promote on-going building maintenance activities. To promote building maintenance, the City will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify available funds for building maintenance activities and energy efficiency upgrades. Disseminate public information on available housing programs, such as the energy efficient equipment retrofit program (CDBG) at public counters and the City’s website. Assist property owners and property managers in their efforts to identify and mitigate housing maintenance issues. 	Ongoing: In 2010, the City obtained a California Energy Commission grant using federal funds to retrofit City Hall with dual-pane, low-E windows and energy efficient lighting and heating, ventilation, and air conditioning equipment. The project modeled energy efficiency retrofits to property owners and property managers. Through June 2017, the City offered a CDBG funded Residential Energy Efficiency Improvement Program. The City provides resources and assistance daily regarding housing maintenance at the building counter and via building inspections.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-2.1.1, 2.2.1, and 2.2.3.
Objective 2: Promote and encourage the development of housing opportunities to accommodate current and projected housing need.		
Policy 2.A: Promote construction of units consistent with the new construction needs identified in the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA).		
Program 2.A.1: Work with property owners in the development of Specific Plans to guide the development of Urban Activities Center parcels to include residential development.	Abandoned: In 2017, after receiving an application from the property owner, the City amended the Land Use Element of the City’s General Plan to delete the Urban Activities Center land use designation. All properties formerly designated as Urban Activities Center have been re-designated and rezoned.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City has deleted the Urban Activities Center land use designation from the General Plan.
Program 2.A.2: Work with commercial property owners to establish residential/commercial mixed use development standards for the City’s Commercial land use district.	Not yet Implemented: Sufficient housing opportunities to accommodate the City’s 2014–2021 RHNA allocation exist without the establishment of mixed use development standards.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with a new rezoning program. See Program H-1.1.1.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Policy 2.B: Mitigate governmental constraints to the accommodation of special needs residents.		
Program 2.B.1: Participate in the County of Orange Consolidated Plan program and in the Continuum of Care to provide housing for special needs populations, particularly extremely and very low income persons. Participation will include assigning a representative to participate in meetings and events sponsored by these programs. In addition, the Resource Guide for Orange County Homeless and other publications that support the program goals will be distributed from City Hall.	Ongoing: The City participated in the development of the County of Orange’s Consolidated Plan for the years 2010 to 2015, and 2015 to 2019. In 2015, the City hosted one of the County of Orange’s Consolidated Plan Community Workshops at City Hall. Various homeless resources, including County of Orange-produced resources, are available from City Hall.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with other programs to address the needs of the specified populations.
Program 2.B.2: Revise the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance to identify zones that will allow the development of transitional housing [and] facilitate transitional housing and supportive housing developments that serve extremely and very low income households, consistent with Government Code Section 65583(c)(1). Because transitional and supportive housing can be configured in different ways – either as regular multi-family housing or as group quarters - the Zoning Ordinance amendment will ensure that transitional and supportive housing that function as a residential use will be treated as residential uses and only subject to those restrictions that apply to other residential uses of the same type in the same zone.	Implemented: Chapter 13.23 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2011. Laguna Woods Municipal Code amended in 2018, see Ordinance No. 18-05.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-1.3.1, 1.3.2, and 1.3.3.
Program 2.B.3: Review and revise the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance 13.23 in regards to emergency homeless shelters to ensure compliance with Government Code Section 65583(a)(4).	Implemented: Chapter 13.23 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2011, was amended in 2018.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Program 1.5.1.
Objective 3: Encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing for all economic segments of the community, especially extremely- and low-income residents.		
Policy 3.A: Recognize the City’s leadership role in the maintenance, preservation, improvement, and development of affordable housing.		
Program 3.A.1: Encourage and facilitate the development of affordable housing by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supporting non-profit organizations that address housing issues. Serving as an intermediary between non-profit organizations and interested residential developers. Assisting in application preparation and other efforts to secure funding sources for development of housing for extremely low-, very low-, low- and 	Ongoing: The City encourages the development of affordable housing as required by State law. Resources, information, and assistance for developers and other interested parties are available from City Hall. Seventeen (17) specifically designated affordable housing units are located in Laguna Woods. From 2014 to 2020, the City received no applications for any new housing development projects	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs to assist in the development of affordable housing.

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
<p>moderate-income residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making available regional and local housing program information to residents, and assisting residents in contacting housing assistance organizations. • Inform interested developers about the range of housing allowed in areas outside of Laguna Woods Village, including housing for families. • Inform interested developers about the State density bonus program incentives available for development of affordable housing. 	<p>(affordable as well as market rate housing developments).</p>	
<p>Program 3.A.2: Continue to encourage and facilitate the use of the City’s density bonus provisions to provide affordable housing opportunities. Revise the density bonus provisions as necessary in the City’s Municipal Code to be consistent with State density bonus law.</p>	<p>Ongoing: Section 13.26.040 of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code, adopted in 2013; Laguna Woods Municipal Code amended in 2018; see Ordinance No. 18-03 and amended in 2020; see Ordinance No. 20-02.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City’s residential density bonus standards are consistent with state law.</p>
<p>Program 3.A.3: Encourage residents to apply, when available, for the Section 8 rental assistance program (through County of Orange Housing Authority) for extremely and very low-income rental households. Inform local rental property owners and eligible residents of Section 8 assistance and Section 8 waitlist openings through flyers and the City’s website. Provide technical assistance during the application process to interested residents. While the City endeavors to maintain the current level of assistance through the Section 8 (72 vouchers) program, the City has no jurisdiction over the funding and operation of the Section 8 voucher program.</p>	<p>Ongoing: The City receives information and application forms for Section 8 housing through the County of Orange and makes them available to residents from City Hall.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the City provides referrals to the OC Housing Authority as a normal business practice.</p>
<p>Program 3.A.4: Continue to use CDBG funds to fund projects that improve and maintain the quality of the City’s housing stock and residential infrastructure. While the City endeavors to maintain the current level of assistance through the CDBG program, the City has no jurisdiction over the funding and operation of the CDBG program.</p>	<p>Ongoing: Through June 2017, the City offered a CDBG-funded Residential Energy Efficiency Improvement Program. Uncertainty surrounding the future availability of CDBG funds, as well as the impact of increasing compliance requirements and law enforcement costs on City resources, resulted in the end of the program. Staff continues to evaluate other potential, future uses of CDBG housing rehabilitation funds.</p>	<p>The City will <u>delete</u> the program. See programs H-2.1.1, 2.2.1, and 2.2.3.</p>

Table A: Review of the City of Laguna Wood’s General Plan 2014–2021 Housing Element

Housing Element	Implementation Status	Continue/Modify/Delete
Program 3.A.5: The City has received no complaints regarding any discriminatory actions and will continue to enforce all fair housing laws. The City Manager is responsible for addressing and/or referring fair housing complaints and questions to the Fair Housing Council of Orange and/or HUD. The City will provide information on fair housing rights and responsibilities, and seek to remedy known acts of discrimination within the community. The City will disseminate fair housing information at City Hall and throughout Laguna Woods in a variety of community places.	Ongoing: Fair housing assistance, resources, and information is available from City Hall, through the City’s code enforcement operation, and upon request.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with Priority Issue 3.
Program 3.A.6: Review city processes and procedures as they pertain to the establishment of new housing, including market rate and all forms of affordable housing to remove undue constraints which hinder the development of new housing opportunities.	Ongoing: City staff has identified no undue constraints which hinder the development of new housing opportunities.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs related to updating City processes and procedures.
Program 3.A.7: Research potential funding sources (grants, loans, and other funds) which can be used towards the planning and development of affordable housing.	Ongoing: City staff continues to explore sources of funding to assist with affordable housing development.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program as the Housing Element includes various programs to assist in the development of affordable housing.
Objective 4: Facilitate housing, transportation and physical accommodations to aid persons with disabilities.		
Policy 4.A: Maintain zoning/development standards that guide development of affordable housing near public transportation, and promote project designs that are accessible and accommodating to the disabled.		
Program 4.A.1: Partner with property owners to identify and accomplish the retrofit of dwelling units and common facilities for handicapped accessibility. The City will participate at board meetings of the housing mutuals and the Golden Rain Foundation, the principal property owner in the City, to encourage retrofitting.	Not yet Implemented: While City officials often meet with the representatives of major property owners, a mutual approach to promoting retrofitting within the community is yet to be developed.	The City will <u>delete</u> the program and replace it with programs H-2.2.1 and 2.2.3.

CDBG = Community Development Block Grant
 City = City of Laguna Woods
 HCD = California Department of Housing and Community Development
 HUD = United States Department of Housing and Urban Development

2.0 EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ELEMENT/SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS

The 2014–2021 Housing Element resulted in changes to the Laguna Woods Zoning Ordinance that resulted in compliance of emergency homeless shelters with State law, as well as identification of zones that allow the development of transitional housing and facilitation of transitional housing and supportive housing developments that serve extremely- and very-low income households in those zones. For the reasons set forth in Table A, the City was unable to complete certain programs included in the 2014–2021 Housing Element, such as the creation of the two new housing units set by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the 5th Housing Element Cycle; working with property owners in the development of Specific Plans to guide the development of Urban Activities Center parcels to include residential development; the establishment of residential/commercial mixed use development standards for the City’s Commercial land use district; and the retrofit of dwelling units and common facilities for handicapped accessibility. Overall, the 2014–2021 Housing Element was effective in providing fair housing assistance, resources, and information to residents participating in the County of Orange Consolidated Program to provide housing for special needs populations, particularly extremely and very-low income persons, and continuing to maintain and improve residential neighborhoods.

Refer to Table A in the previous section for a discussion of whether the programs included in the 2014–2021 Housing Element should be continued, modified, or deleted based on their effectiveness. Table A also addresses various programs related to the housing needs of special needs populations (Programs 2.B.1, 2.B.2, 2.B.3, 3.A.1, and 4.A.1).

3.0 APPROPRIATENESS OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES, POLICIES, AND PROGRAMS

The 2014–2021 Housing Element established a comprehensive and firm basis for the City to move forward towards the achievement of the goals, policies, and programs in the document. As a result, Table A shows that many of the programs were achieved or implemented. Some major amendments included in the 2021–2029 Housing Element incorporate what has been learned from the results of the 2014–2021 Housing Element, including:

- Inclusion of a frequently asked questions (FAQs) section for the RHNA process to promote clarity and a common understanding of both the RHNA process and the City’s associated obligations.
- Inclusion of “goals” in addition to “policy objectives” to provide declarative statements that set forth the City’s approach to each of the priority issues.
- Objective 2 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.1 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which includes making sites available to accommodate current and projected housing needs for groups of all income levels in accordance with California Government Code Section 65583(c)(1). For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.1, the following program was added:
 - Rezone the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis to accommodate the City’s housing needs allocation.
- Objective 3 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.2 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which states that the City should assist in developing adequate housing to meeting the needs of extremely low, very low- low, and moderate-income households. For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.2, the following programs were added:
 - Prepare and maintain a webpage with information related to the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis, including a Geographic Information System (GIS) map layer with geospatial information.
 - Conduct a market study and then amend the existing inclusionary housing ordinance to modify the minimum percentages of new housing units that must be deed-restricted for extremely low, very low, and low-income households, as feasible and advantageous to promote the development of affordable housing.
 - Adopt an ordinance creating an expedited and fee-reduced lot consolidation process for consolidations involving any one or more of the 17 potential housing sites identified in the Housing Sites Inventory and Analysis for the purpose of developing housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.

- Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for housing projects in which at least 5% of housing units are affordable for extremely low income households; at least 10% of housing units are affordable for very low or low-income households; or, at least 50% of housing units are affordable for moderate-income households. To be eligible, the housing units shall be subject to an affordability covenant for at least 55 years.
- Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to promote regulatory clarity.
- Continue to maintain website information regarding fees, exactions, and affordability requirements imposed by the City that are applicable to housing development projects, in accordance with California Government Code Section 65940.1.
- Objective 4 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-1.3 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which effectively changes the wording to provide reasonable accommodations for housing that is not only designed for persons with disabilities, but also intended for occupancy by or with supportive services for persons with disabilities. For implementation of Policy Objective H-1.3, the following programs were added:
 - Continue to consider transitional housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.
 - Continue to consider supportive housing a residential use of property, subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zoning district.
 - Continue to allow supportive housing, as defined by California Government Code Section 65650, as a use permitted by right in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed uses are permitted, as provided in California Government Code Article 11 (commencing with Section 65650).
 - Amend various, existing housing-related provisions of the Laguna Woods Municipal Code to conform to state law.
- The creation of a new objective, Policy Objective H-1.4, which incentivizes and promotes the creation of accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent for very low, low-, or moderate-income households.
- The creation of a new objective, Policy Objective H-1.5, which makes sites available to accommodate emergency housing needs for homeless persons and families.
- Objective 1 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element evolved into Policy Objective H-2.1, Policy Objective H-2.2, and Policy Objective H-2.3, which add promotion of accessible and accommodating housing options for persons with special needs and promotion of housing

conservation and revitalization. For implementation of Policy Objectives H-2.1, H-2.2, and H-2.3, the following programs were added:

- Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to extremely low, very low, and low-income households. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.
- Investigate potential incentives for property owners to extend existing affordability covenants beyond the planned expiration date. If feasible and economical, adopt such incentives.
- Prepare and maintain a webpage with information on home improvement-related financial assistance opportunities available to persons with special needs. Train City staff to make referrals using the webpage.
- Adopt an ordinance waiving or reducing City building permit fees for improvements to the home of a person at least 60 years of age with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, as provided for by California Health and Safety Code Section 17951.7. Consider including provisions allowing for similar building permit fee waivers or reductions for improvements to the home of a person with a qualifying disability that are made to accommodate that disability, regardless of age. Prepare and maintain a flyer with related information.
- Provide opportunities for reimbursements, grants, or other forms of financial assistance to support home improvements that increase accessibility or functionality for persons with special needs.
- Formalize a proactive code enforcement program that focuses on housing-related rehabilitation needs, results in repairs, and seeks to mitigate potential cost, displacement, and relocation impacts on residents.
- Program 3.A.5 in the 2014–2021 Housing Element was expanded to Goal H-3 in the 2021–2029 Housing Element, which involves the administration of housing and community development programs and activities in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing. Goal H-3 includes policy objectives and implementation programs relating to the following:
 - Enhancing housing mobility and protecting existing residents from displacement.
- The creation of a new goal, Goal H-4, with its own set of policy objectives, which calls for analysis related to Housing Element implementation. This includes annual monitoring of the newly adopted Housing Element and coordination of the implementation of the newly adopted Housing Element with water and sewer agencies.

This update to the Housing Element revises existing programs and includes new programs, where appropriate, to ensure that the document reflects the City's priorities and that updated

requirements of California State law are addressed. Refer to the General Plan for the goals, policies, and programs of this Housing Element.

REDLINE

4.0 ASSEMBLY BILL 1233 – SHORTFALL OF SITES FROM THE 5TH CYCLE PLANNING PERIOD

According to the annual progress reports (APRs) provided to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), the RHNA quantified the need for housing within the City to be two new housing units during the 5th Cycle planning period. However, no new housing units were built in the City, indicating a shortfall of new housing units triggering the provisions of Government Code Section 65584.09.

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

EXHIBIT E

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION EFFORTS

CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SUMMARY OF EFFORTS	2
2.1 Public Meeting #1	2
2.2 Public Meeting #2	2
2.3 Public Meeting #3	3
2.4 Public Meeting #4	3
2.5 HCD Review Period.....	4
2.6 California Environmental Quality Act Public Comment Period.....	4
2.7 Revised Draft Public Review Period	6
2.8 Public Meeting #5	7
<u>2.9 HCD Review Period</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>2.10 Revised Draft Public Posting and Notification</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>2.11 Public Meeting #6</u>	<u>8</u>
2.912 Other Meetings	99

REDLINE

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Government Code Section 65583(c)(9) requires housing elements to “include a diligent effort by the local government to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community in the development of the housing element, and the program shall describe this effort.” This report has been prepared to document efforts pursuant to Government Code Section 65583(c)(9).

REDLINE

2.0 SUMMARY OF EFFORTS

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) approved its 6th Cycle Final Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Allocation Plan on March 4, 2021, at which point the City of Laguna Wood's (City) housing needs allocation was finalized.

2.1 PUBLIC MEETING #1

On May 5, 2021, the City Council held its first meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on April 30, 2021. In addition, on April 30, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of April 30, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 10 email addresses, including residents and representatives from the League of Women Voters of Orange Coast and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included an introduction to the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, required actions, and draft housing needs assessment. Frequently asked questions were also discussed. A draft housing needs assessment and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document was included in the agenda packet.

At this meeting, one resident spoke during public comments.

2.2 PUBLIC MEETING #2

On May 19, 2021, the City Council held its second meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on May 14, 2021. In addition, on May 14, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of May 14, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 11 email addresses, including residents and representatives from the League of Women Voters of Orange Coast and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, and draft housing needs assessment. Staff also discussed a number of sites that community members might consider vacant based on appearance and associated constraints that could affect housing development. The City's new project website was

also announced (www.cityoflagunawoods.org/projects). A draft housing sites inventory scenario and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document were included in the agenda packet.

At this meeting, five residents and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission, spoke during public comments. Written public comments were received from seven residents (including one resident on behalf of the Welcoming Neighbors Home Initiative of Tapestry, a Unitarian Universalist Congregation) and The Kennedy Commission.

2.3 PUBLIC MEETING #3

On June 2, 2021, the City Council held its third meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 11:30 a.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on May 28, 2021. In addition, on May 28, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of May 28, 2021, the distribution list consisted of 14 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation and revised draft housing needs assessment (revised following the May 19, 2021 City Council meeting). A revised draft housing sites inventory scenario, draft California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Housing Element Sites Inventory Form, and RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document were included in the agenda packet. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on May 28, 2021.

At this meeting, three residents spoke during public comments.

The City Council reached consensus for City staff to proceed with the General Plan Housing Element Update incorporating the housing sites scenario presented at this meeting.

2.4 PUBLIC MEETING #4

On July 21, 2021, the City Council held its fourth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on July 16, 2021. In addition, on July 16, 2021, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of July 16, 2021,

the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

The presentation at this meeting included background information on the RHNA process and an overview of the City's housing needs allocation, draft General Plan Housing Element, and HCD review process. Drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on July 16, 2021. No change was made to the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form since it was first made publicly available on May 28, 2021.

The agenda report noted that interested parties would have an opportunity to submit public comments directly to HCD during their review process and provided a link to additional information available on HCD's website.

At this meeting, one resident spoke during public comments. Written comments were received from one resident.

The City Council voted 4-1, with then-Mayor Pro Tem Moore voting no, to authorize the City Manager to submit drafts of the updated General Plan Housing Element and Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review, as required by Government Code Section 65585.

2.5 HCD REVIEW PERIOD

The City Manager submitted the draft General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review on July 21, 2021 (HCD records this submittal as July 22, 2021, presumably due to the submittal occurring after regular business hours).

During HCD's review period, the following entities and individuals submitted comments directly to HCD, which HCD considered in its review pursuant to Government Code Section 65585(c):

- The Kennedy Commission
- YIMBY Law
- Catherine R Van Camp, resident
- Ramesh C Joshi, resident

HCD's findings were reported to the City in a letter dated September 20, 2021.

2.6 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

The draft Initial Study/Negative Declaration (IS/ND) for the General Plan Housing Element Update was made available for a 31-day public comment period between August 17, 2021 and September 16, 2021. A Notice of Intent to Adopt (NOIA) announcing the public comment period and providing information on how to access the IS/ND was published in the *Laguna Woods Globe* newspaper on August 12, 2021 and concurrently posted at City Hall and on the City's website. The NOIA was also mailed to all property owners in Laguna Woods and within a 1,000-foot radius of the City's boundaries, as required by the City's Local California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Procedures.

The draft IS/ND was directly distributed to the following parties:

- California State Clearinghouse
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife South Coast Region
- California Department of Housing and Community Development
- California Department of Toxic Substances Control
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District #12
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region
- Capistrano Unified School District
- City of Aliso Viejo Planning Services Department
- City of Irvine Community Development Department
- City of Laguna Beach Community & Economic Development Department
- City of Laguna Hills Community & Economic Development Department
- City of Lake Forest Community Development Department
- El Toro Water District
- Laguna Beach Unified School District
- Native American Heritage Commission
- Orange County Development Services
- Orange County Fire Authority
- Orange County Flood Control District
- Orange County Health Care Agency
- Orange County Transportation Authority
- Orange County Waste & Recycling
- Saddleback Valley Unified School District
- Southern California Air Quality Management District
- Southern California Association of Governments
- Southern California Edison
- Southern California Gas Company
- State Water Resources Control Board
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

On August 17, 2021, the public comment period was extended by one day to September 17, 2021, due to the inadvertent distribution of an incorrect draft of the IS/ND to the parties listed above on August 16, 2021. The correct draft of the IS/ND was distributed on August 17, 2021.

Comment letters were received from the following parties:

- California Department of Housing and Community Development
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) District 12
- City of Irvine
- Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians – Kizh Nation
- Gabrielino-Tongva Indian Tribe
- Juaneño Band of Mission Indians, Acjachemen Nation

- Orange County Fire Authority
- Saddleback Valley Unified School District
- Southern California Association of Governments

Individual responses were provided to each commenting party via mail and email on January 26, 2022. The responses included a copy of each party's comment letter and corresponding responses included as part of the Final IS/ND, as well as notice that the City Council was expected to consider adoption of the Final IS/ND at a public meeting on February 9, 2022.

While the primary purpose of the public comment period was to solicit input on the draft IS/ND as required by CEQA, the draft IS/ND included a project description with site-specific information consistent with the draft General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form acted upon by the City Council at the public meeting on July 21, 2021. A portion of the comments received during the public comment period applied to the project generally, as opposed to the draft IS/ND specifically.

2.7 REVISED DRAFT PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD

On January 13, 2022, revised drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were posted on the City's website and made available from the City Clerk's Office for a 15-day public review period concluding on January 27, 2022.

A public notice announcing the public review period and providing information on how to access the revised draft documents was published in the *Laguna Woods Globe* newspaper on January 13, 2022. The public notice was posted at City Hall and on the City's website, as well as emailed to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously, on January 7, 2022. As of January 7, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The public notice noted the availability of in-person or virtual meetings with City staff to discuss or ask questions regarding the documents, and provided instructions for individuals who require translation services to communicate with the City.

"Clean" and redline versions of the revised draft General Plan Housing Element, and an electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form, were posted on the City's website and made available from City Hall on January 13, 2022.

During the Revised Draft Public Review Period, the following parties submitted comment letters to the City with additional distribution by the authors as noted:

- Catherine "Kate" R. Van Camp [sent to the City, the City Council, and the City Manager, with copies to HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov, Paul McDougall, and Marisa Prasse), Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission]

This letter expressed general support for the revised draft General Plan Housing Element. The author wrote that, in her opinion, "the requests of the HCD have been met."

- Welcoming Neighbors Home [sent by Rona Henry on behalf of herself and Rev. Kent Doss, minister of Tapestry Unitarian Universalist Congregation to the City and the City Council, with copies to the City Manager, HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov, Paul McDougall, and Marisa Prasse), The Kennedy Commission (Cesar Covarrubias, Mildred Perez, Daisy Cruz, and Cynthia Guerra), Kate Van Camp, and Rev. Doss]

This letter expressed general support for the revised draft General Plan Housing Element. The author requested that the City “include a program to implement a Congregational Overlay Zone” and “host a roundtable event with faith organizations located in Laguna Woods to explore the opportunities that exist for them to build.”

Both requests can be considered as part of the rezoning process described in Program H-1.1.1. The rezoning process will include the creation of four new overlay zoning districts and the adoption of minimum density and development standards for each. City staff are available to meet with faith organizations or other interested parties, as requested.

2.8 PUBLIC MEETING #5

On February 9, 2022, the City Council held its fifth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at 2 p.m. City Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). All five members of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City’s website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on February 4, 2022. In addition, on January 20, 2022, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of January 20, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way.

On February 4, 2022, a second email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. The distribution list remained unchanged from the distribution list used for the first notification on January 20, 2022. The second notification included links to the agenda materials and notice that proposed revisions to the January 13, 2022 draft of the General Plan Housing Element were included therein.

Consideration of adoption of the General Plan Housing Element Update and Negative Declaration was additionally noticed as a public hearing. A public notice was published in the *Orange County Register* newspaper on January 27, 2022. The public notice included a project description adapted from the draft IS/ND and information on how to access the draft General Plan Housing Element and draft ND.

Copies of both public comment letters received during the Revised Draft Public Review Period were including in the agenda packet.

Drafts of the proposed General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet, as well as redline versions of all revisions made since the drafts acted upon by the City Council at the public meeting on July 21, 2021. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on February 4, 2022.

The City Council voted 5-0 to adopt a resolution adopting the General Plan Housing Element Update and Negative Declaration.

2.9 HCD REVIEW PERIOD

The City Manager submitted the adopted General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form to HCD for review on February 9, 2021 (HCD records this submittal as February 10, 2021, presumably due to the submittal occurring after regular business hours).

During HCD's review period, the following entities and individuals submitted comments directly to HCD, which HCD considered in its review pursuant to Government Code Section 65585(c):

- Catherine R Van Camp, resident and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home
- Rona Henry, resident and chair of Welcome Neighbors Home

HCD's findings were reported to the City in a letter dated March 8, 2021. HCD reissued the letter to correct a typographical error dated April 8, 2021.

2.10 REVISED DRAFT PUBLIC POSTING AND NOTIFICATION

On August 5, 2022, revised drafts of the General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were posted on the City's website and made available from the City Clerk's Office. The posted materials included both "clean" and redline versions of the General Plan Housing Element, as well as an electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form. The latter was unchanged from the version adopted by the City Council on February 9, 2022.

A public notice announcing the public review period and providing information on how to access the revised draft documents was posted at City Hall and on the City's website, as well as emailed to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously, on August 5, 2022. As of August 5, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The public notice noted the availability of in-person or virtual meetings with City staff to discuss or ask questions regarding the documents, and provided instructions for individuals who require translation services to communicate with the City.

2.11 PUBLIC MEETING #6

On August XX, 2022, the City Council held its sixth meeting to discuss and consider the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. The meeting took place at Laguna Woods City Hall at XX. City

Council meetings are also televised and closed captioned with reasonable accessibility accommodations available on request. Public comments were accepted in person, in writing, by telephone, or by computer (Zoom). XX of the City Council participated.

The notice for this meeting was posted on the City's website and at locations pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2 on August XX, 2022. In addition, on August XX, 2022, email notification was provided to parties who had requested such notification or contacted City staff previously. As of January 20, 2022, the distribution list consisted of 15 email addresses, including residents and representatives from The Kennedy Commission, Laguna Woods Democratic Club, League of Women Voters of Orange Coast, and Orange County United Way. The notification included links to the agenda materials and notice that proposed revisions to the General Plan Housing Element adopted on February 9, 2022 were included therein.

Drafts of the proposed General Plan Housing Element and HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form were included in the agenda packet, as well as redline versions of all revisions made since the versions adopted by the City Council on February 9, 2022. An electronic spreadsheet version of the HCD Housing Element Sites Inventory Form was posted on the City's website on August XX, 2022.

The City Council voted X-X to XX a resolution adopting the General Plan Housing Element Update.

2.92.12 OTHER MEETINGS

On May 17, 2021, the City Manager met via GoToMeeting with Village Management Services, Inc. (Laguna Woods Village) staff (Jeff Parker, CEO and Siobhan Foster, COO) to discuss the RHNA and General Plan Housing Element Update. Subsequent to the meeting, the City Manager forwarded Mr. Parker and Ms. Foster the agenda report for the May 19, 2021 City Council meeting and a RHNA Frequently Asked Questions document.

On May 25, 2021, the City Manager met by telephone with Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission, to discuss inclusionary housing and incentives for affordable housing development on non-vacant sites. Subsequent to the meeting, Mr. Covarrubias forwarded the City Manager information on the City of San Clemente's Inclusionary Housing In-Lieu Fee Program Study.

On November 19, 2021, the City Manager met with Kate Van Camp, a resident and housing advocate with Welcoming Neighbors Home, to discuss the General Plan Housing Element Update, review letter from HCD, Ms. Van Camp's email correspondence to the City Manager dated October 3, 2021 (copied to the City Council, Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Kimberly Adams with Orange County United Way), and various related topics. On November 20, 2021, Ms. Van Camp sent email correspondence as a follow-up to the meeting to the City Council with copies to the City Manager, HCD (HousingElements@hcd.ca.gov and Marisa Prasse), Rona Henry with Welcoming Neighbors Home, and Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director of The Kennedy Commission.

This page intentionally left blank

REDLINE

This page is intentionally blank.

ITEM 5.1

Attachment F

Information Required to Complete HCD's Housing Element Sites Inventory Form
for the Proposed Revised General Plan Housing Element

This page is intentionally blank.

**CITY OF LAGUNA WOODS
 INFORMATION REQUIRED TO COMPLETE
 THE HCD HOUSING ELEMENT SITES INVENTORY FORM
 FOR THE PROPOSED REVISED GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT**

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #1 Vacant lot near Town Centre	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	North of Moulton Parkway, east of signalized intersection at Ayres Hotel
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-012-29
Very Low-Income	10
Low-Income	11
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	60
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	1.8
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	81
Vacant/Nonvacant	Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Vacant
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #2	
Parking lot for Pacific Hills Calvary Chapel	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24481 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-131-38
Very Low-Income	2
Low-Income	2
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	27
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	0.696
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Professional & Administrative Office
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	31
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Church parking lot
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #3	
Rossmoor Electric	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24351 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-131-21
Very Low-Income	5
Low-Income	6
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	44
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	1.232
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	55
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial businesses (Rossmoor Electric building; health and wellness)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #4	
Saddleback Golf Cars	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	23252 Via Campo Verde
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-131-26
Very Low-Income	5
Low-Income	6
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	44
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	1.235
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	55
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial business (Saddleback Golf Cars)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #5	
Laguna Woods Self Storage	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24151 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-012-19
Very Low-Income	76
Low-Income	79
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	81
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	5.249
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	236
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial business (Self-storage)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #6	
Animal Hospital	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24271 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-012-03
Very Low-Income	2
Low-Income	3
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	29
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	0.76
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	34
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial business (Animal hospital)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #7	
PS Business Park (excludes Jack in the Box)	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	23572 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-021-30
Very Low-Income	24
Low-Income	26
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	79
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	2.867
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	129
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial businesses (Self-storage, retail, and food)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #8 Smart Parke	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24334 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-211-09
Very Low-Income	6
Low-Income	7
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	50
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	2.373
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	63
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Pet boarding/day care
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #9	
McCormick & Son Mortuary	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	25002 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-091-16
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	25
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	1.411
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	25
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial business (Mortuary)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #10	
Lutheran Church of the Cross	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24231 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-041-01
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	35
Above Moderate-Income	19
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	3.028
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium-Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	54
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Lutheran Church of the Cross
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #11 (Parcel 1 of 2) Geneva Presbyterian Church	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24301 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-191-05
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	6
Above Moderate-Income	3
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	0.5
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium-Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	9
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Geneva Presbyterian Church
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #11 (Parcel 2 of 2)	
Geneva Presbyterian Church	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24301 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-191-06
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	43
Above Moderate-Income	19
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	3.455
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium-Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	62
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Geneva Presbyterian Church
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	No

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #12	
Saint Nicholas Catholic Church	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24252 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-121-11
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	61
Above Moderate-Income	22
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	4.596
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium-Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	83
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Saint Nicholas Catholic Church
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #13	
Temple Judea	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24512 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-121-18
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	15
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	1.757
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	8
Maximum Density Allowed	10
Total Capacity	15
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Temple Judea
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #14	
Laguna Country United Methodist Church	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24442 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-121-23
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	85
Above Moderate-Income	20
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	3.899
Current General Plan Designation	Community Facilities
Current Zoning	Community Facilities-Private
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Community Facilities
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	20
Maximum Density Allowed	30
Total Capacity	105
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Laguna Country United Methodist Church
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #15	
Medical building in Town Centre	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24331 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	616-012-24
Very Low-Income	22
Low-Income	23
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	76
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	2.69
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Professional & Administrative Office
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential High Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	30
Maximum Density Allowed	50
Total Capacity	121
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Offices (SCOS Orthopedics building; health and wellness)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	Yes
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	Yes
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #16	
Willow Tree Center East (Olive Garden, Cart Mart, and South County Adult Day Services)	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24260 El Toro Road
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-121-30
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	27
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	3.095
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Community Commercial
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	8
Maximum Density Allowed	10
Total Capacity	27
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Commercial businesses (retail and food), adult day services
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

Please note that the “Very Low-Income,” “Low-Income,” “Moderate-Income,” and “Above Moderate-Income” fields are estimates only. Potential future housing construction could occur at any income level, subject to compliance with applicable development standards, including inclusionary housing requirements.

Site #17 Helm Center	
Table B: Candidate Sites Identified to be Rezoned to Accommodate Shortfall Housing Need	
Jurisdiction Name	Laguna Woods
Site Address/Intersection	24902 Moulton Parkway
5 Digit ZIP Code	92637
Assessor Parcel Number	621-091-15
Very Low-Income	0
Low-Income	0
Moderate-Income	0
Above Moderate-Income	11
Type of Shortfall	Shortfall of Sites
Parcel Size (Acres)	0.65
Current General Plan Designation	Commercial
Current Zoning	Professional & Administrative Office
Proposed General Plan (GP) Designation	Commercial
Proposed Zoning	Residential Medium-Low Density Overlay
Minimum Density Allowed	15
Maximum Density Allowed	20
Total Capacity	11
Vacant/Nonvacant	Non-Vacant
Description of Existing Uses	Offices (Helm Medical Center building; health and wellness)
Suitable for Lower Income Households (Density & Parcel Size)	No
Financially Feasible for Federal & State Funding (Lower Income Suitability + 50-150 Unit Project Size)	No
Consolidation Potential Due to Adjacency of Other Candidate Site(s)	Yes

5.2

**DRIVING OUT DARKNESS: ORANGE COUNTY
SUMMIT ON ANTISEMITISM AND HATE
(AGENDIZED BY MAYOR MOORE)
*(NO REPORT)***

This page is intentionally blank.